QUALITY IMPROVEMENT IN DENTAL AND MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE, RESEARCH, SKILLS AND ETHICS FACING GLOBAL CHALLENGES

Edited by
Armelia Sari Widyarman, Muhammad Ihsan Rizal,
Moehammad Orliando Roeslan & Carolina Damayanti Marpaung

CRC Press
Taylor & Francis Group

QUALITY IMPROVEMENT IN DENTAL AND MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE, RESEARCH, SKILLS AND ETHICS FACING GLOBAL CHALLENGES

The proceedings of FORIL XIII 2022 Scientific Forum Usakti conjunction with International Conference on Technology of Dental and Medical Sciences (ICTDMS) include selected full papers that have been peer-reviewed and satisfy the conference's criteria. All studies on health, ethics, and social issues in the field of dentistry and medicine have been presented at the conference alongside clinical and technical presentations. The twelve primary themes that make up its framework include the following: behavioral epidemiologic, and health services, conservative dentistry, dental materials, dento-maxillofacial radiology, medical sciences and technology, oral and maxillofacial surgery, oral biology, oral medicine and pathology, orthodontics, pediatrics dentistry, periodontology, and prosthodontics. This proceeding will be beneficial in keeping dental and medical professionals apprised of the most recent scientific developments.

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group
http://taylorandfrancis.com

PROCEEDINGS OF THE INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON TECHNOLOGY OF DENTAL AND MEDICAL SCIENCES (ICTDMS 2022), JAKARTA, INDONESIA, 8–10 DECEMBER 2022

Quality Improvement in Dental and Medical Knowledge, Research, Skills and Ethics Facing Global Challenges

Edited by

Armelia Sari Widyarman, Muhammad Ihsan Rizal, Moehammad Orliando Roeslan and Carolina Damayanti Marpaung
Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia

CRC Press
Taylor & Francis Group
Boca Raton London New York Leiden

CRC Press is an imprint of the
Taylor & Francis Group, an **informa** business
A BALKEMA BOOK

First published 2023
by CRC Press/Balkema
4 Park Square, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 4RN

and by CRC Press/Balkema
2385 NW Executive Center Drive, Suite 320, Boca Raton FL 33431

CRC Press/Balkema is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business

British Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data
A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
A catalog record has been requested for this book

ISBN: 978-1-032-51441-3 (hbk)
ISBN: 978-1-032-51466-6 (pbk)
ISBN: 978-1-003-40237-4 (ebk)

DOI: 10.1201/9781003402374

Typeset in Times New Roman
by MPS Limited, Chennai, India

Quality Improvement in Dental and Medical Knowledge, Research, Skills and Ethics Facing Global Challenges – Widyarman et al. (Eds)
© 2024 The Editor(s), ISBN 978-1-032-51441-3

Table of Contents

Dental materials

Dento-maxillofacial radiology

Medical sciences and technology

Oral and maxillofacial surgery

Oral biology

Oral medicine and pathology

Orthodontics

Pediatric dentistry

Periodontology

Prosthodontics

Quality Improvement in Dental and Medical Knowledge, Research, Skills and Ethics Facing Global Challenges – Widyarman et al. (Eds)

Preface

Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Trisakti (Usakti) presents FORIL XIII 2022 Scientific Forum Usakti conjunction with International Conference on Technology of Dental and Medical Sciences (ICTDMS) on December 8th–10th 2022. The theme of the conference is "Quality Improvement in Dental and Medical Knowledge, Research, Skills and Ethics Facing Global Challenges".

The triennial conference has served as a meeting place for technical and clinical studies on health, ethical, and social issues in field medical and dentistry. It is organized around 12 major themes, including behavioral, epidemiologic, and health services, conservative dentistry, dental materials, dento-maxillofacial radiology, medical sciences and technology, oral and maxillofacial surgery, oral biology, oral medicine and pathology, orthodontics, pediatrics dentistry, periodontology, and prosthodontics.

The most recent findings in fundamental and clinical sciences related to medical and dental research will be presented in the conference that will be published as part of the conference proceeding. This proceeding will be useful for keeping dental and medical professionals up to date on the latest scientific developments.

Dr. Aryadi Subrata
Chairman FORIL XIII conjunction with ICTDMS

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group
http://taylorandfrancis.com

Acknowledgements

Prof. Shinya Murakami, D.D.S., Ph.D. (*Department of Periodontology, Osaka University, Japan*)
Prof. Adrian Yap (*Department of Dentistry, Ng Teng Fong General Hospital, Singapore*)
Prof. Dr. Rosnah Binti Mohd Zain (*Department of Oro-Maxillofacial Surgical & Medical Sciences, Malaya University*)
Prof. Chaminda Jayampath Seneviratne, BDS (Hons)., M.Phil., Ph.D (*University of Queensland, Australia*)
Cortino Sukotjo, DDS, Ph.D., MMSc (*Department of Restorative Dentistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States*)
Prof. Dr. Nicola De Angelis (*Department of Periodontology, University of Genoa, Italy*)
Prof. Hirotaka Kuwata, D.D.S., Ph.D. (*Department of Oral Microbiology and Immunology, Showa University, Japan*)
Prof. Dr. drg. Tri Erri Astoeti, M.Kes (*Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia*)
Prof. drg. Rahmi Amtha, MDS, Ph.D, Sp.PM(K) (*Department of Oral Medicine, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia*)
Prof. Dr. Siriwan Suebnukarn, D.D.S (*Thammasat University, Bangkok, Thailand*)

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group
http://taylorandfrancis.com

Quality Improvement in Dental and Medical Knowledge, Research, Skills and Ethics Facing Global Challenges – Widyarman et al. (Eds)
© 2024 The Editor(s), ISBN 978-1-032-51441-3

Committee Members

Scientific Committee

Prof. Dr. drg. David Buntoro Kamadjaja, Sp.BM(K) (*Oral Maxillofacial Surgeon, Universitas Hassanudin, Makasar, Indonesia*)
Prof. Dr. drg. Diah Savitri Ernawati, Sp.PM(K)., M.Si (*Oral Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia*)
Prof. Dr. drg. Maria Francisca Lindawati Soetanto, Sp.Pros(K) (*Prosthodontic, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia*)
Prof. drg. Boy Muchlis Bachtiar, M.S., Ph.D., PBO (*Oral Biology, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia*)
Prof. Dr. drg. Inne Suherna Sasmita, Sp.KGA(K) (*Pediatric Dentistry, Universitas Padjajaran, Bandung, Indonesia*)
Prof. drg. Sondang Pintauli, Ph.D (*Public Health, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Indonesia*)
Prof. Dr. drg. Miesje Karmiati Purwanegara, S.U., Sp.Orto (*Orthodontic, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia*)
Prof. Dr. drg. Sri Lelyati, S.U, Sp.Perio (K) (*Periodontic, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia*)
drg. Diatri Nari Ratih, M.Kes., Ph.D., Sp.KG(K) (*Conservative Dentistry, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia*)

Organizing Committee

Drg. Aryadi Subrata, Sp.KG(K), (*Conservative Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia*)
Dr. drg. Armelia Sari W., M.Kes., PBO (*Microbiology Oral, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia*)
Dr. drg. Anggraeny Putri Sekar Palupi, Sp.BM (*Oral Maxillofacial Surgeon, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta Indonesia*)
Dr. drg. Muhammad Ihsan Rizal, M.Kes (*Oral Biology, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia*)
drg. Isya Hanin, Sp.Pros (*Prosthodontic, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia*)
drg. Muhammad Orliando Roeslan, M.Kes., PhD (*Oral Biology, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia*)
drg. Dina Ratnasari, Sp.KG(K) (*Conservative Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia*)
drg. Carolina Damayanti Marpaung, Sp.Pros, PhD (*Prosthodontic, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia*)

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group
http://taylorandfrancis.com

Behavioral, epidemiologic and health services

Taylor & Francis
Taylor & Francis Group
http://taylorandfrancis.com

Quality Improvement in Dental and Medical Knowledge, Research, Skills and Ethics Facing Global Challenges – Widyarman et al. (Eds)
© 2024 The Author(s), ISBN 978-1-032-51441-3

Validity and reliability of the Indonesian version of COMDQ-26: A pilot study

J.V. Winarto, I. Gunardi, C.D. Marpaung & R. Amtha
Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

W.M.N. Ghani
Oral Cancer Research & Coordinating Centre, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

ABSTRACT: Oral mucosal diseases, such as acute and chronic oral lesions, may have an impact on an individual's quality of life. OHRQoL is a tool that can be used to determine whether an individual's quality of life is impaired by oral diseases. One of the OHRQoLs that has been utilized often for chronic oral mucosal diseases is the COMDQ-26. This study aims to analyze the Indonesian COMDQ-26 indices' validity and reliability in patients with both acute and chronic oral lesions. An analytic observational study using a cross-sectional design was conducted on subject with oral lesions. Indonesian version of COMDQ-26 was constructed and tested on 30 subjects. Rasch model was used to analyze data. Population was found predominantly female (76.6%) with age >30-40 years old (33.33%). Acute oral lesions were found to have a COMDQ-26 score of 31 (24–53), but chronic oral lesions was shown to have a score of 32 (13–73). The Cronbach alpha for the Indonesian version of the COMDQ-26 was 0.83 and the item reliability was 0.92 with separation of 3.35. Unidimensionality score was 4.3. The Indonesian version of the COMDQ-26 shown strong construct validity, despite the fact that it is still unable to distinguish between the impact of quality of life based on the type of oral lesion. The use of the Indonesian version of the COMDQ-26 has to be reconfirmed by additional investigation.

1 INTRODUCTION

Oral health problems remain a significant problem due to their prevalence and significance as indicators of health impairment (Tahun et al. 2019). The Global Burden of Disease Study in 2019 estimated that oral diseases affect nearly 3.5 billion people worldwide. The most frequent cases are caries, periodontal disease, oral cancer, oro-dental trauma, cleft lip and palate, and noma (Seattle: Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) 2020).

Oral mucosal lesions may range from mere discoloration, variation in surface characteristics, swelling, or loss of integrity of the oral mucosal. (Kesehatan 2019) For the most part, these mucosal lesions are benign and require only symptomatic treatment, and some lesions may interfere with the quality of daily life in affected patients (Villanueva-Vilchis et al. 2016).

WHO recognizes OHRQoL as an essential segment of the Global Oral Health Program to provide an understanding of the impact of oral disease on daily life and quality of life (Bennadi & Reddy 2013). Of the various types of OHRQoL, Chronic Oral Mucosal Diseases Questionnaire-26 (COMDQ-26) is a specific questionnaire developed in oral medicine (Richeal Ni Riordain et al. 2011). This questionnaire is a patient-centred approach and has

DOI: 10.1201/9781003402374-78

Printed by: indrayadigunardi@gmail.com. Printing is for personal, private use only. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted without publisher's prior permission. Violators will be prosecuted.

demonstrated acceptable validity and reliability to support its use (Li & He 2013; Ni Riordain & Mccreary 2012).

COMDQ-26 is an oral health-related QOL instrument that contains 26 items. The items are grouped into four domains: pain and functional limitations, medications and treatments, social and emotional status, and patient support. For each questionnaire, patients answer using a Likert-type response scale (Rajan et al. 2014). This questionnaire was created to determine the impact on quality of life due to chronic oral lesions. This questionnaire has been validated in subjects with oral lesions such as oral lichen planus, pemphigus vulgaris, mucous membrane pemphigoid, recurrent aphthous stomatitis and oral granulomatosis. (Li & He 2013; R. Ni Riordain & Mccreary 2012; Richeal Ni Riordain et al. 2016) Previous studies comparing OHIP-14 and COMDQ-26, found that COMDQ-26 has discriminant and convergent validity and reliability qualities. (Ni Riordain & Mccreary 2012)

The validity and reliability of the COMDQ-26 have been evaluated in the English version but have yet to be in the Indonesian version. Therefore, this pilot study aimed to validate the COMDQ-26 Indonesian version, thus beneficial to be applied in an Indonesian clinical setting. This questionnaire specifically determined the quality of life impact based on the oral lesion that may occur in the oral mucosa.

2 METHODS

An observational analytic study was conducted with a cross-sectional design. A total of 32 subjects was obtained by consecutive sampling from August to December 2022. The inclusion criteria included subjects aged >18 with an oral mucosal lesion. All subjects signed informed consent before the questionnaire was filled out. One oral medicine specialist did oral lesion detection. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Commission Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Trisakti 022/S3/KEPK/FKG/7/2022.

The COMDQ-26 questionnaire contains 26 questions divided into four domains, namely pain and functional limitations (9 items), medications and treatments (6 items), social and emotional status (7 items), and patient support (4 items). The Likert scale was used for one single answer choice, such as very often (4), often (3), sometimes (2), very rarely (1), and never (0).

The COMDQ-26 questionnaire was translated from English to Indonesian by a certified translator. The validity and reliability of the questionnaires were then tested on 30 subjects with recurrent aphthous stomatitis whom an oral medicine specialist had diagnosed. The validity showed Cronbach alpha 0.83 item reliability 0.92 with separation 3.35. The unidimensional test showed an eigenvalue of 4.30 on the first contrast.

All data collected was analyzed by the Rasch model using the Winstep 4.3.4 program. The validity construct was deemed based on the score of Cronbach alpha (>0.8), item and subject reliability (>0.8), and unidimensionality (eigenvalue >2.0).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Out of 32 subjects, two were excluded based on incomplete data. Subjects were predominantly female (76.6%), aged >30-40 years old (33.33%) and had high school education (46.6%).

Table 2 shows that the COMDQ-26 questionnaire has a Cronbach alpha value of 0.83 which is in the excellent category. This is supported by the probability value above 0.05, the mean is close to 0, and the SD is close to 1. In addition, the MNSQ values for both infit and outfit are within the range of 0.5 to 1.5; the standard Z value for both infit and outfit is within the range of -2 to +2. Subject reliability was 0.80 in the Good category with a

Table 1. Population characteristics.

Variable	Male (n=7) n (%)	Female (n=23) n (%)
Age (year)	-3 (10)	4 (13.33)
20-30	1 (3.33)	7 (23.33)
>30–40	1 (3.33)	6 (20)
>40–50	2 (6.66)	4 (13.33)
>50–60		2 (6.66)
>60		
Education	1 (3.33)	6 (20)
Elementary school	-	-
Junior high school	4 (13.33)	10 (33.33)
High school	-	3 (10)
Diploma	1 (3.33)	4 (13.33)
Bachelor	1 (3.33)	-
Magister		

Table 2. Summary of COMDQ-26 questionnaire statistics.

Rasch model summary	Score	Reference
Cronbach alpha (KR-20)Probability	0.83	>0.8 (Excellent)
Mean	0.49	> 0.05
SD	-0,05	Close to 0
Chi-squared	1.18	Close to 1
	1516.6170	-
Subject	0.96 logit	0.5 – 1.5
Infit MNSQ	-0.13 logit	-2 – 2
Infit ZSTD	1.40 logit	0.5 – 1.5
Outfit MNSQO	0.31 logit	-2 – 2
utfit ZSTD	1.99	Close to 2
Separation	0.80	0.8-0.9 (Good)
Reliability		
Item	1.08 logit	0.5 – 1.5
Infit MNSQ	0.01 logit	-2 – 2
Infit ZSTD	1.40 logit	0.5 – 1.5
Outfit MNSQ	0.19 logit	-2 – 2
Outfit ZSTD	3.350.92	Close to 3
Separation		>0.9 (Excellent)
Reliability		

SD standard deviation; MNSQ mean square; ZSTD Z standard;

separation of 1.99, while item reliability was 0.92 in the Good category with a separation of 3.35.

Table 2 showed the chi-square value of 1516.6170 with a degree of freedom (df) 1,517 with a probability value of 0.49. The probability value indicates that the data has conformity with the Rasch model and the level of item difficulty is well distributed, as seen from the probability value of p, which is greater than 0.05, and the data is invariant. The mean value of -0.05 with SD 1.18 indicated that the data has a normal distribution where the mean value is close to 0 with an SD close to 1.

It appears from the results of the analysis in the person table that the MNSQ infit and outfit values have a value of 0.96 and 1.40 and are under the MNSQ value tolerance limit of



Category label	Observed count	Andrich threshold
0	391	NONE
1	122	0.40
2	46	0.58
3	54	-0.31
4	168	-0.67

A B

Figure 1. A) Item map showed distribution of subjects (left) and item of COMDQ-26 (right); B) Andrich threshold analysis.

0.5-1.5; these results indicate that the response given by the subject as a whole is of good value. The outfit and infit ZSTD values are -0.13 and 0.31. This shows that the overall pattern of the subject's answers fit with the Rasch model with a limit that is between -2 to 2.113.

The subject reliability score reached 0.80, which is a good category. This shows that the overall pattern of the subject's answers fits well with the model.

Table 2 shows the summary results for items with an infit MNSQ value of 1.08 and an outfit MNSQ of 1.40 and under a tolerance limit of 0.5 - 1.5. This is supported by the infit ZSTD and outfit ZSTD values of 0.01 and 0.19 with tolerance limits on a scale between -2 and 2. This shows that the overall instrument is good and has an excellent reliability value of 0.92.

The Cronbach alpha (KR-20) value, which measures the interaction between subjects and items, shows a good reliability value of 0.83 and is included in the excellent category. This study result showed that the data obtained in the study was good and according to the requirements of the Rasch model.

Figure 1A showed the distribution of response patterns given by the subjects denoted in the form (#) and (.) on the left and the difficulty level of items on the right according to the item code. The subject's response pattern shows that the average logit value reaches 0.612 logit, indicating that all subjects tend to have oral disorders due to oral lesions, as indicated by the lower logit value compared to the average item/question item (Figure 1A).

As shown in Figure 1A, it can be seen that the distribution of items is good, as can be seen from the even distribution of the difficulty level of the items. However, some items exceed 2SD (C23-3 and C24-4), but overall the questionnaire can measure respondents well and group respondents into three categories.

Figure 1B explains the questionnaire items' rank validity and the questionnaire's uni-dimensionality test so that the questionnaire can measure what should be measured.

The validity of the rating scale is used to determine the grouping of the responses to the questionnaire with a Likert scale. With Rasch model analysis, a verification process can be carried out to determine the ranking grouping in the instrument. In this questionnaire used. Likert scale type grouping with five categories.

Respondents provide answers to each item, and the answers given by respondents will be grouped to whether respondents tend to answer in the left column (always) or the far right (never) to questions related to the effect of oral disorders on quality of life.

The figure shows the mean value of the observations is -6.96 for option 1 (Always) and increases until it reaches +4.18 for option 5 (Never). The increase in each choice needs to be more consistent in Figure 6.

AVRGE and Andrich thresholds, so it is necessary to modify the Likert scale from 5 categories to 4 categories because option three is considered less relevant in classifying the subject's response and can be eliminated.

Table 3. Unidimensionality questionnaire.

	Eigenvalue	Observed	Expected
Total raw variance in observations	59.45	100%	100%
Raw variance explained by measures	33.45	42.7%	42.8%
Raw variance explained by person	5.96	6.8%	6.9%
Raw variance explained by items	27.48	35.8%	36.0%
Raw unexplained variance (total)	26	43.7%	44.1%
Unexplained variance in 1st contrast	4.30	7.2%	16.5%
Unexplained variance in 2nd contrast	3.41	5.7%	13.1%
Unexplained variance in 3rd contrast	2.58	4.3%	9.9%
Unexplained variance in 4th contrast	2.39	4.0%	9.2%
Unexplained variance in 5th contrast	2.07	3.5%	8.0%

Table 3 showed that the raw variance explained by the measure shows a value above 40%, and the eigenvalue for unexplained variance in the first contrast is above 2. Thus, Tables 2 and 3 showed that the COMDQ-26 questionnaire has good construct validity. (Bond 2015; Gunardi et al. 2020; Yap et al. 2021) In this study, all construct validity criteria were met, and the Indonesian version of the COMDQ-26 questionnaire has good construct validity.

3 CONCLUSION

The Indonesian version of the COMDQ-26 questionnaire has good construct validity, validity shown from the Cronbach alpha value of 0.83 and item reliability of 0.92 with a separation of 3.35. However, the questionnaire answer choice was considerably vague/uncertain for the Indonesian population. Further research needs to be done to confirm the use of the Indonesian version of COMDQ-26.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest for this study.

FUNDING

No funding received for this study from any institutions or organizations.

REFERENCES

Bennadi, D., & Reddy, C.V.K. 2013. Oral health related quality of life. *Journal of International Society of Preventive and Community Dentistry* 3(1): 1–6.

Bond, T. 2015. Applying the Rasch Model. In *Applying the Rasch Model: Vol. 3 rd.* Routledge.

Gunardi, I., Salsabila Nurina, N., Marcia, & Amtha, R. 2020. Dentists experience influences knowledge and attitudes toward HIV patients in West Jakarta, Indonesia, and validation of a new questionnaire. *Oral Diseases* 26(S1): 127–132.

Kesehatan, K.K.R.B.P. dan P. 2019. *Laporan Nasional Riskesdas 2018*. Lembaga Penerbitan Badan dan Pengembangan Kesehatan.

Li, M., & He, S.L. 2013. Reliability and validity of the Chinese version of the Chronic Oral Mucosal Diseases Questionnaire. *Journal of Oral Pathology and Medicine* 42(2): 194–199.

Ni Riordain, R., & Mccreary, C. 2012. Further reliability and responsiveness of the Chronic Oral Mucosal Diseases Questionnaire. *Oral Diseases* 18(1): 60–66.

Ni Riordain, Richeal, Christou, J., Pinder, D., Squires, V., & Hodgson, T. 2016. Cost of illness of oral lichen planus in a UK population - a pilot study. *Journal of Oral Pathology and Medicine* 45(5): 381–384.

Ni Riordain, Richeal, Meaney, S., & McCreary, C. 2011. A patient-centered approach to developing a quality-of-life questionnaire for chronic oral mucosal diseases. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontology* 111(5): 578–586.e2.

Rajan, B., Ahmed, J., Shenoy, N., Denny, C., Ongole, R., & Binnal, A. 2014. Assessment of quality of life in patients with chronic oral mucosal diseases: a questionnaire-based study. *The Permanente Journal* 18(1): 123–127.

Seattle: Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). 2020. Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019).

Tahun, U., Smp, D.I., Dan, X., Palembang, S., Aulia, B., Wahyuni, S., & Aprilia, R.F. 2019. Perbandingan Status Kesehatan Gigi Dan Mulut Siswa. *Perbandingan Status Kesehatan Gigi Dan Mulut Siswa* 1(1): 6–12.

Villanueva-Vilchis, M. del C., López-Ríos, P., García, I.M., & Gaitán-Cepeda, L.A. 2016. Impact of oral mucosa lesions on the quality of life related to oral health. An etiopathogenic study. *Medicina Oral Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal* 21(2): e178–e184.

Yap, A.U., Marpaung, C., & Gunardi, I. 2021. Psychometric properties of the Indonesian Fonseca anamnestic index and the presence/severity of temporomandibular disorders among Indonesian young adults. CRANIO® : 1–8.

Validity and reliability of the Indonesian version of COMDQ-26: A Pilot Study

by Carolina Damayanti Marpaung

Submission date: 25-Jul-2025 01:50PM (UTC+0700)
Submission ID: 2720280054
File name: esian_version_of_COMDQ-26_A_Pilot_Study__Jessica_Valerie_1.docx (87.32K)
Word count: 2530
Character count: 13628

Validity and reliability of the Indonesian version of COMDQ-26: A Pilot Study

J.V. Winarto, I. Gunardi*, C.D. Marpaung, & R. Amtha
Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia
W.M.N. Ghani
Oral Cancer Research & Coordinating Centre, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

*Correspondence: indrayadi@trisakti.ac.id

ABSTRACT: Oral mucosal diseases, such as acute and chronic oral lesions, may have an impact on an individual's quality of life. OHRQoL is a tool that can be used to determine whether an individual's quality of life is impaired by oral diseases. One of the OHRQoLs that has been utilized often for chronic oral mucosal diseases is the COMDQ-26. This study aims to analyze the Indonesian COMDQ-26 indices' validity and reliability in patients with both acute and chronic oral lesions. An analytic observational study using a cross-sectional design was conducted on subject with oral lesions. Indonesian version of COMDQ-26 was constructed and tested on 30 subjects. Rasch model was used to analyze data. Population was found predominantly female (76.6%) with age >30-40 years old (33.33%). Acute oral lesions were found to have a COMDQ-26 score of 31 (24–53), but chronic oral lesions was shown to have a score of 32 (13–73). The Cronbach alpha for the Indonesian version of the COMDQ-26 was 0.83 and the item reliability was 0.92 with separation of 3.35. Unidimensionality score was 4.3. The Indonesian version of the COMDQ-26 shown strong construct validity, despite the fact that it is still unable to distinguish between the impact of quality of life based on the type of oral lesion. The use of the Indonesian version of the COMDQ-26 has to be reconfirmed by additional investigation.

1 INTRODUCTION

Oral health problems remain a significant problem due to their prevalence and significance as indicators of health impairment (Tahun et al., 2019). The Global Burden of Disease Study in 2019 estimated that oral diseases affect nearly 3.5 billion people worldwide. The most frequent cases are caries, periodontal disease, oral cancer, oro-dental trauma, cleft lip and palate, and noma (Seattle: Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME), 2020).

Oral mucosal lesions may range from mere discolouration, variation in surface characteristics, swelling, or loss of integrity of the oral mucosal. (Kesehatan, 2019)For the most part, these mucosal lesions are benign and require only symptomatic treatment, and some lesions may interfere with the quality of daily life in affected patients (Villanueva-Vilchis et al., 2016).

WHO recognizes OHRQoL as an essential segment of the Global Oral Health Program to provide an understanding of the impact of oral disease on daily life and quality of life (Bennadi & Reddy, 2013). Of the various types of OHRQoL, Chronic Oral Mucosal Diseases Questionnaire-26 (COMDQ-26) is a specific questionnaire developed in oral medicine (Ni Riordain et al., 2011). This questionnaire is a patient-centred approach and has demonstrated acceptable validity and reliability to support it's use (Li & He, 2013; Ni Riordain & Mccreary, 2012).

COMDQ-26 is an oral health-related QOL instrument that contains 26 items. The items are grouped into four domains: pain and functional limitations, medications and treatments, social and emotional status, and patient support. For each questionnaire, patients answer using a Likert-type response scale (Rajan et al., 2014) This questionnaire was created to determine the impact on quality of life

due to chronic oral lesions. This questionnaire has been validated in subjects with oral lesions such as oral lichen planus, pemphigus vulgaris, mucous membrane pemphigoid, recurrent aphthous stomatitis and oral granulomatosis. (Li & He, 2013; Ni Riordain et al., 2016; Ni Riordain & Mccreary, 2012) Previous studies comparing OHIP-14 and COMDQ-26, found that COMDQ-26 has discriminant and convergent validity and reliability qualities.(Ni Riordain & Mccreary, 2012)

The validity and reliability of the COMDQ-26 have been evaluated in the English version but have yet to be in the Indonesian version. Therefore, this pilot study aimed to validate the COMDQ-26 Indonesian version, thus beneficial to be applied in an Indonesian clinical setting. This questionnaire specifically determined the quality of life impact based on the oral lesion that may occur in the oral mucosa.

2 METHODS

An observational analytic study was conducted with a cross-sectional design. A total of 32 subjects was obtained by consecutive sampling from August to December 2022. The inclusion criteria included subjects aged >18 with an oral mucosal lesion. All subjects signed informed consent before the questionnaire was filled out. One oral medicine specialist did oral lesion detection. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Commission Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Trisakti 022/S3/KEPK/FKG/7/2022.

The COMDQ-26 questionnaire contains 26 questions divided into four domains, namely pain and functional limitations (9 items), medications and treatments (6 items), social and emotional status (7 items), and patient support (4 items). The Likert scale was used for one single answer choice, such as very often (4), often (3), sometimes (2), very rarely (1), and never (0).

The COMDQ-26 questionnaire was translated from English to Indonesian by a certified translator. The validity and reliability of the questionnaires were then tested on 30 subjects with recurrent aphthous stomatitis whom an oral medicine specialist had diagnosed. The validity showed Cronbach alpha 0.83 item reliability 0.92 with separation 3.35. The unidimensional test showed an eigenvalue of 4.30 on the first contrast.

All data collected was analyzed by the Rasch model using the Winstep 4.3.4 program. The validity construct was deemed based on the score of Cronbach alpha (≥0.8), item and subject reliability (>0.8), and unidimensionality (eigenvalue >2.0).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Out of 32 subjects, two were excluded based on incomplete data. Subjects were predominantly female (76.6%), aged >30-40 years old (33.33%), and had high school education (46.6%).

Table 1. Population characteristics

Variable	Male (n=7) n (%)	Female (n=23) n (%)
Age (year)		
20-30	-	4 (13.33)
>30-40	3 (10)	7 (23.33)
>40-50	1 (3.33)	6 (20)
>50-60	1 (3.33)	4 (13.33)
>60	2 (6.66)	2 (6.66)
Education		
Elementary school	1 (3.33)	6 (20)
Junior high school	-	-
High school	4 (13.33)	10 (33.33)
Diploma	-	3 (10)
Bachelor	1 (3.33)	4 (13.33)
Magister	1 (3.33)	-

Table 2. Summary of COMDQ-26 questionnaire statistics

Rasch model summary	Score	Reference
Cronbach alpha (KR-20)	0.83	≥0.8 (Excellent)
Probability	0.49	> 0.05
Mean	-0,05	Close to 0
SD	1.18	Close to 1
Chi-squared	1516.6170	-
Subject		
Infit MNSQ	0.96 logit	0.5 - 1.5
Infit ZSTD	-0.13 logit	-2 - 2
Outfit MNSQ	1.40 logit	0.5 - 1.5
Outfit ZSTD	0.31 logit	-2 - 2
Separation	1.99	Close to 2
Reliability	0.80	0.8-0.9 (Good)
Item		
Infit MNSQ	1.08 logit	0.5 - 1.5
Infit ZSTD	0.01 logit	-2 - 2
Outfit MNSQ	1.40 logit	0.5 - 1.5
Outfit ZSTD	0.19 logit	-2 - 2
Separation	3.35	Close to 3
Reliability	0.92	>0.9 (Excellent)

SD standard deviation; MNSQ mean square; ZSTD Z standard;

Table 2 shows that the COMDQ-26 questionnaire has a Cronbach alpha value of 0.83 which is in the excellent category. This is supported by the probability value above 0.05, the mean is close to 0, and the SD is close to 1. In addition, the MNSQ values for both infit and outfit are within the range of 0.5 to 1.5; the standard Z value for both infit and outfit is within the range of -2 to +2. Subject reliability was 0.80 in the Good category with a separation of 1.99, while item reliability was 0.92 in the Good category with a separation of 3.35.

Table 2 showed the chi-square value of 1516.6170 with a degree of freedom (df) 1,517 with a probability value of 0.49. The probability value indicates that the data has conformity with the Rasch model and the level of item difficulty is well distributed, as seen from the probability value of p, which is greater than 0.05, and the data is invariant. The mean value of -0.05 with SD 1.18 indicated that the data has a normal distribution where the mean value is close to 0 with an SD close to 1.

It appears from the results of the analysis in the person table that the MNSQ infit and outfit values have a value of 0.96 and 1.40 and are under the MNSQ value tolerance limit of 0.5-1.5; these results indicate that the response given by the subject as a whole is of good value. The outfit and infit ZSTD values are -0.13 and 0.31. This shows that the overall pattern of the subject's answers fit with the Rasch model with a limit that is between -2 to 2.113.

The subject reliability score reached 0.80, which is a good category. This shows that the overall pattern of the subject's answers fits well with the model.

Table 2 shows the summary results for items with an infit MNSQ value of 1.08 and an outfit MNSQ of 1.40 and under a tolerance limit of 0.5 - 1.5. This is supported by the infit ZSTD and outfit ZSTD values of 0.01 and 0.19 with tolerance limits on a scale between -2 and 2. This shows that the overall instrument is good and has an excellent reliability value of 0.92.

The Cronbach alpha (KR-20) value, which measures the interaction between subjects and items, shows a good reliability value of 0.83 and is included in the excellent category. This study result showed that the data obtained in the study was good and according to the requirements of the Rasch model.

Figure 1A showed the distribution of response patterns given by the subjects denoted in the form (#) and (.) on the left and the difficulty level of items on the right according to the item code. The subject's response pattern shows that the average logit value reaches 0.612 logit, indicating that all subjects tend to have oral disorders due to oral lesions, as indicated by the lower logit value compared to the average item/question item (Figure 1A).



A



Category label	Observed count	Andrich threshold
0	391	NONE
1	122	0.40
2	44	0.58
3	54	-0.31
4	168	-0.67

B

Figure 1. A. Item map showed distribution of subjects (left) and item of COMDQ-26 (right). B. Andrich threshold analysis.

As shown in Figure 1A, it can be seen that the distribution of items is good, as can be seen from the even distribution of the difficulty level of the items. However, some items exceed 2SD (C23-3 and C24-4), but overall the questionnaire can measure respondents well and group respondents into three categories.

Figure 1B explains the questionnaire items' rank validity and the questionnaire's unidimensionality test so that the questionnaire can measure what should be measured.

The validity of the rating scale is used to determine the grouping of the responses to the questionnaire with a Likert scale. With Rasch model analysis, a verification process can be carried out to determine the ranking grouping in the instrument. In this questionnaire used. Likert scale type grouping with five categories.

Respondents provide answers to each item, and the answers given by respondents will be grouped to whether respondents tend to answer in the left column (always) or the far right (never) to questions related to the effect of oral disorders on quality of life.

The figure shows the mean value of the observations is -6.96 for option 1 (Always) and increases until it reaches +4.18 for option 5 (Never). The increase in each choice needs to be more consistent in Figure 6.

AVRGE and Andrich thresholds, so it is necessary to modify the Likert scale from 5 categories to 4 categories because option three is considered less relevant in classifying the subject's response and can be eliminated.

Table 3. Unidimensionality questionnaire

	Eigenvalue	Observed	Expected
Total raw variance in observations	59.45	100%	100%
Raw variance explained by measures	33.45	42.7%	42.8%
Raw variance explained by person	5.96	6.8%	6.9%
Raw variance explained by items	27.48	35.8%	36.0%
Raw unexplained variance (total)	26	43.7%	44.1%
Unexplained variance in 1st contrast	4.30	7.2%	16.5%
Unexplained variance in 2nd contrast	3.41	5.7%	13.1%
Unexplained variance in 3rd contrast	2.58	4.3%	9.9%
Unexplained variance in 4th contrast	2.39	4.0%	9.2%
Unexplained variance in 5th contrast	2.07	3.5%	8.0%

Table 3 showed that the raw variance explained by the measure shows a value above 40%, and the eigenvalue for unexplained variance in the first contrast is above 2. Thus, Tables 2 and 3 showed that the COMDQ-26 questionnaire has good construct validity. (Bond, 2015; Gunardi et al., 2020; Yap et al., 2021) In this study, all construct validity criteria were met, and the Indonesian version of the COMDQ-26 questionnaire has good construct validity.

4 CONCLUSION

The Indonesian version of the COMDQ-26 questionnaire has good construct validity, validity shown from the Cronbach alpha value of 0.83 and item reliability of 0.92 with a separation of 3.35. However, the questionnaire answer choice was considerably vague/uncertain for the Indonesian population. Further research needs to be done to confirm the use of the Indonesian version of COMDQ-26.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest for this study

FUNDING

No funding received for this study from any institutions or organizations.

REFERENCES

Bennadi, D., & Reddy, C. V. K. (2013). Oral health related quality of life. *Journal of International Society of Preventive and Community Dentistry*, *3*(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.4103/2231-0762.115700

Bond, T. (2015). Applying the Rasch Model. In *Applying the Rasch Model: Vol. 3 rd*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315814698

Gunardi, I., Salsabila Nurina, N., Marcia, & Amtha, R. (2020). Dentists experience influences knowledge and attitudes toward HIV patients in West Jakarta, Indonesia, and validation of a new questionnaire. *Oral Diseases*, *26*(S1), 127–132. https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.13393

Kesehatan, K. K. R. B. P. dan P. (2019). *Laporan Nasional Riskesdas 2018*. Lembaga Penerbitan Badan dan Pengembangan Kesehatan.

Li, M., & He, S. L. (2013). Reliability and validity of the Chinese version of the Chronic Oral Mucosal Diseases Questionnaire. *Journal of Oral Pathology and Medicine*, *42*(2), 194–199. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0714.2012.01157.x

Ni Riordain, R., Christou, J., Pinder, D., Squires, V., & Hodgson, T. (2016). Cost of illness of oral lichen planus in a UK population - a pilot study. *Journal of Oral Pathology and Medicine*, *45*(5), 381–384. https://doi.org/10.1111/jop.12415

Ni Riordain, R., & Mccreary, C. (2012). Further reliability and responsiveness of the Chronic Oral Mucosal Diseases Questionnaire. *Oral Diseases*, *18*(1), 60–66. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-0825.2011.01844.x

Ni Riordain, R., Meaney, S., & McCreary, C. (2011). A patient-centered approach to developing a quality-of-life questionnaire for chronic oral mucosal diseases. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontology*, *111*(5), 578-586.e2. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tripleo.2010.12.011

Rajan, B., Ahmed, J., Shenoy, N., Denny, C., Ongole, R., & Binnal, A. (2014). Assessment of quality of life in patients with chronic oral mucosal diseases: a questionnaire-based study. *The Permanente Journal*, *18*(1), 123–127. https://doi.org/10.7812/tpp/13-095

Seattle: Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). (2020). *Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019)*. http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool

Tahun, U., Smp, D. I., Dan, X., Palembang, S., Aulia, B., Wahyuni, S., & Aprilia, R. F. (2019). Perbandingan Status Kesehatan Gigi Dan Mulut Siswa. *Perbandingan Status Kesehatan Gigi Dan Mulut Siswa*, *1*(1), 6–12.

Villanueva-Vilchis, M. del C., López-Ríos, P., García, I. M., & Gaitán-Cepeda, L. A. (2016). Impact of oral mucosa lesions on the quality of life related to oral health. An etiopathogenic study. *Medicina Oral Patologia Oral y Cirugia Bucal*, *21*(2), e178–e184. https://doi.org/10.4317/medoral.20866

Yap, A. U., Marpaung, C., & Gunardi, I. (2021). Psychometric properties of the Indonesian Fonseca anamnestic index and the presence/severity of temporomandibular disorders among Indonesian young adults. *CRANIO®*, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/08869634.2021.1999615

Validity and reliability of the Indonesian version of COMDQ-26: A Pilot Study

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%	13%	15%	5%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	bmcgeriatr.biomedcentral.com Internet Source	2%
2	www.thepermanentejournal.org Internet Source	2%
3	Kaustubh Sansare, Ruchika Kapoor, Freny Karjodkar. "Validity of Chronic Oral Mucosal Diseases Questionnaire in oral submucous fibrosis", Clinical Oral Investigations, 2018 Publication	2%
4	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source	2%
5	assets-eu.researchsquare.com Internet Source	1%
6	Maji Jose, Vrinda Rajagopal, Finosh G. Thankam. "Oral tissue regeneration: Current status and future perspectives", Elsevier BV, 2021 Publication	1%
7	M. Shteiner, S. Kleinman, A. Shuster, V. Raiser, C. Ianculovici, H. Rachima, I. Kaplan. "Submucosal Fibrotic Bands in Oral Lichen Planus: A Clinico-Pathological Investigation of a Newly Described Phenomenon", Head and Neck Pathology, 2020 Publication	1%
8	Danial Qasim Butt, Osama Khattak, Farooq Ahmad Chaudhary, Alzarea K. Bader et al. "Oral Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients	1%

with Chronic Oral Mucosal Diseases: Reliability and Validity of Urdu Version of Chronic Oral Mucosal Disease Questionnaire (COMDQ)", Healthcare, 2023
Publication

9	Chih-Ying Li, Julia Waid-Ebbs, Craig A. Velozo, Shelley C. Heaton. "Factor structure and item level psychometrics of the Social Problem Solving Inventory – Revised: Short Form in traumatic brain injury", Neuropsychological Rehabilitation, 2015 Publication	1 %
10	d-nb.info Internet Source	1 %
11	web.mda.org.my Internet Source	1 %
12	www.labome.org Internet Source	1 %
13	bmcpsychology.biomedcentral.com Internet Source	1 %

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	< 15 words
Exclude bibliography	On		