

Received 3 January 2025, accepted 27 January 2025, date of publication 4 February 2025, date of current version 7 February 2025.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3538042

RESEARCH ARTICLE

High Stability Single-Port Dual Band Microwave Sensor Based on Interdigital Capacitor Structure With Asymmetry Branch Feedline

SYAH ALAM¹, (Member, IEEE), INDRA SURJATI¹, (Member, IEEE), LYDIA SARI¹, (Member, IEEE), RADEN DEINY MARDIAN¹, (Member, IEEE), TEGUH FIRMANSYAH², (Member, IEEE), MUHAMMAD IQBAL³, SLAMET WIDODO⁴, MUDRIK ALAYDRUS⁵, (Senior Member, IEEE), AND ZAHIRILADHA ZAKARIA⁶, (Senior Member, IEEE)

¹Department of Electrical Engineering, Universitas Trisakti, West Jakarta 11440, Indonesia

²Department of Electrical Engineering, Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Serang, Banten 42124, Indonesia

³Advanced Functional Materials Laboratory, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung 40132, Indonesia

⁴Department of Mechanical and Biosystems Engineering, IPB University, Bogor 16680, Indonesia

⁵Department of Electrical Engineering, Universitas Mercu Buana, West Jakarta 11650, Indonesia

⁶Faculty of Electronic and Computer Technology and Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), Durian Tunggal 76100, Malaysia

Corresponding authors: Syah Alam (syah.alam@trisakti.ac.id) and Zahriladha Zakaria (zahriladha@utem.edu.my)

This work is supported by the Research and Community Services Institute of Trisakti University and Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia through a competitive research grant under the Strategic Research Collaboration (KATALIS) Scheme fiscal year of 2024 with contract number of 058/E5/PG.02.00/PL.BATCH.2/2024 and 1091/LL3/AL.04/2024. Additionally, this research is also supported by the collaboration between Trisakti University and Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM).

ABSTRACT This paper proposes a single-port interdigital capacitor (IDC) resonator based on asymmetric branch feed line with high stability performance for permittivity detection of solid materials with a permittivity range of 1 - 6.15. The microwave sensor is designed using a single-port resonator operating at two different resonant frequencies $f_{r1} = 1.61$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.52$ GHz. Dual band frequency was proposed using asymmetric branch feed line. In addition, to confine the electric field concentration of the resonator, an interdigital capacitor (IDC) structure is proposed as a solution. Furthermore, a copper shield was proposed as conducting material to evaluate performance stability of the sensor from disturbance effect with range of $d = 1$ cm - 2.5 cm. Based on the measurement results, the sensor has high stability both without and with disturbance with an a Frequency Detection Resolution (FDR) of 0.009 - 0.4 GHz/ $\Delta\epsilon_r$, a Normalized Sensitivity (NS) of 0.4% - 4.4%, and an average accuracy of 90% - 95% for both resonance frequencies, respectively. Therefore, this sensor can be recommended for several applications such as biomedical industry, pharmaceuticals and material quality control especially for outdoor measurements that are potentially affected by electromagnetic interference and disturbance.

INDEX TERMS Dual-band, high stability, interdigital capacitor, microwave sensor, single-port resonator.

I. INTRODUCTION

Microwave sensors (MS) have seen significant advancement in the evaluation of both solids and liquids due to their advantages, such as high precision, a high Q-factor, cost-effectiveness, and compactness [1], [2], [3]. One of the measurable properties using MS is permittivity, which reflects a material's ability to sustain an electric field. The permittivity of the material under test (MUT) can be determined

via perturbation theory, assuming the MUT behaves as a capacitive load [4], [5], [6]. Several microwave sensors based on resonators like the Split Ring Resonator (SRR) [7], [8], [9], [10], Complementary Split Ring Resonator (CSRR) [11], [12], [13], Substrate Integrated Waveguide (SIW) [14], [15], Interdigital Capacitor (IDC) [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21], AMC [22], [23] have been developed for solid and liquid material assessment.

Previous work presented a single-port MS based on slot loaded [24] and dual U-shaped [25] for solid material characterization. Furthermore, another work proposed a

The associate editor coordinating the review of this manuscript and approving it for publication was Wen-Sheng Zhao¹.

dual-function permittivity sensor with an antenna using a single-port resonator for solid material characterization using an aperture coupling structure [26]. In addition, previous work [27] proposed a single-port microwave sensor based on dual T-shaped for contact and long-distance detection. The advantage of a single-port resonator-based microwave sensor is that it can also function as an antenna for data transmission [28]. However, the performance of a single-port resonator-based microwave sensor has a high potential to be inferred by electromagnetic waves and is also susceptible to disturbance. This is because the single-port resonator also transmits electromagnetic waves so that it is more susceptible to environmental disturbances, for example conducting materials. In addition, another limitation is that there is a higher likelihood of interference between the input and reflected signals. This can lead to difficulties and instability in the accuracy of representing measurement data. Therefore, a single-port resonator-based microwave sensor with high stability is needed to obtain accurate and reliable measurement results.

This work provides an excellent solution by proposing a high stability single-port MS based on Interdigital Capacitor (IDC) structure. The MS is designed to operate at two different frequencies $f_{r1} = 1.61$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.52$ GHz using an asymmetric branch feed line while the IDC structure is used to confine the concentration of the E-field in the sensing area so that the sensor is more focused on detecting samples. Furthermore, to observe the performance stability of the proposed sensor, a rectangular copper shield as conducting material is placed right above the sensor surface with a distance range of $d = 1$ cm - 2.5 cm to emulate as a disturbance. The performance of the sensor observed in this work is related to frequency shift, ΔF , Frequency Detection Resolution (FDR), Normalized Sensitivity (NS) and average accuracy. In addition, an interdigital capacitor structure also can minimize the H-field distribution so that the highest E-field concentration is focused on the sensing area of the proposed sensor. From the measurement results, the proposed sensor has high stability both without and with disturbance for a distance range of $d = 1 - 2.5$ cm. The sensor has stable performance with a frequency detection resolution of $0.009 - 0.4$ GHz/ $\Delta\epsilon_r$, a normalized sensitivity of 0.4% - 4.4%, and an average accuracy of 90% - 95% for both resonance frequencies, respectively.

II. WORKING PRINCIPLE OF PROPOSED SENSOR

This section explains the structure, equivalent circuit and sensing area location determination of the IDC resonator using asymmetric branch feedline.

A. DEVELOPMENT MODEL OF PROPOSED RESONATOR

Furthermore, to estimate the performance of the resonator having dual band characteristics, a development model of the proposed resonator was represented as shown in Fig. 1. The 1st model of the resonator is represented by a T-shaped resonator operating at a single band at a frequency of 2.26 GHz.

Furthermore, the 2nd model is represented by a T-shaped connected with two open-ended inductive arms that function as branch lines that generate a single band resonant frequency of 1.16 GHz. The resonant frequency of the resonator moves to a lower frequency in line with the increase in the arm length of the resonator. In the 3rd model, the IDC structure is connected with two open-ended inductive arms of the resonator that produce dual-band characteristics with $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz.




FIGURE 1. Development model of proposed resonator, (a) 1st model, (b) 2nd model, (c) 3rd model.




FIGURE 2. Model of the microwave sensor; (a) dimensions of the microwave sensor, (b) structure of the microwave sensor, (c) simulation of the reflection coefficient of the microwave sensor.

B. STRUCTURE OF PROPOSED IDC RESONATOR USING ASYMMETRY BRANCH FEED LINE

The proposed microwave sensor is designed using FR-4 substrate type with dielectric constant (ϵ_r) 4.3, loss tan ($\tan \alpha$) 0.0265 and thickness (h) 1.6 mm. The microwave sensor is designed using a single-port resonator operating at two different resonant frequencies $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz. To produce dual frequencies, the resonator is connected with RP-SMA connector with impedance of 50Ω using dual-feed approach.

In addition, to increase the electric field concentration of the resonator, interdigital capacitor (IDC) structure is proposed as a solution. **Fig. 2 (a)** shows the dimensions of the proposed sensor. Furthermore, **Fig. 2 (b)** shows the structure of the proposed sensor where the sensor is designed using a PCB with two copper layers while the upper layer of the PCB is used to place the sensor made of copper and the lower layer is used as a ground plane. The simulation results of the proposed sensor are shown in **Fig. 2 (c)** where the sensor operates at two different resonant frequencies, namely $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz.




FIGURE 3. Modeling of the proposed sensor; (a) Equivalent circuit of the proposed sensor, (b) comparison of simulation results from FEM and EQC.




FIGURE 4. Simulation result of single port resonator, (a) efficiency and gain of proposed resonator, (b) comparison between input, accepted and radiated power of proposed resonator.

The dimensions of the proposed sensor are represented by $W_g = 50$ mm, $L_g = 50$ mm, $W_s = 3.1$ mm, $L_z = 15$ mm, $L_a = 17.3$ mm, $L_b = 15.9$ mm, $W_s = 1$ mm, $L_c = 26.7$ mm, $L_d = 13.7$ mm, $L_e = 11.8$ mm and $L_f = 11.8$ mm. Furthermore, the dimensions of the IDC structure are represented by $W_i = 11.7$ mm and $L_i = 25$ mm while the gaps in each IDC are represented by $G_i = G_s = 1$ mm. It should be noted that the width and length of the gap greatly affect the electric field concentration and the resonant frequency of the proposed sensor.

The performance in terms of gain and efficiency of the single port resonator are shown in **Fig. 4(a)** and **Fig. 4(b)**. Furthermore, **Fig. 4(a)** shows that the proposed resonator has low efficiency at $f_{r1} = 1.61$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz of 3.04% and 0.68%, respectively. In addition, the gain of proposed resonator is also very low where for $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz it is -10.37 dB and -16.63 dB, respectively.

Furthermore, the ratio between the input power, received power and transmitted power of the proposed resonator is also very low as shown in **Fig. 4 (b)**. From the simulation results, the default input power is 1 watt while the transmitted power for $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz is 0.98 watt and 0.97 watt. However, the transmitted power is very low where for $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz is 0.03 watt and 0.006 watt, respectively. Furthermore, the radiation pattern of the proposed resonator is shown in **Fig. 5 (a)** and **Fig. 5 (b)** where $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz have a maximum gain of -10.38 dB and -17.02 dB. This finding indicates that the proposed resonator has low performance to function as an antenna due to low efficiency and gain. Thus, this structure is more suitable for microwave sensors.




FIGURE 5. Simulation result of radiation pattern, (a) radiation pattern at $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz, (b) radiation pattern at $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz.

C. EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT MODEL OF PROPOSED RESONATOR

Next, the proposed sensor can be modeled using an equivalent circuit (EQC) based on resistor (R), capacitor (C) and inductor (L) [29] as shown in **Fig. 3 (a)**. The equivalent circuit of the proposed sensor is calculated and simulated using AWR 2009 and compared with Finite Element Modeling (FEM) as shown in **Fig. 3 (b)**. Based on the equivalent circuit shown in **Fig. 3 (a)**, the feedline of the resonator is represented by $L_1 = 14$ nH which is connected to port 1 with an impedance of $Z_0 = 50\Omega$. In this work, the asymmetric branch feedline is represented by $L_2 = 15.3$ nH and $L_3 = 0.71$ nH as the 1st arm while the 2nd arm is represented by $L_4 = 0.000242$ nH, $L_5 = 20.89$ nH and $L_6 = 9.18$ nH. In addition, the IDC resonator is represented by two resonators with series configuration connected in parallel where $R_a = 0.027$ k Ω , $L_a = 41.97$ nH, $C_a = 805$ pF while for $R_b = 2.46$ k Ω , $L_b = 0.262$ nH and $C_b = 6.28$ pF. To prevent short circuits, $C_g = 1$ pF is proposed as grounding. Overall, the resonant frequency of the resonator can be determined using the following equation [28]:

$$f_r = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{LC}} \quad (1)$$

Fig. 3 (b) shows that the simulation results of EQC and FEM have identical characteristics where the resonator operates in dual band with $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz. This finding indicates that the proposed equivalent circuit

model has represented the working principle of the proposed resonator.

D. SENSING AREA DETERMINATION

In this work, the sensor is designed to detect the permittivity of the sample by observing the interaction between the electric field and the permittivity of the sample used. The resonator surface that has a high electric field (E-field) can be recommended as the sensing area used to place the sample. The sample used is a dielectric sample that does not contain metal. Furthermore, the electric field and magnetic field concentrations of the IDC-based resonator are shown in **Fig. 6 (a)**, **Fig. 6 (b)**, **Fig. 6 (c)** and **Fig. 6 (d)**.




FIGURE 6. E-field and H-field simulations; (a) E-field at $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz, (b) E-field at $f_{r2} = 2.41$ GHz, (c) H-field at $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz, (d) H-field at $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz.

Furthermore, **Fig. 6 (a)** and **Fig. 6 (b)** show that the highest electric field concentration of the proposed resonator at $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz is on the IDC surface of the proposed sensor with a range of 1.07 – 15.74 kV/m. In contrast, the magnetic field concentration of the proposed resonator at $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz are very low and is in the range of 0.005 – 270 A/m as shown in **Fig. 6 (c)** and **Fig. 6 (d)**. These findings indicate that the IDC surface has a high electric field and a low magnetic field so that it becomes a potential location as a sensing area that can be recommended to place samples to be detected. It also shows that the application of the IDC structure has successfully confined the electric field and reduced the magnetic field in the proposed sensor.

Moreover, **Fig. 7 (a)** shows the scenario of dielectric sample placement on the proposed sensor. In this work, the dielectric sample is placed in the sensing area determined based on the highest E-field concentration with dimensions of 10.7 mm x 23 mm x 1 mm. The samples used consist

of four types of dielectrics with different permittivity where RO5880 is 2.2, RO4003C is 3.65, FR4 is 4.3 and RO3006 is 6.15. The proposed sensor is connected to a Vector Network Analyzer (VNA) and a PC using a coaxial cable and a USB cable with a frequency range of 1 - 3 GHz and a span of 0.001 GHz. The frequency response of f_{r1} and f_{r2} based on the permittivity change of the dielectric sample is shown in **Fig. 7 (b)**. Overall, the resonant frequencies of $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.42$ GHz shift to low frequencies in line with the increase of the permittivity of the sample. Based on the simulation results, f_{r1} shifts from 1.64 GHz to 1.63 GHz while for f_{r2} it shifts from 2.42 GHz to 2.02 GHz for the permittivity range of 1 - 6.15. Furthermore, the ΔF of f_{r1} and f_{r2} are shown in **Fig. 7(c)** where for f_{r1} and f_{r2} are 0.01 GHz and 0.4 GHz, respectively.




FIGURE 7. (a) Scenario of sample placement of proposed sensor, (b) simulation result of resonance frequency f_{r1} and f_{r2} with permittivity changes, (c) ΔF of proposed sensor for f_{r1} and f_{r2} .

These findings indicate that the proposed sensor can sense the permittivity change of the dielectric sample based on the frequency change. The resonant frequency of the resonator moves toward the low frequency in line with the increase in the permittivity of the dielectric sample. In addition, f_{r2} is more sensitive than f_{r1} because it has a higher frequency [2] and has a higher electric field concentration compared to f_{r1} as shown in **Fig. 7 (c)**.

III. MEASUREMENT RESULT AND VERIFICATION

This section will discuss the measurement and validation of the proposed sensor, the performance and response of the proposed sensor based on the analysis of the disturbance effects. In this work, the proposed sensor is given a rectangular copper shield disturbance placed on the surface of the sensor.

A. MEASUREMENT OF PROPOSED SENSOR

The next stage is to validate the performance of the proposed sensor to characterize solid materials. In this work, the samples used are solid materials whose permittivity has been known based on the datasheet with a range of 1 - 6.15. Solid materials used as samples include RO5880 with a permittivity of 2.2, RO4003C with a permittivity of 3.65, FR4 with a permittivity of 4.3 and RO3006 with a permittivity of 6.15. The dimensions of the samples used are adjusted to the location of the sensing area of the proposed sensor, which is 12.7 mm x 25 mm with a sample thickness of 1.6 mm. The measurement scenario and placement of solid samples on the proposed sensor are shown in Fig. 8. In this work, the solid sample with dimensions of 10.7 mm x 23 mm x 1.6 mm is placed carefully on the sensing area surface protected by a rectangular copper shield with dimensions of 50 × 50 mm x 1.58 mm. The copper shield is placed using a brass spacer placed at a certain distance represented by d . It should be noted that the copper shield is proposed as disturbance and also focuses on the electric field so that it is fully concentrated on the sample. The measurement process of the sensor is carried out in the laboratory using a VNA measuring instrument connected to the sensor using a coaxial cable via port 1 while the reading of the measurement results is carried out using a PC connected to the VNA via a USB cable. The measurement process is carried out in a closed room with an ambient temperature of 25 °C as shown in Fig. 9 (a). Next, a comparison of the simulation and measurement results of the proposed sensor is shown in Fig. 9 (b). From the measurement results shown in Fig. 9 (b), f_{r1} shifts from 1.62 GHz to 1.61 GHz while f_{r2} shifts from 2.42 GHz to 2.52 GHz. These findings indicate that the proposed sensor has identical performance between simulation and measurement with error rates of 0.6% and 4.1% for f_{r1} and f_{r2} , respectively.




FIGURE 8. Scenario of placing a solid sample on the proposed sensor with copper shield.

Moreover, the measurement setup of the sensor with a copper shield with a distance of $d = 1 - 2.5$ cm is shown in Fig. 9 (c). Based on measurement results, the resonant frequencies of f_{r1} and f_{r2} are fixed and not affected by the copper




FIGURE 9. Measurement of the proposed sensor; (a) measurement setup, (b) comparison of simulation and measurement, (c) measurement setup of proposed sensor with copper shield, (d) measurement result from proposed sensor with and without shield.




FIGURE 10. Shift of resonance frequency of proposed sensor; (a) without shielded, (b) with copper shielded of $d = 1$ cm, (c) with copper shielded of $d = 1.5$ cm, (d) with copper shielded of $d = 2.5$ cm.

shield placed right above the proposed sensor as shown in Fig. 9 (d).

B. PERFORMANCE OF PROPOSED SENSOR WITH ANALYSIS DISTURBANCE EFFECT

In this work, disturbance in the form of rectangular copper shield is placed above the sensor with a distance range of $d = 1 - 2.5$ cm. The performance of the sensor is observed based on the shift in resonance frequency based on the permittivity of the dielectric sample placed in the sensing area. Furthermore, the four solid material samples are placed right above the sensing area surface of the sensing area where the




FIGURE 11. ΔF of proposed sensor; (a) without shielded, (b) with copper shielded of $d = 1$ cm, (c) with copper shielded of $d = 1.5$ cm, (d) with copper shielded of $d = 2.5$ cm.

sensor condition without sample (vacuum) with ε_r of 1 is used as a reference. In addition, the effects of disturbance are also observed separately when the sensor is without copper shield and using copper shield with a distance of $d = 1$ cm - 2.5 cm as shown in **Fig. 10 (a)**, **Fig. 10 (b)**, **Fig. 10 (c)** and **Fig. 10 (d)**. Furthermore, the frequency shift of the sensor (ΔF), normalized sensitivity and frequency detection resolution (FDR) which is determined based on the following equation [30] [31]:

$$\Delta f = (f_{\text{unloaded}} - f_{\text{loaded}}) \text{GHz} \quad (2)$$

$$NS = \frac{1}{\Delta \varepsilon_r} \left(\frac{f_{\text{unloaded}} - f_{\text{loaded}}}{f_{\text{unloaded}}} \right) \% \quad (3)$$

$$FDR = \frac{\Delta F}{\Delta \varepsilon_r} \text{GHz} \quad (4)$$

where ΔF represents the frequency shift range, f_{unloaded} is the resonance frequency when the sensor is in a vacuum condition while f_{loaded} is the frequency of the sensor when the sample is loaded, NS is the normalized sensitivity and $\Delta \varepsilon_r$ is the difference between the permittivity of the reference sample and the permittivity of the sample loaded to the sensor.

Furthermore, the correlation between the resonant frequency and permittivity changes of the four dielectric samples for shielded condition is shown in **Fig. 10 (a)** where f_{r1} shifts from 1.62 GHz to 1.56 GHz while for f_{r2} shifts from 2.52 GHz to 2.1 GHz for the permittivity range of 1 - 6.15. Furthermore, the performance of the sensor with copper shielding for $d = 1$ cm and $d = 1.5$ cm is shown in **Fig. 10 (b)** and **Fig. 10 (c)** where f_{r1} shifts from 1.61 GHz to 1.58 GHz while for f_{r2} from 2.52 GHz to 2.02 GHz, respectively. In line with the previous, f_{r1} shifts from 1.61 GHz to 1.58 GHz and f_{r2} from 2.52 to 2.12 GHz for $d = 2.5$ cm as shown in **Fig. 10 (d)**. The resonant frequency shift of the sensor is represented as ΔF which can be determined using

Eq. (2). Furthermore, ΔF of the proposed sensor is shown in **Fig. 11(a)**, **Fig. 11(b)**, **Fig. 11(c)** and **Fig. 11(d)**.

From the measurement results, the maximum ΔF of the proposed sensor has a stable performance where for f_{r1} is 0.03 GHz and f_{r2} is 0.4 GHz for conditions with or without copper shielding. In addition, the same findings for the Frequency Detection Resolution (FDR) of the proposed sensor which can be determined using Eq. (4) where for the maximum FDR for f_{r1} is 0.009 GHz / $\Delta \varepsilon_r$ while for f_{r2} is 0.11 GHz / $\Delta \varepsilon_r$ for both conditions with or without shielding as shown in **Fig. 12(a)**, **Fig. 12(b)**, **Fig. 12(c)** and **Fig. 12(d)**.




FIGURE 12. Frequency detection resolution of proposed sensor; (a) without shielded, (b) with copper shielded of $d = 1$ cm, (c) with copper shielded of $d = 1.5$ cm, (d) with copper shielded of $d = 2.5$ cm.

These findings indicate that the performance of the proposed sensor is not significantly affected by the addition of disturbance in the form of a copper shield. ΔF and FDR of the proposed sensor are relatively stable to detect the permittivity of dielectric samples with a permittivity range of 1 - 6.15.

The normalized sensitivity (NS) of the proposed sensor can be determined based on Eq. (3). Furthermore, the NS of the proposed sensor for conditions with or without copper shield are shown in **Fig. 13 (a)**, **Fig. 13 (b)**, **Fig. 13 (c)** and **Fig. 13 (d)**. Based on the measurement results, the NS for the sensor with the condition without copper shield is in the range of 0.53% - 0.62% for f_{r1} while for f_{r2} it is in the range of 3.21% - 4.38% as shown in **Fig. 13(a)**. Furthermore, the NS for the sensor condition with copper shield for $d = 1$ cm is in the range of 0.43% - 0.83 for f_{r1} and f_{r2} in the range of 3.33 - 4.43% as shown in **Fig. 13 (b)**. In addition, the NS for the sensor with copper shield condition for $d = 1.5$ cm is in the range of 0.43% - 0.62% for f_{r1} while for f_{r2} is in the range of 3.33% - 4.43% as shown in **Fig. 13 (c)**. Finally, the NS for the sensor with copper shield for $d = 2.5$ cm is presented by **Fig. 13 (d)** where f_{r1} is in the range of 0.43% - 0.62% while for f_{r2} is in the range of 3.06% - 4.38%.

This finding indicates that the NS of the sensor with copper and without shield is stable because the electric field is more concentrated in the sensing area of the proposed sensor. This finding is also in line with the simulation where the E-field concentration of the IDC-based sensor is more dominant compared to the H-field so that the electric field from the sensor is focused in the sensing area of the sensor. In addition, the NS of the sensor without and with copper shield for the range $d = 1 \text{ cm} - 2.5 \text{ cm}$ has a stable performance in the range of 0.4% - 0.8 % and 3% - 4% for f_{r1} and f_{r2} , respectively.




FIGURE 13. Normalized sensitivity of proposed sensor; (a) without shielded, (b) with cooper shielded of $d = 1 \text{ cm}$, (c) with cooper shielded of $d = 1.5 \text{ cm}$, (d) with cooper shielded of $d = 2.5 \text{ cm}$.

This finding also shows that the addition of copper shield with a range of $d = 1 \text{ cm} - 2.5 \text{ cm}$ as a disturbance has no significant effect on the performance of the proposed sensor and can be used to confined the electric field of the proposed sensor in the specific sensing area.

C. ACCURACY OF PROPOSED SENSOR

Furthermore, the correlation between resonance frequency and permittivity can be derived into a third-order polynomial equation that can be used to determine the permittivity of solid materials [32], [33], [34] as shown in Fig. 14 (a), Fig. 14(b), Fig. 14(c), Fig. 14(d), Fig. 14(e), Fig. 14(f), Fig. 14(g) and Fig. 14(h). Based on the correlation between the resonant frequency and permittivity from the measurement result, the permittivity of the dielectric sample for the condition without copper shielding can be determined based on the third-order polynomial equation as follows:

$$\varepsilon_{r1} = a_1 f_{r1}^3 + a_2 f_{r1}^2 - a_3 f_{r1} + a_4 \quad (5)$$

$$\varepsilon_{r2} = b_1 f_{r2}^3 + b_2 f_{r2}^2 - b_3 f_{r2} + b_4 \quad (6)$$

where ε_{r1} is the permittivity of the sample based on f_{r1} and ε_{r2} is the permittivity based on f_{r2} . Furthermore, based on the third order polynomial equation, the values of $a_1 = -78.685$,

$a_2 = 174.14$, $a_3 = 75667$, $a_4 = 0$, $b_1 = -156.76$, $b_2 = 1091.2$, $b_3 = 2537$ and $b_4 = 1973.3$ are obtained for conditions without copper shield as shown in Fig. 14 (a) and Fig. 14 (b). Next, for $d = 1 \text{ cm}$ the values are obtained from $a_1 = -143572$, $a_2 = 691050$, $a_3 = 1\text{E}+06$, $a_4 = 593083$, $b_1 = -133.95$, $b_2 = 930.72$, $b_3 = 2161.1$ and $b_4 = 1680$ while for $d = 1.5 \text{ cm}$ the values are obtained from $a_1 = -54026$, $a_2 = 260387$, $a_3 = 418443$, $a_4 = 224209$, $b_1 = -125.03$, $b_2 = 876.5$, $b_3 = 2053.8$ and $b_4 = 1611.1$ as shown in Fig. 14 (c), Fig. 14 (d), Fig. 14 (e), Fig. 14 (f). Finally, for the condition $d = 2.5 \text{ cm}$ the values are obtained from $a_1 = -19381$, $a_2 = 928985$, $a_3 = -1\text{E}+06$, $a_4 = 790597$, $b_1 = -188.7$, $b_2 = 1323.7$, $b_3 = -3100$ and $b_4 = 2426.3$ as shown in Fig. 14 (g) and Fig. 14 (h). Overall, the permittivity based on calculations for f_{r1} and f_{r2} is shown in Table 1 and Table 2.




FIGURE 14. Polynomial equation based on resonant frequency and permittivity; (a) without shielded for f_{r1} , (b) without shielded for f_{r2} , (c) with cooper shielded of $d = 1 \text{ cm}$ for f_{r1} , (d) with cooper shielded of $d = 1 \text{ cm}$ for f_{r2} , (e) with cooper shielded of $d = 1.5 \text{ cm}$ for f_{r1} , (f) with cooper shielded of $d = 1.5 \text{ cm}$ for f_{r2} , (g) with cooper shielded of $d = 2.5 \text{ cm}$ for f_{r1} , (h) with cooper shielded of $d = 2.5 \text{ cm}$ for f_{r2} .

Based on Table 1 and Table 2, the proposed sensor has good average accuracy where for the condition without copper shield it is at 94.96% and 90.48% for f_{r1} and f_{r2} , respectively. Furthermore, the average accuracy for f_{r1} and

TABLE 1. Accuracy Of proposed sensor for 1st resonant frequency.

ϵ_r reference	No shielded		Shielded cooper					
			$d = 1$ cm		$d = 1.5$ cm		$d = 2.5$ cm	
	ϵ_r calc.	Acc. (%)	ϵ_r calc.	Acc. (%)	ϵ_r calc.	Acc. (%)	ϵ_r calc.	Acc. (%)
1	0.94	93.54	0.98	98.25	0.97	97.36	0.97	97.20
2.2	2.44	89.21	2.39	91.51	2.37	92.13	2.33	93.92
3.65	3.41	93.32	3.23	88.61	3.34	91.46	3.32	90.84
4.3	4.35	98.86	4.77	89.14	4.52	94.82	4.75	89.50
6.15	6.16	99.86	5.93	96.39	6.09	99.06	5.93	96.37




FIGURE 15. Accuracy of proposed sensor; (a) without shielded, (b) with cooper shielded of $d = 1$ cm, (c) with cooper shielded of $d = 1.5$ cm, (d) with cooper shielded of $d = 2.5$ cm.

TABLE 2. Accuracy Of Proposed Sensor For 2nd resonant frequency.

ϵ_r reference	No shielded		Shielded cooper					
			$d = 1$ cm		$d = 1.5$ cm		$d = 2.5$ cm	
	ϵ_r calc.	Acc. (%)	ϵ_r calc.	Acc. (%)	ϵ_r calc.	Acc. (%)	ϵ_r calc.	Acc. (%)
1	0.91	91.39	0.92	91.73	0.97	97.26	0.95	94.94
2.2	2.51	86.00	2.48	87.11	2.28	96.21	2.34	93.52
3.65	3.23	88.58	3.27	89.57	3.44	94.11	3.33	91.35
4.3	4.72	90.12	4.64	92.04	4.56	93.96	4.89	86.24
6.15	5.92	96.31	5.99	97.36	6.05	98.36	5.78	94.01

f_{r2} for the sensor with copper shield is 92.78% and 91.72% for $d = 1$ cm and for $d = 1.5$ cm the average accuracy is 94.97% and 95.98% while for $d = 2.5$ cm, the average accuracy is at 93.57% and 92.01%, respectively.

This finding shows that the best average accuracy is obtained when $d = 1.5$ cm. In addition, the sensor has a consistent average accuracy for conditions with and without shield. In addition, the sensor has a consistent average accuracy for conditions with and without shield compared with reference permittivity as shown in Fig. 15 (a) and Fig. 15 (b). This finding also shows that the proposed sensor has high performance and is not significantly affected by disturbances placed directly above the sensor with range of $d = 1$ cm – 2.5 cm.

IV. VALIDATION WITH PREVIOUS WORKS

A thorough evaluation comparing the proposed sensor's performance with previous studies is conducted, as detailed in

Table 3. A fair comparison with previous work is proposed by observing the performance of the sensor in terms of the method, permittivity range, resonance frequency (f_r), Frequency Detection Resolution (FDR), Normalized Sensitivity (NS) and accuracy. In addition, other performances that are compared are dual band characteristics, e-field localization, disturbance effect analysis and also high stability of the proposed sensor.

Previous work proposed a microwave sensor using a dual-port resonator for permittivity detection of solid materials based on SRR [8], [10], [33], IDC structure [19], [20] and CSRR [13]. The sensor has good performance with a maximum accuracy of 96% - 98% and a normalized sensitivity of 1.34% - 5.38%. However, the proposed sensor only supports transmission mode-based measurements and requires a two-port network analyzer for characterization, which can increase the complexity and cost of the measurement setup.

Previous studies introduced a single-port resonator incorporating a slot for permittivity detection of dielectric samples, achieving an accuracy of 98.80% and a normalized sensitivity of 5.24% [24]. However, the sensor's electric field remains inadequately localized and focused on the sensing area, potentially leading to measurement inaccuracies in the presence of interference or disturbances. In addition, the proposed sensor only operates at a single resonant frequency. Moreover, a single-port microwave sensor with dual band performance utilizing U-shaped [25] and T-shaped resonators [27] was proposed in another study, featuring independent characteristics for simultaneous detection of solid material permittivity and long-distance sensing. The electric field of the resonator was localized on its arm, designated as the sensing area. However, the study did not include an analysis of disturbance effects, leaving the stability of the proposed sensor under disturbed conditions unverified.

Previous work introduced a single-port microwave sensor employing aperture coupling [26] and an artificial magnetic conductor [22]. The electric field was localized on a separate substrate, designated as the sensor's sensing area. However, the proposed structure features a multilayer design, making it more complex to implement. Furthermore, the study did not include an analysis of disturbance effects, leaving the sensor's stability under such conditions unverified. This work provides an excellent solution to produce a microwave sensor using a single-port resonator that has high stability against disturbances. In this work, an interdigital capacitor structure is introduced to confine the E-field of the sensor to focus it on the sensing area of the sensor. In addition, the interdigital capacitor structure also reduces the H-field so that the E-field becomes more dominant. The stability of the sensor is evaluated and confirmed by placing a disturbance in the form of a rectangular copper shield placed directly above the sensor. The performance and stability of the sensor are observed in conditions without and with copper shielding for a distance range of $d = 1$ cm - 2.5 cm. From the measurement results, the sensor has high stability both without and with disturbance with a Frequency Detection Resolution (FDR)

TABLE 3. Comparison with previous works.

Ref.	Method	Freq (GHz)	Range of ϵ_r	Performance			Num. of port	Dual-band performance	Confined E-field region	Disturbance analysis effect	High stability performance
				Max. FDR (GHz)	Max NS. (%)	Max Acc. (GHz)					
[8]	SRR	0.39	1 – 7.33	0.102	1.625	98.20	1	-	Yes	-	-
[10]	SSRR with spurlines	2.22	1 – 4.40	0.030	1.340	98.36	2	-	Yes	-	-
[13]	CSRR	2.65	1 – 3.00	0.150	5.380	97.71	2	-	Yes	-	-
[19]	IDC	2.65	1 – 6.15	0.373	4.300	99.99	2	-	Yes	-	-
[20]	IDC	2.35 / 5.79	1 – 10.5	0.150	3.980	99.99	2	-	Yes	-	-
[22]	Artificial Magnetic Conductor	4.04	1 – 4.40	0.070	1.890	96.48	1	-	Yes	-	-
[24]	Slot-loaded patch	2.50	1 – 10.20	0.072	5.240	98.80	1	-	-	-	-
[25]	Dual U-shaped	1.20 / 2.10	1 – 4.30	0.007	1.150	99.02	1	Yes	Yes	-	-
[26]	Aperture coupling	9.54 / 12.30	1 – 12.50	0.078	0.640	92.30	1	Yes	Yes	-	-
[27]	Dual T-shaped with IDC	1.64 / 2.43	1 – 6.15	0.016	0.003	95.99	1	Yes	Yes	-	-
[33]	SRR	1.24 / 2.08	1 – 6.00	0.050	4.101	96.97	2	Yes	Yes	-	-
This work	Asymmetric branch feed with IDC	1.61 / 2.52	1 – 6.15	0.110	4.330	95.98	1	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

of 0.009 - 0.4 GHz/ $\Delta\epsilon_r$, a Normalized Sensitivity (NS) of 0.4% - 4.4%, and an average accuracy of 90% - 95% for both resonance frequencies, respectively. Moreover, the proposed sensor has dual band performance with $f_{r1} = 1.62$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.52$ GHz. This dual-band capability allows for more accurate and reliable characterization of materials, as different frequencies can penetrate varying depths or interact with material properties differently, providing a broader dataset for analysis. Furthermore, dual-band operation helps mitigate the effects of noise or interference from environmental factors, as measurements from both bands can be cross-referenced for consistency.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper has successfully designed and realized a high stability single port dual-band microwave sensor using inter-digital structure with asymmetry branch feedline for solid material characterization with permittivity range 1 - 6.15. The proposed sensor operates at two different resonance frequencies $f_{r1} = 1.61$ GHz and $f_{r2} = 2.52$ GHz. Based on the measurement results, the proposed sensor has high stability both without and with disturbance for $d = 1$ cm - 2.5 cm with a Frequency Detection Resolution (FDR) of 0.009 - 0.4 GHz/ $\Delta\epsilon_r$, a Normalized Sensitivity (NS) of 0.4% - 4.4%, and an average accuracy of 90% - 95% for both resonance frequencies, respectively. Therefore, this sensor can be recommended for several applications including biomedical industry, medicine and material quality control especially

for outdoor measurements that are potentially affected by electromagnetic interference and disturbance.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. S. L. Parvathi and S. R. Gupta, "Ultrahigh-sensitivity and compact EBG-based microwave sensor for liquid characterization," *IEEE Sensors Lett.*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 1–4, Apr. 2022, doi: [10.1109/LSSENS.2022.3159800](https://doi.org/10.1109/LSSENS.2022.3159800).
- [2] A. Armghan, T. M. Alanazi, A. Altaf, and T. Haq, "Characterization of dielectric substrates using dual band microwave sensor," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 62779–62787, 2021, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3075246](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3075246).
- [3] Z. Shaterian and M. Mrozowski, "Multifunctional bandpass filter/displacement sensor component," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 27012–27019, 2023, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3258545](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3258545).
- [4] A. Aquino, C. G. Juan, B. Potelon, and C. Quendo, "Dielectric permittivity sensor based on planar open-loop resonator," *IEEE Sensors Lett.*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1–4, Mar. 2021, doi: [10.1109/LSSENS.2021.3055544](https://doi.org/10.1109/LSSENS.2021.3055544).
- [5] W. A. Jabbar, T. K. Kian, R. M. Ramli, S. N. Zubir, N. S. M. Zamrizaman, M. Balfaqih, V. Shepelev, and S. Alharbi, "Design and fabrication of smart home with Internet of Things enabled automation system," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 144059–144074, 2019, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2942846](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2942846).
- [6] A. Ebrahimi, J. Scott, and K. Ghorbani, "Ultrahigh-sensitivity microwave sensor for microfluidic complex permittivity measurement," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.*, vol. 67, no. 10, pp. 4269–4277, Oct. 2019, doi: [10.1109/TMTT.2019.2932737](https://doi.org/10.1109/TMTT.2019.2932737).
- [7] P. Jahangiri, M. Naser-Moghadasi, B. Ghalamkari, and M. Dousti, "A new planar microwave sensor for fat-measuring of meat based on SRR and periodic EBG structures," *Sens. Actuators A, Phys.*, vol. 346, Oct. 2022, Art. no. 113826, doi: [10.1016/j.sna.2022.113826](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2022.113826).
- [8] K. Xu, Y. Liu, S. Chen, P. Zhao, L. Peng, L. Dong, and G. Wang, "Novel microwave sensors based on split ring resonators for measuring permittivity," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 26111–26120, 2018, doi: [10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2834726](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2834726).
- [9] W. Liu, J. Zhang, and K. Huang, "Dual-band microwave sensor based on planar rectangular cavity loaded with pairs of improved resonator for differential sensing applications," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 70, pp. 1–8, 2021, doi: [10.1109/TIM.2021.3118090](https://doi.org/10.1109/TIM.2021.3118090).

- [10] R. A. Alahnomi, Z. Zakaria, E. Ruslan, S. R. A. Rashid, and A. A. M. Bahar, "High-Q sensor based on symmetrical split ring resonator with spurlines for solids material detection," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 17, no. 9, pp. 2766–2775, May 2017, doi: [10.1109/JSEN.2017.2682266](#).
- [11] M. Saadat-Safa, V. Nayyeri, M. Khanjarian, M. Soleimani, and O. M. Ramahi, "A CSRR-based sensor for full characterization of magneto-dielectric materials," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 806–814, Feb. 2019, doi: [10.1109/TMTT.2018.2882826](#).
- [12] M. Abdolrazzaghi, N. Kazemi, V. Nayyeri, and F. Martin, "AI-assisted ultra-high-sensitivity/resolution active-coupled CSRR-based sensor with embedded selectivity," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 13, p. 6236, Jul. 2023, doi: [10.3390/s23136236](#).
- [13] M. A. H. Ansari, A. K. Jha, and M. J. Akhtar, "Design and application of the CSRR-based planar sensor for noninvasive measurement of complex permittivity," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 7181–7189, Dec. 2015, doi: [10.1109/JSEN.2015.2469683](#).
- [14] A. A. M. Bahar, Z. Zakaria, M. K. M. Arshad, R. A. Alahnomi, A. I. Abu-Khadrah, and W. Y. Sam, "Microfluidic biochemical sensor based on circular SIW-DMS approach for dielectric characterization application," *Int. J. RF Microw. Comput.-Aided Eng.*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 1–13, Sep. 2019, doi: [10.1002/mmce.21801](#).
- [15] A. A. M. Bahar, Z. Zakaria, M. K. M. Arshad, A. A. M. Isa, Y. Dasril, and R. A. Alahnomi, "Real time microwave biochemical sensor based on circular SIW approach for aqueous dielectric detection," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 1–12, Apr. 2019, doi: [10.1038/s41598-019-41702-3](#).
- [16] J. Yeo and J.-I. Lee, "High-sensitivity microwave sensor based on an interdigital-capacitor-shaped defected ground structure for permittivity characterization," *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 3, p. 498, Jan. 2019, doi: [10.3390/s19030498](#).
- [17] S. Aiswarya, S. K. Menon, M. Donelli, and L. Meenu, "Development of a microwave sensor for solid and liquid substances based on closed loop resonator," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 24, p. 8506, Dec. 2021, doi: [10.3390/s21248506](#).
- [18] L. Ali, C. Wang, I. Ullah, A. Yousaf, W. U. Khan, S. Ullah, R. Khan, F. Allassery, H. Hamam, and M. Shafiq, "Design and optimization of microwave sensor for the non-contact measurement of pure dielectric materials," *Electronics*, vol. 10, no. 24, p. 3057, Dec. 2021, doi: [10.3390/electronics10243057](#).
- [19] L. Ali, C. Wang, F.-Y. Meng, K. K. Adhikari, Y.-C. Wei, J.-H. Li, Z.-W. Song, and M. Zhao, "Design and optimization of interdigitated microwave sensor for multidimensional sensitive characterization of solid materials," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 21, no. 20, pp. 22814–22822, Oct. 2021, doi: [10.1109/JSEN.2021.3105410](#).
- [20] C. Wang, L. Ali, F.-Y. Meng, K. K. Adhikari, Z. L. Zhou, Y. C. Wei, D. Q. Zou, and H. Yu, "High-accuracy complex permittivity characterization of solid materials using parallel interdigital Capacitor-based planar microwave sensor," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 6083–6093, Mar. 2021, doi: [10.1109/JSEN.2020.3041014](#).
- [21] X. Bao, I. Ocket, J. Bao, Z. Liu, B. Puers, D. M. M.-P. Schreurs, and B. Nauwelaers, "Modeling of coplanar interdigital capacitor for microwave microfluidic application," *IEEE Trans. Microw. Theory Techn.*, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 2674–2683, Jul. 2019, doi: [10.1109/TMTT.2019.2916871](#).
- [22] W. J. Wu and G. Wang, "A modified AMC-based antenna sensor for contactless measurement of complex permittivity," *Measurement*, vol. 206, Jun. 2023, Art. no. 112261, doi: [10.1016/j.measurement.2022.112261](#).
- [23] Q. Shi, X.-W. Xuan, H.-K. Nie, Z.-Y. Wang, and W. Wang, "Antenna sensor based on AMC array for contactless detection of water and ethanol in oil," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 21, no. 19, pp. 21503–21510, Oct. 2021, doi: [10.1109/JSEN.2021.3102294](#).
- [24] J. Yeo and J.-I. Lee, "Slot-loaded microstrip patch sensor antenna for high-sensitivity permittivity characterization," *Electronics*, vol. 8, no. 5, p. 502, May 2019, doi: [10.3390/electronics8050502](#).
- [25] S. Alam, Z. Zakaria, I. Surjati, N. A. Shairi, M. Alaydrus, and T. Firmansyah, "Dual-band independent permittivity sensor using single-port with a pair of U-shaped structures for solid material detection," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 22, no. 16, pp. 16111–16119, Aug. 2022, doi: [10.1109/JSEN.2022.3191345](#).
- [26] M. Behdani, M. M. H. Kalateh, H. Saghlatoon, J. Melzer, and R. Mirzavand, "High-resolution dielectric constant measurement using a sensor antenna with an allocated link for data transmission," *IEEE Sensors J.*, vol. 20, no. 24, pp. 14827–14835, Dec. 2020, doi: [10.1109/JSEN.2020.3012055](#).
- [27] S. Alam, Z. Zakaria, I. Surjati, N. A. Shairi, M. Alaydrus, T. Firmansyah, Y. K. Ningsih, and L. Sari, "Collaboratively far-field and near-field regions for dual-modalities microwave permittivity sensor using T-shaped resonator embedded with IDC," *IEEE Sensors Lett.*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 1–4, Jul. 2024, doi: [10.1109/LSENS.2024.3415362](#).
- [28] S. Alam, Z. Zakaria, I. Surjati, N. A. Shairi, M. Alaydrus, and T. Firmansyah, "Multifunctional of dual-band permittivity sensors with antenna using multicascoded T-shaped resonators for simultaneous measurement of solid materials and data transfer capabilities," *Measurement*, vol. 217, Aug. 2023, Art. no. 113078, doi: [10.1016/j.measurement.2023.113078](#).
- [29] A. Ebrahimi, F. J. Tovar-Lopez, J. Scott, and K. Ghorbani, "Differential microwave sensor for characterization of glycerol–water solutions," *Sens. Actuators B, Chem.*, vol. 321, Oct. 2020, Art. no. 128561, doi: [10.1016/j.snb.2020.128561](#).
- [30] S. Kiani, P. Rezaei, and M. Navaei, "Dual-sensing and dual-frequency microwave SRR sensor for liquid samples permittivity detection," *Measurement*, vol. 160, Aug. 2020, Art. no. 107805, doi: [10.1016/j.measurement.2020.107805](#).
- [31] S. Kiani, P. Rezaei, and M. Fakhr, "Real-time measurement of liquid permittivity through label-free meandered microwave sensor," *IETE J. Res.*, vol. 70, no. 5, pp. 4606–4616, May 2024, doi: [10.1080/03772063.2023.2231875](#).
- [32] R. C. Caleffo and F. S. Corraea, "Liquids electrical characterization sensor using a hybrid SIW resonant cavity," *Microw. Opt. Technol. Lett.*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 445–449, Feb. 2018, doi: [10.1002/mop.30983](#).
- [33] A. A. Al-Behadili, I. A. Mocanu, N. Codreanu, and M. Pantazica, "Modified split ring resonators sensor for accurate complex permittivity measurements of solid dielectrics," *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 23, p. 6855, Nov. 2020, doi: [10.3390/s20236855](#).
- [34] S. Lim, C.-Y. Kim, and S. Hong, "Simultaneous measurement of thickness and permittivity by means of the resonant frequency fitting of a microstrip line ring resonator," *IEEE Microw. Wireless Compon. Lett.*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 539–541, Jun. 2018, doi: [10.1109/LMWC.2018.2833202](#).



SYAH ALAM (Member, IEEE) was born in Jakarta, Indonesia. He received the Bachelor of Education (S.Pd.) degree in electrical engineering from the Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia (UPI), in 2010, the M.Eng. (M.T.) degree in telecommunication engineering from the Graduate Program of Electrical Engineering, Universitas Trisakti, in 2012, and the Ph.D. degree in electronic engineering (RF and microwave) from the Universiti Teknikal Melaka Malaysia (UTeM), in 2024. In 2018, he joined the Department of Electrical Engineering, Universitas Trisakti, as a Researcher and a Lecturer. His research interests include microstrip antenna and microwave sensors for various applications.



INDRA SURJATI (Member, IEEE) was born in Bangkok, Thailand. She received the Bachelor of Engineering (Ir.) degree in electrical engineering and the M.Eng. (M.T.) degree in telecommunication engineering from the Graduate Program of Electrical Engineering, Universitas Trisakti, in 1996, and the Ph.D. degree from the Electrical Engineering Department, University of Indonesia, in 2004. In 2011, she was confirmed as a Professor with the Department of Electrical Engineering, Universitas Trisakti. Her research interests include microstrip antenna and microwave circuits for various applications.



LYDIA SARI (Member, IEEE) received the B.S. degree in electrical engineering from Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia, in 1998, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from the University of Indonesia, in 2002 and 2010, respectively. She is currently a Faculty Member with the Electrical Engineering Department, Universitas Trisakti. Her research interests include wireless communications and information theory.



RADEN DEINY MARDIAN (Member, IEEE) received the bachelor's and master's degrees in electrical engineering from Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia, in 1998 and 2001, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from Universitas Indonesia, Depok, Indonesia, in 2023. He has published several journal articles and conference proceedings. He is currently a Lecturer with the Electrical Engineering Department, Faculty of Industrial Technology, Universitas Trisakti.

His research interests include mobile and wireless communication technology, digital broadcasting, quality of service, and quality of experience—all his research concerns technical and regulatory policy management.



TEGUH FIRMANSYAH (Member, IEEE) was born in Subang, Indonesia. He received the B.Eng. and M.Eng. degrees in electrical engineering from the Department of Electrical Engineering, Universitas Indonesia, in 2010 and 2012, respectively, and the Dr.Eng. degree from Shizuoka University, Japan, in 2022. In 2012, he joined the Department of Electrical Engineering, Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, as a Researcher and a Lecturer. He holds two patents for wideband antenna and

multiband antenna. His research interests include microwave circuits for various applications and developing multifunctional sensors using acoustic, plasmonic, and microwave resonators.

MUHAMMAD IQBAL, photograph and biography not available at the time of publication.

SLAMET WIDODO, photograph and biography not available at the time of publication.



MUDRIK ALAYDRUS (Senior Member, IEEE) was born in Jakarta, Indonesia. He received the Dipl.-Ing. degree in electrical engineering from Universitaet Hannover, in 1997, and the Dr.-Ing. degree in electrical engineering from Universitaet Wuppertal, in 2001. Since 2003, he has been with Universitas Mercu Buana, Jakarta. His current research interests include microwave and millimeter wave components, wireless power transfers, wireless sensor networks, the interaction between electromagnetics and materials, and mathematical modeling in signal processing. He is a member of Verein der Deutschen Elektroingenieure (VDE).



ZAHRILADHA ZAKARIA (Senior Member, IEEE) was born in Johor, Malaysia. He received the B.Eng. and M.Eng. degrees in electrical and electronic engineering from the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, in 1998 and 2004, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical and electronic engineering from the Institute of Microwaves and Photonics (IMP), University of Leeds, U.K., in 2010. From 1998 to 2002, he was with STMicroelectronics, Malaysia, where he worked as a Product Engineer. He is currently a Professor with the Microwave Research Group (MRG), Faculty of Electronic and Computer Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM). His research interests include a variety of microwave device development, such as planar and nonplanar microwave filters, resonators, amplifiers, and antennas. He also investigates energy harvesting and sensors.

...