Temporomandibular disorder and somatic symptoms: Relations to 'fear of missing out' and other negative emotional states

by Carolina Damayanti Marpaung

Submission date: 25-Jul-2025 02:00PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2720287678

File name: ACTA_Odont_Temporomandibular_Disorder_Genap_2023_drg_Maya.pdf (317.5K)

Word count: 7599 Character count: 39229



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Temporomandibular disorder and somatic symptoms: Relations to 'fear of missing out' and other negative emotional states

Adrian Ujin Yapababab, Florencia Kurniawan , Yenny Pragustine and Carolina Marpaung

^aDepartment of Dentistry, Ng Teng Fong General Hospital and Faculty of Dentistry, National University Health System, Singapore, Singapore; ^aNational Dental Research Institute Singapore, National Dental Center Singapore and Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore Health Services, Singapore, Singapore; ^cDepartment of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

Objective: The association between the 'fear of missing out (FOMO)' and physical symptoms has not been widely explored. This study aimed to investigate the relationship between FOMO and other negative emotions with Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) and somatic symptoms in young adults. The correlations between the various physical and emotional variables were also established.

Material and methods: TMD and somatic symptoms were appraised with the Short-form Fonseca Anamnestic Index, quintessential five TMD symptoms of the Diagnostic Criteria (DC)/TMD, and Patient Health Questionnaire-15. FOMO and other negative emotional states were assessed with the FOMO Scale

and Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scales-21 (DASS-21). Data were evaluated using non-parametric tests/cor-relation and regression analysis (a = 0.05).

Results: While only negative affectivity (total DASS), anxiety, and stress differed significantly between those without and with TMDs, significant variances in FOMO and all DASS-21 constructs were discerned between individuals without and with somatization.

Conclusions: Individuals with orofacial pain and more severe somatic symptoms have higher levels of negative emotions including FOMO. While somatization increased the prospect of TMDs, being female,

presence of TMDs, and negative affectivity were risk factors for somatization in young adults.

Clinical relevance: Asian young adults appear to be disposed to somatization, and TMDs may be a form of functional somatic syndromes. Recognition of somatic symptoms and emotional distress, including FOMO, is essential for person-centric TMD care.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Recevied 10 February 2024 Accepted 7 May 2024

KEYWORDS

Temporomandibular joint disorders: Somatizat Depression: Anxiety: Stress

Introduction

 ${\it `Fear of missing out (FOMO)'}, a contemporary negative emotional$ construct, has been defined as the 'pervasive apprehension that others might be having rewarding experiences from which one is absent' [1]. It is experienced by many young people and is characterized by the persistent desire to stay continuously connected with others in one's social network [1, 2]. FOMO is posited to be a predisposing factor for excessive internet and social media use [3–6]. More specifically, the problematic use of social networking sites (SNSs) accompanying FOMO is contributed by the ease of 'at-will' interactions offered by modern mobile devices and the constant need for personal validation and rewarding experiences [6]. The latter is manifested by compulsive behaviors to maintain social connections, such as the frequent checking and engagement of SNSs, which could heighten anxiety levels. Furthermore, the continuous 'upward $social\,comparisons' and 'unreasonable\,expectations'\,created\,can$ bring about poor self-esteem as well as depression [7]. FOMO did not diminish during the COVID-19 pandemic, even when socially distanced at home, but instead strengthened attitudes

toward online communications and relational closeness [8, 9]. It has been associated with a range of adverse physical and psychological effects including sleep deprivation, reduced life competency, a lack of emotional control, and emotional distress [6, 10]. While the link between FOMO and other negative emotional states was explored in recent years [4, 10], there is a paucity of research regarding its relation to somatic symptoms including Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs). The latter is clinically important given the known relationship of somatic and TMD symptoms to depression and anxiety, which could be mediated by FOMO in young people [11-13].

East and Southeast Asians have a greater propensity for somatization (the manifestation of psycho-emotional distress through somatic symptoms) than Westerners [14, 15]. This phenomenon had been attributed to the stigma, interpersonal sensitivity, low social support, and rates of treatment-seeking associated with mental illness, as well as the overt emphasis on 'somatic idioms' of distress in Asian cultures [13, 15–17]. The 'persistent and troublesome' somatic complaints entail one or more organ or physiological (musculoskeletal, nervous,

CONTACT Carolina Marpaung 🔯 carolina@trisakti.ac.id 🔂 Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jl Kyai Tapa no 260, Jakarta 1140,

Indonesia

2 2024 The Author(s). Published by MJS Publishing on behalf of Acta Odontologica Scandinavica Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creative.commons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), allowing third parties to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format and to remix, transform, and build upon the material, with the condition of proper attribution to the original work.

cardio-respiratory, gastrointestinal, and/or genito-urinary) Study measures systems and can be clustered into functional somatic disorders that encompass fibromyalgia, chronic fatigue, irritable bowel, and other syndromes [18].

TMDs are a heterogeneous group of musculoskeletal conditions characterized by pain and/or dysfunction of the stomatognathic system [19]. They affect up to 16% of the general population and share many epidemiological and etiopathogenetic features with functional somatic syndromes [19, 20]. As such, TMDs have been postulated to be a form of functional somatic syndromes with central sensitization (amplified response of the central nervous system to peripheral inputs) being the coalescing factor [21]. This was corroborated by the high prevalence of moderate-to-severe somatization (up to 77%) in TMD patients [11]. More recently, somatic symptoms and stress were found to predict TMDs, whereas TMD symptoms, stress, and being female were risk factors for somatic symptoms in Asian community youths [13]. Additionally, somatic, depressive, and anxiety symptoms were observed to be related to TMDs in young people, even when not severe [22].

Considering the aforesaid and the recognition of FOMO as a modern psychological phenomenon [1, 6], this study aimed to investigate the associations of TMD and somatic symptoms to FOMO and other negative emotional states in Asian young adults. Correlations between TMD, somatic, FOMO, depressive, anxiety, and stress symptoms were also examined. The research hypotheses were as follows: (1) young adults with TMDs and somatization experience greater levels of FOMO and emotional distress, (2) the type of TMD symptoms and degree of somatic symptoms are related to the extent of FOMO and emotional distress, and (3) TMD, somatic, negative affectivity, depression, anxiety, and stress scores are correlated.

Materials and methods

Study design and sample

The study was authorized by the ethics committee of the Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Indonesia (reference number: 013/S3/KEPK/FKG/9/2021). Young adults were recruited from a university in the capital city of Indonesia (Jakarta) using a voluntary sampling procedure. The inclusion criteria were individuals aged 18 to 24 and English proficiency. Those with prior orofacial trauma or receiving medical care for debilitating physical and/or psychiatric conditions were duly excluded. A minimum of 205 participants was determined with an online calculator (https:// www.calculator.net/sample-size-calculator.html) based on a 95% confidence level, 5% margin of error, 16% prevalence of TMDs,19 and enrollment of 20,638 students. All potential study participants were provided information on the study and informed consent was obtained before administering an online survey containing demographic details, the Short-form Fonseca Anamnestic Index (SFAI), quintessential five TMD symptoms (5Ts) of the Diagnostic Criteria for TMDs Symptom Questionnaire (DC/TMD-SO), Patient Health Questionnaire-15 (PHO-15), FOMO Scale, and Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scales-21 (DASS-21) [1, 23-261.

TMD and somatic symptoms

The presence of TMDs was ascertained with the 5-item SFAI, which was developed to reduce the dimensionality and improve the diagnostic accuracy of the parent instrument [23]. The SFAI has good psychometric properties and high specificity and sensitivity when referenced to both the Research Diagnostic Criteria for TMDs (RDC/TMD) and DC/TMD standards [23, 27, 28]. Items are scored using a 3-point response scale with 'no' = 0 points, 'sometimes' = 5 points, and 'yes' = 10 points. Total SFAI scores ≥15 points specify that TMDs are present [23]. To differentiate the influence of TMD pain and dysfunction, the archetypal five TMD symptoms (5Ts) were appraised with the key items from the DC/TMD-SQ which had 'no' and 'yes' response options. The latter were assigned 0 and 10 points accordingly to follow the SFAI scoring scheme and facilitate ensuing correlation analysis. Participants were subsequently categorized into no, pain-related (TMD/facial pain and headache), intra-articular (Temporo-Mandibular Joint (TMJ) sounds, closed, and open-locking), and mixed (both pain-related and intra-articular) TMD groups [24, 29]. The 5Ts were recently demonstrated to exhibit high accuracy for distinguishing both pain-related and/or intra-articular disorders [24]. The presence of somatization and somatic symptoms severity was assessed with the PHQ-15 [25]. The reliability and validity of the PHQ-15 are well established and it has been utilized in a variety of healthcare settings as well as the Axis II of the DC/TMD [25, 29, 30]. The items, which included the most prevalent Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders DSM-IV-TR somatization disorder somatic symptoms [31], are rated using a 3-point response scale with 'not bothered at all' = 0 points, 'bothered a little' = 1 point, and 'bothered a lot' = 2 points. Total PHQ-15 scores span from 0 to 30 points with scores of ≥5 points indicating the presence of somatization and 5, 10, and 15 points serving as the cut-points for low, medium, and high somatic symptoms severity respectively.

FOMO and negative emotional states

FOMO was evaluated with the 10-item FOMO Scale that deals with the 'angst that others are having fun without you' [1]. It is the first experimentally authenticated measure of FOMO and had been widely used in psychological research and validated in the target population [32, 33]. Items are scored on a 5-point response scale ranging from 'no at all true of me' = 1 point to 'extremely true of me' = 5 points, Total FOMO scores vary between 10 and 50 points with greater scores specifying higher levels of FOMO. The negative emotional states of depression, anxiety, and stress were assessed with the DASS-21 which contained 7 items for each construct [26]. The DASS-21 has good measurement properties and has been found to have a bifactor structure consisting of a general factor for negative affectivity (personality trait referring to the disposition to experience negative emotions and poor self-concept) and the different subscales [34]. The items are rated on a 4-point response scale extending from 'did not apply to me at all' = 0 points to 'applied

to me very much or most of the time' = 3 points. Total DASS scores, which denote negative affectivity, range from 0 to 63 points, while subscale scores vary between 0 and 21 points. Greater scores signify higher levels of negative affectivity, depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms. Cut-points for the severity categorization (normal to extremely severe) for the three subscales are indicated in the DASS manual [26].

Statistical analyses

Statistical evaluations were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software version 27.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, USA) with a significance level of 0.05. Categorical data were presented as frequencies with percentages and examined with the Chi-square test. Numerical data were reported as means with standard deviations (SD) as well as medians with inter-quartile ranges (IQR) and subjected to normality testing using the Shapiro-Wilk's test. As numerical data were not normally distributed, Kruskal-Wallis and post-hoc Mann-Whitney U tests were applied. Relations between SFAI, 5Ts, PHQ-15, FOMO, and DASS-21 scores were examined with Spearman's rank-order correlation with coefficients (r) of 0.1, 0.4, and 0.7 serving as cut-points for weak, moderate, and strong associations accordingly [35]. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was carried out to explore the physical and emotional predictors for the presence of TMDs and somatization. A stepwise variable selection process was used with a threshold of p < 0.10 for eliminating insignificant variables. Outcomes were presented as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (95% Cls).

Results

Descriptive data

Out of the 423 young adults who responded to the call for study participation, 8 met the exclusion criteria and none returned incomplete surveys. The final study sample (n=415) had a mean age of 22.7 \pm 1.1 years and 85.3% were women. Among the participants, 18.3% and 60.7% reported the presence of TMDs and somatization respectively (Table 1). The age of the participants

to me very much or most of the time' = 3 points. Total DASS

Table 2. Mean/median FOMO, total DASS (negative affectivity, depression, anxiety, and stress scores for participants without and with TMDs/somatization.

Variables	TMDs (SFAI)			Somatization (PHQ-15)		
	Absent	Present	p*	Absent	Present	p*
n (%)	339 (81.7)	76 (18.3)	-	163 (39.3)	252 (60.7)	-
FOMO						
Mean (SD)	20.5 (7.3)	21.7 (7.8)	0.134	19.2 (6.9)	22.5 (7.8)	< 0.001
Median (IQR)	20.0 (9)	21.0 (12)		18.0 (9)	21.5 (12)	
Total DASS						
Mean (SD)	13.16 (9.6)	15.3 (10.5)	0.037	9.8 (8.3)	17.4 (10.2)	< 0.001
Median (IQR)	12.0 (14)	14.0 (12)		8.0 (12)	15.5 (14)	
Depression						
Mean (SD)	3.2 (3.6)	3.4 (3.5)	0.203	2.2 (2.9)	4.0 (3.7)	< 0.001
Median (IQR)	2.0 (5)	2.0 (4)		1.0 (3)	3.0 (5)	
Anxiety						
Mean (SD)	4.1 (3.1)	5.0 (3.6)	0.008	3.1 (2.6)	5.6 (3.6)	< 0.001
Median (IQR)	4.0 (5)	4.0 (5)		3.0 (4)	5.0 (5)	
Stress						
Mean (SD)	5.9 (4.0)	6.9 (4.5)	0.034	4.6 (3.9)	7.7 (4.2)	< 0.001
Median (IQR)	6.0 (5)	6.0 (7)		4.0 (6)	7.0 (5)	

TMD: Temporomandibular disorder; SFAI: Short-form Forseca Anamnestic Index; PHQ-15: Patient Health Questionnaire-15; FOMO: Fear of missing out; DASS: Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scales-21; SD: Standard deviation; IQR: Inter-quartile range. Results on Mann-Whitney U test*. Bold indicates p < 0.05.

without and with TMDs/somatization was similar. While the proportion of women without (85.0%) and with (86.8%) TMDs was comparable, the percentage of female participants without (76.7%) and with (90.9%) somatization differed substantially.

FOMO and emotional distress

Table 2 shows the mean/median FOMO and DASS-21 scores for participants without (absent) and with (present) TMDs/somatization. While no significant differences in FOMO and depression scores were observed, participants with TMDs exhibited significantly higher total DASS, anxiety, and stress scores than their peers without TMDs. However, significant differences in FOMO, total DASS, depression, anxiety, and stress scores were observed between those without and with somatization. Table 3 displays the mean/median FOMO and DASS-21 scores of the participants when categorized by TMD subtypes (no [NT], pain-related [PT], intra-articular [IT], and mixed [MT] TMD symptoms) and somatic

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of the study sample (n = 415)

Variables	n (%)	25	Age			Gender	
		Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	p *	Male <u>n</u> (%)	Female n (%)	р^
Total	415 (100.0)	22.7 (1.1)	23.0 (2)		61 (14.7)	354 (85.3)	
TMDs (SFAI)							
Absent	339 (81.7)	22.7 (1.1)	23.0 (2)	0.414	51 (15.0)	288 (85.0)	0.068
Present	76 (18.3)	22.6 (1.1)	23.0 (2)		10 (13.2)	66 (86.8)	
Somatization (PHQ-15)							
Absent	163 (39.3)	22.8 (1.1)	23.0 (2)	0.225	38 (23.3)	125 (76.7)	< 0.001
Present	252 (60.7)	22.6 (1.1)	23.0 (2)		23 (9.1)	229 (90.9)	

SFAI: Short-form Fonseca Anamnestic Index; PHQ-15: Patient Health Questionnaire-15; SD: Standard deviation; IQR: Inter-quartile range. Results of Mann-Whitney U* and Chi-square* tests. Bold indicates p < 0.05.

Table 3. Mean/median FOMO, total DASS (negative affectivity), depression, anxiety, and stress scores for the various TMD subtypes and somatic symptom

severity.						
Variables		ypes				
	No TMD (NT)	Pain-related TMD (PT)	Intra-articular TMD (IT)	Mixed TMD (MT)	p*	Post-hoc
n (%)	171 (41.2)	72 (17.3)	88 (21.2)	84 (20.2)	-	-
Г ОМО						
Mean (SD)	20.3 (7,7)	22.9 (7.6)	21.1 (7.3)	21.8 (7.7)	0.049	PT > NT
Median (IQR)	20.0 (11)	23.0 (13)	21.0 (11)	20.5 (10)		
Total DASS						
Mean (SD)	13.1 (10.3)	15.7 (9.8)	13.3 (9.6)	17.0 (10.2)	0.005	MT > NT
Median (IQR)	12.0 (14)	15.0 (13)	12.5 (13)	15.5 (14)		
Depression						
Mean (SD)	3.2 (3.5)	3.4 (3.5)	3.1 (3.2)	3.7 (3.8)	0.572	-
Median (IQR)	2.0 (5)	2.0 (5)	2.0 (4)	2.5 (4)		
Anxiety						
Mean (SD)	4.0 (3.3)	5.1 (3.3)	4.2 (3.5)	5.9 (3.4)	< 0.001	MT > IT, NT
Median (IQR)	4.0 (5)	5.0 (4)	3.0 (5)	5.0 (4)		
Stress						
Mean (SD)	5.9 (4.4)	7.2 (4.3)	6.0 (4.2)	7.4 (4.1)	0.011	MT > NT
Median (IQR)	6.0 (5)	7.0 (6)	6.0 (7)	7.0 (7)		
Variables			Somatic sympto	ms severity		
	Normal (NS)	Low (LS)	Medium (MS)	High (HS)	p*	Post-hoc
n (%)	163 (39.3)	130 (31.3)	67 (16.1)	55 (13.3)	-	-
ГОМО						
Mean (SD)	19.2 (6.8)	21.9 (7.4)	22.7 (8.0)	23.7 (8.6)	< 0.001	HS, MS, LS > NS
Median (IQR)	18.0 (9)	21.0 (9)	21.0 (13)	24.0 (14)		
Total DASS						
Mean (SD)	9.8 (8.3)	15.2 (9.7)	17.1 (8.6)	22.8 (11.1)	< 0.001	HS, MS, LS > NS
Median (IQR)	8.0 (12)	13.0 (11)	16.0 (13)	21.0 (16)		HS > LS
Depression						
Mean (SD)	2.2 (2.9)	3.5 (3.5)	3.7 (3.2)	5.5 (4.3)	< 0.001	HS, MS, LS > NS
Median (IQR)	1.0 (3)	2.0 (4)	3.0 (5)	4.0 (6)		HS > LS
Anxiety						
Mean (SD)	3.1 (2.6)	4.8 (3.3)	5.5 (3.3)	7.8 (3.7)	< 0.001	HS, MS, LS > NS
Median (IQR)	3.0 (4)	4.0 (4)	5.0 (4)	8.0 (5)		HS, MS > LS
Stress						
Mean (SD)	4.6 (3.9)	6.9 (4.0)	7.9 (3.8)	9.5 (4.3)	< 0.001	HS, MS, LS > N
Median (IQR)	4.0 (6)	6.0 (5)	8.0 (5)	9.0 (6)		HS > LS

FOMO: Fear of missing out; DASS: Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scales-21; SD: Standard deviation; IQR: Inter-quartile range. Results of Kruskal-Wallis/post-hoc Mann-Whitney U tests*. Bold indicates p < 0.05.

symptoms severity (normal [NS], low [LS], medium [MS], and generally significant, correlation coefficients fluctuated from high [HS] somatic symptoms severity). Participants with painful negligible to strong. While moderately strong correlations were (PT and MT) TMD and more severe somatic symptoms had detected between SFAI and STs scores (r_. = 0.69), their relationhigher levels of negative emotions including FOMO. Significant ships to PHQ-15, FOMO, and DASS-21 scores were mostly weak differences in FOMO (PT > NT), total DASS (MT > NT), anxiety (r = 0.08-0.39). Although PHQ-15 scores were weakly correlated (MT > IT and NT), and stress (MT > NT) were noted among the various TMD subtypes. Significant differences in FOMO, total DASS, depression, anxiety, and stress scores were observed among the different somatization groups (HS, MS, LS > NS). Additionally, the HS group also presented significantly greater total and all subscale DASS scores than the LS group.

Correlations and regression analysis

Table 4 indicates the correlation coefficients between the various physical and emotional variables. Though associations were

to FOMO and depression scores ($r_s = 0.24-0.34$), their correlations to total DASS, anxiety, and stress were moderately strong $(r_s = 0.44-0.46)$. Moderately strong correlations were also discerned between FOMO and total DASS/stress scores ($r_s = 0.40$). Furthermore, moderately strong to strong relationships were observed between total DASS, depression, anxiety, and stress subscales ($r_s = 0.60-0.95$).

The outcomes of multivariate logistic regression analysis are reflected in Table 5. The prospect of TMDs was influenced by the presence of somatization (OR = 2.11; 95% CI = 1.41-3.16). The likelihood of somatization was predicted by the female gender

Table 4. Correlations between SFAI, 5Ts, PHQ-15, FOMO, total-DASS, depression, anxiety, and stress scores

	SFAI	5Ts	PHQ-15	FOMO	Total DASS	Depression	Anxiety
SFAI	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5Ts	0.69**	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHQ-15	0.27**	0.39**	-	-	-	-	-
FOMO	0.08	0.11*	0.24**	-	-	-	
Total DASS	0.13**	0.16**	0.46**	0.40**	-	-	-
Depression	0.08	0.08	0.34**	0.29**	0.84**	-	-
Anxiety	0.18**	0.22**	0.46**	0.36**	0.88**	0.60**	-
Stress	0.12*	0.15**	0.44**	0.40**	0.95**	0.71**	0.80**

TMD: Temporomandibular disorder, SFAI: Short-form Fonseca Anamnestic Index; STs: five TMD symptoms; PHQ-15: Patient Health Questionnaire-15; FOMO: Fear of missing out; DASS: Depression, Anxiety, Stress Scales-21. Results of Spearman's correlation. "Indicates p < 0.05, **indicates p < 0.01.

(OR = 2.97; 95% CI = 1.60–5.60), presence of TMDs (OR = 1.88; formalized diagnostic criteria (15.8%) [19]. The occurrence of 95% CI = 1.21–2.92), and negative affectivity (OR = 1.09; 95% somatization (60.7%) was consistent with that of other Southeast CI = 1.06–1.12).

Asian youths (65.0%) when assessed with the PHQ-15 [13].

Discussion

This is the first study to relate TMD and somatic symptoms to FOMO and other negative emotional constructs in Asian young adults. The study is pertinent given the pervasiveness of FOMO in modern society and its possible diverse physical and/or psychological consequences [1, 6]. The first two research hypotheses were supported as FOMO/emotional distress levels varied with the presence of TMDs/somatization and were influenced by TMD subtypes/somatic symptoms severity. The third research hypothesis was also endorsed as significant correlations, albeit weak, were observed between the various physical and emotional variables. Young adults were identified for this study as TMDs, somatization, and FOMO are common in this age group and often associated with the development of other psychological problems [1, 19, 36]. University students, in particular, are subjected to high levels of academic, societal, and life stresses, and high rates of emotional distress, which may be compounded by FOMO, had been reported among them [37]. The prevalence of TMDs based on the SFAI (18.3%) was comparable to that reported for the general population when determined with

Table 5. Results of multivariate logistic regression analyses for the presence of TMDs and somatization.

Variable	Presence of T	MDs	Presence of Somatization		
	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p*	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	p*	
Gender					
Male	Reference	-	Reference		
Female			2.97 (1.60-5.60)	0.001	
Somatization present	2.11 (1.41-3.16)	< 0.001			
TMD present FOMO	-	-	1.88 (1.21–2.92)	< 0.00	
Negative affectivity			1.09 (1.06–1.12)	<0.001	
Depression					
Anxiety					
Stress					

FOMO: fear of missing out; TMD: Temporomandibular disorder. Results of multivariate logistic regression analysis*. Bold indicates p < 0.05.

formalized diagnostic criteria (15.8%) [19]. The occurrence of somatization (60.7%) was consistent with that of other Southeast Asian youths (65.0%) when assessed with the PHQ-15 [13]. Medium-to-high levels of somatic symptoms were found in 29.4% of the participants which was 1.5 folds greater than the prevalence rates observed in primary care patients (18.5%) [38]. Findings corroborated earlier work indicating the tendency of Asians to somatize [14, 15].

TMDs and negative emotions

The association between TMDs and negative emotions had been demonstrated in both non-clinical and clinical samples [13, 39–42]. While depression (feeling of despair/despondence), anxiety (feeling of apprehension/worry), and stress (body's response to tension/threats) had been implicated in TMDs, depression appears to play a larger role in TMD patients, especially when chronic pain is involved [41]. In the present study, only negative affectivity, anxiety, and stress differed significantly between participants without and with TMDs. Findings were consistent with prior research on Asian community youths and can be contributed by the mostly normal levels of depression in the study samples [13, 40]. The weak correlations between TMD and anxiety/stress scores (r_i = 0.12–0.22) observed could also be ascribed to lower extents of anxiety and stress experienced when compared to TMD patients [41, 42].

Though FOMO was not associated with the presence of TMDs as determined by the SFAI, individuals with pain-related TMD symptoms presented significantly higher levels of FOMO than those with no TMD symptoms. The disparity could be attributed to the inclusion of headaches in the STs which were discarded during the SFAI development [43]. Headaches affect about 46% of adults and explain the higher proportion of participants with TMD symptoms based on the STs [44]. Besides TMDs, headaches can be caused by many other medical conditions and are often triggered by stress which was moderately correlated to FOMO $(r_{\rm r}=0.40)$. The significantly higher levels of negative affectivity, anxiety, and stress reported by the MT group than the NT/IT groups were anticipated as they encountered both pain and function-related TMD problems that could reduce life quality considerably [41].

After adjusting for possible confounders, only somatization was found to increase the risk of TMDs. More specifically, it

doubled the probability of TMDs in the study sample. This together with studies specifying the high occurrences of somatization and other comorbid chronic pain conditions among TMD patients lend support to the belief that TMDs are a form of functional somatic syndromes [11, 20, 21, 45].

Somatization and negative emotions

FOMO, negative affectivity, depression, anxiety, and stress levels varied significantly between participants without and with somatization as well as among the various somatic symptom severity groupings. Findings were foreseen as somatization is $essentially the somatic \, expression \, of \, psycho-emotional \, distress.$ Although scores for all negative emotional constructs including FOMO escalated with increasing somatic symptoms severity, moderately strong correlations to PHQ-15 scores were perceived just for negative affectivity, anxiety, and stress (r_s = 0.44–0.46). The depression, anxiety, and stress subscales were all strongly related to negative affectivity ($r_s = 0.84-0.95$) validating the bifactor structure of the DASS-21 where each subscale taps on the general dimension of distress [34, 46]. While depression scores were in the normal to mild range (0-6 points), the high somatic symptoms group presented severe anxiety (8–9 points) and moderate stress (9-10 points) [26]. The strong correlation between anxiety and stress ($r_c = 0.80$) supports the notion that anxiety is the body's reaction to stress. The two emotional constructs share common behavioral and neural processes ensuing in identical physical symptoms including muscle pain, insomnia, and fatigue [47]. FOMO was also moderately associated with stress ($r_s = 0.40$) and corroborated the recent work of Yang et al. suggesting that FOMO acted as the mediator between problematic smartphone use and stress [48].

Multivariate regression analysis indicated that being female $tripled\ the\ prospect\ of\ somatization.\ Furthermore,\ the\ presence$ of TMDs and negative affectivity increased its probability by 88% and 9% respectively. Women are known to report more numerous, frequent, and intense bodily symptoms, including TMDs, than men [49,50]. Many contributing factors had been implicated including socio-cultural and hormonal issues as well as gender differences in somatic/visceral processing, the incidence of abuse/violence, and prevalence of depression/ anxiety [49, 50]. The relationship between somatic and TMD symptoms was deliberated earlier and explained by central sensitization. Though its underlying mechanisms are not fully understood, central sensitization is thought to be caused by decreased inhibitory synaptic transmission, increased excitatory synaptic transmission, and is induced by proinflammatory cytokines [51]. Central sensitization helps clarify the comorbidities of TMDs and other functional somatic syndromes and also applies to arthritic pain and complex regional pain syndromes [52]. The likelihood of somatization was increased only marginally by negative affectivity, the general factor for psychological distress, and could be contributed by the relatively lower levels of depression, anxiety, and stress among community samples when contrasted to TMD patient populations [41, 42]. Findings were congruous with that of Yap et al. who determined

that somatization was predicted by the female gender, TMDs, and stress in other Southeast Asian youths [13]. Though negative affectivity was not specifically assessed in their study, similar outcomes are expected given the very strong correlation between total DASS and stress ($r_{\rm r}=0.95$) found in this study. As there is strong evidence supporting the bifactor structure of the DASS-21, future research would benefit from the incorporation of negative affectivity (total DASS) into their assessment [34, 46].

Study limitations

This observational study has some limitations. First, causal relationships between the negative emotional states and physical symptoms cannot be established due to the cross-sectional design employed. Causality can only be inferred in observational research utilizing prospective cohort and nested case-control designs [53]. Second, not all young adults in the country were represented by the study sample. Moreover, women were predominant among the study participants. The latter can be explained by the greater inclination of women to contribute to online surveys and the voluntary sampling method applied [54]. Future work should ideally encompass more male participants, unemployed as well as working young adults. The study could also be extended to TMD patients, in addition to other racial and ethnic groups. Lastly, the use of self-reported data may subject the study to information bias including recall, social desirability, as well as measurement partialities [55].

Conclusions

Among the young adults examined, 18.3% and 60.7% reported the presence of TMDs and somatization, respectively. While only negative affectivity, anxiety, and stress differed significantly between participants without and with TMDs, significant variances in all negative emotional constructs including FOMO were discerned between those without and with somatization. Individuals with painful TMD and more severe somatic symptoms had higher levels of negative emotions. Nevertheless, only somatic symptoms and FOMO were moderately correlated to negative affectivity and stress. While somatization increased the prospect of TMDs, being female, the presence of TMDs, and negative affectivity were risk factors for somatization. Collectively, the findings suggest that Asian young adults are disposed to somatic symptoms, and TMDs may be a form of functional somatic syndromes. Recognition of somatization and emotional distress including FOMO is thus essential for person-centric TMD

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank A Fitryanur and Isya Hanin for assisting with the data collection and the participants for contributing to this study.

Funding

This research was funded by grant number: 0142/PUF/FKG/2021-202 from the Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti.

OPCIE

Yap AU https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0361-6209 Kurniawan F https://orcid.org/0009-0007-7107-3142 Pragustine Y https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1932-2689 Marpaung C https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9621-6257

Disclosure statement

The authors have no financial or personal conflict of interest to declare relating to this work.

Author contribution

Adrian Ujin Yap contributed to conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, validation, visualization, writing the original draft. Florencia Kurniawan and Yenny Pragustine contributed to data curation, investigation, project administration, resources, validation, and review and editing. Carolina Marpaung contributed to conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, software, supervision, validation, and review and editing.

References

- [1] Przybylski AK, Murayama K, DeHaan CR, Gladwell V. Motivational, emotional, and behavioral correlates of fear of missing out. Comput Hum Behav. 2013;29(4):1841–1848. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. chb.2013.02.014
- [2] Elhai JD, Yang H, Montag C. Fear of missing out (FOMO): overview, theoretical underpinnings, and literature review on relations with severity of negative affectivity and problematic technology use. Braz J Psychiatry. 2021;43(2):203–209. https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-4446-2020-0870
 [3] Akbarlin, Seydavil M, Palmieri S, Mansueto G, Caselli G, Spada MM. Fear
- [3] Akbari M, Seydavi M, Palmieri S, Mansueto G, Caselli G, Spada MM. Fear of missing out (FoMO) and internet use: A comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. J Behav Addict. 2021;10(4):879–900. https://doi.org/10.1556/2006.2021.00083
- [4] Piteo EM, Ward K. Review: social networking sites and associations with depressive and anxiety symptoms in children and adolescents – a systematic review. Child Adolesc Ment Health. 2020;25(4):201–216. https://doi.org/10.1111/camh.12373
- [5] Franchina V, Vanden Abeele M, van Rooij AJ, Lo Coco G, De Marez L. Fear of missing out as a predictor of problematic social media use and phubbing behavior among Flemish adolescents. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(10):2319. https://doi.org/10.3390/jiepph15102319
- [6] Gupta M, Sharma A. Fear of missing out: a brief overview of origin, theoretical underpinnings and relationship with mental health. World J Clin Cases. 2021;9(19):4881–4889. https://doi.org/10.12998/ wicc.v9.i19.4881
- [7] Steers MN, Wickham RE, Acitelli LK. Seeing everyone else's highlight reels: how Facebook usage is linked to depressive symptoms. J Soc Clin Psychol. 2014;33(8):701–731. https://doi.org/10.1521/ isco.2014.33.8.701

- [8] Hayran C, Anik L. Well-Being and Fear of Missing Out (FOMO) on digital content in the time of COVID-19: a correlational analysis among University students. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(4):1974. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18041974
- Gioia F, Fioravanti G, Casale S, Boursier V. The effects of the fear of missing out on people's social networking sites use during the COVID-19 pandemic the mediating role of online relational closeness and individuals' online communication attitude. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:620442. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.620442
- [10] Baker ZG, Krieger H, LeRoy AS. Fear of missing out: relationships with depression, mindfulness, and physical symptoms. Transl Issues Psychol Sci. 2016;2(3):275–282. https://doi.org/10.1037/TP50000075
- [11] De La Torre Canales G, Câmara-Souza MB, Muñoz Lora VRM, et al. Prevalence of psychosocial impairment in temporomandibular disorder patients: a systematic review. J Oral Rehabil. 2018;45(11):881–889. https://doi.org/10.1111/joor.12685
- [12] Al-Khotani A, Meisha DE, Al Sayegh S, Hedenberg-Magnusson B, Emberg M, Christidis N. The association between psychological symptoms and self-reported Temporomandibular disorders pain symptoms in children and adolescents. Front Oral Health. 2021;2:675709. https://doi.org/10.3389/froh.2021.675709
- [13] Yap AU, Sultana R, Natu VP. Somatic and temporomandibular disorder symptoms – idioms of psychological distress in Southeast Asian youths. Cranio. 2021;1–8. Online ahead of print https://doi.org/10.10 80/08869634.2021.1982496
- [14] Dreher A, Hahn E, Diefenbacher A, Nguyen MH, Böge K, Burian H, et al. Cultural differences in symptom representation for depression and somatization measured by the PHQ between Vietnamese and German psychiatric outpatients. J Psychosom Res. 2017;102:71–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2017.09.010
- [15] Choi E, Chentsova-Dutton Y, Parrott WG. The effectiveness of somatization in communicating distress in Korean and American cultural contexts. Front Psychol. 2016;7:383. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpsyg.2016.00383
- [16] Sun Y, Chen G, Wang L, et al. Perception of stigma and its associated factors among patients with major depressive disorder: a multicenter survey from an Asian population. Front Psychiatry. 2019;10:321. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00321
- [17] Ahmad F, Maule C, Wang J, Fung WLA. Symptoms and experience of depression among Chinese communities in the West: a scoping review. Harv Rev Psychiatry. 2018;26(6):340–351. https://doi. org/10.1097/HRP.0000000000000202
- [18] Burton C, Fink P, Henningsen P, Löwe B, Rief W, EURONET-SOMA Group. Functional somatic disorders: discussion paper for a new common classification for research and clinical use. BMC Med. 2020;18(1):34. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-1505-4
- [19] Manfredini D, Guarda-Nardini L, Winocur E, Piccotti F, Ahlberg J, Lobbezoo F, Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review of axis I epidemiologic findings. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2011;112(4):453–462. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tripleo.2011.04.021
- [20] Fantoni F, Salvetti G, Manfredini D, Bosco M. Current concepts on the functional somatic syndromes and temporomandibular disorders. Stomatologija. 2007;9(1):3–9.
- [21] Furquim BD, Flamengui LM, Conti PC. TMD and chronic pain: a current view. Dent Press J Orthod. 2015;20(1):127–133. https://doi.org/10.1590/2176-9451.20.1.127-133.sar
- [22] Restrepo C, Ortiz AM, Henao AC, Manrique R. Association between psychological factors and temporomandibular disorders in adolescents of rural and urban zones. BMC Oral Health. 2021;21(1):140. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-021-01485-4
- [23] Yap AU, Zhang MJ, Lel J, Fu KY. Diagnostic accuracy of the short-form Fonseca Anamnestic Index in relation to the Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular disorders. J Prosthet Dent. 2021;128(5):977– 983. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2021.02.016
- [24] Yap AU, Zhang MJ, Zhang XH, Cao Y, Fu KY. Viability of the quintessential 5 temporomandibular disorder symptoms as a TMD screener.

- Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2022;133(6):643–649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2021.11.009
- [25] Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-15: validity of a new measure for evaluating the severity of somatic symptoms. Psychosom Med. 2002;64(2):258–266. https://doi.org/10.1097/0000 6842-200203000-00008
- [26] Lovibond SH, Lovibond PF. Manual for the Depression Anxiety & Stress Scales. 2nd ed. Sydney: Psychology Foundation; 1995.
- [27] Pires PF, de Castro EM, Pelai EB, de Arruda ABC, Rodrigues-Bigaton D. Analysis of the accuracy and reliability of the Short-Form Fonseca Anamnestic Index in the diagnosis of myogenous temporomandibular disorder in women. Braz J Phys Ther. 2018;22(4):276–282. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.bist.2018.02.003
- [28] Zagalaz-Anula N, Sánchez-Torrelo CM, Acebal-Blanco F, et al. The short form of the Fonseca Anamnestic Index for the screening of Temporomandibular disorders: validity and reliability in a Spanish-speaking population. J Clin Med. 2021;10(24):5858. https://doi. org/10.3390/jcm10245858
- [29] Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, et al. Diagnostic criteria for Temporomandibular disorders (DC/TMD) for clinical and research applications: recommendations of the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network and Orofacial Pain Special Interest Group. J Oral Facial Pain Headache. 2014;28(1):6–27. https://doi.org/10.11607/jop.1151
- [30] Sitnikova K, Dijkstra-Kersten SMA, Mokkink LB, et al. Systematic review of measurement properties of questionnaires measuring somatization in primary care patients. J Psychosom Res. 2017;103:42–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2017.10.005
 [31] American Psychological Association. Diagnostic and Statistical
- [31] American Psychological Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders DSM-IV-TR. 4th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 2000.
- [32] Elhai JD, Yang H, Montag C. Anxiety and stress severity are related to greater fear of missing out on rewarding experiences: a latent profile analysis. Psych J. 2021;10(5):688-697. https://doi.org/10.1002/ pchj.455
- [33] Kaloeti DVS, Kumia S A, Tahamata VM. Validation and psychometric properties of the Indonesian version of the fear of missing out scale in adolescents. Psicol Reflex Crit. 2021;34(1):15. https://doi. org/10.1186/s41155-021-00181-0
- [34] Lee J, Lee EH, Moon SH. Systematic review of the measurement properties of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21 by applying updated COSMIN methodology. Qual Life Res. 2019;28(9):2325–2339. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-019-02177-x
- [35] Dancey CP, Reidy J. Statistics without maths for psychology. 7th ed. London: Pearson: 2017.
- [36] Lieb R, Pfister H, Mastaler M, Wittchen HU. Somatoform syndromes and disorders in a representative population sample of adolescents and young adults: prevalence, comorbidity and impairments. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2000;101(3):194–208. https://doi. org/10.1034/j.1600-0447.2000.101003194.x
- [37] Regehr C, Glancy D, Pitts A. Interventions to reduce stress in university students: a review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord. 2013;148(1):1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.11.026
 [38] Clarke DM, Piterman L, Byme CJ, Austin DW. Somatic symptoms,
- [38] Clarke DM, Piterman L, Byrne CJ, Austin DW. Somatic symptoms, hypochondriasis and psychological distress: a study of somatisation in Australian general practice. Med J Aust. 2008;189(10):560–564. https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2008.tb02180.x
- [39] Namvar MA, Afkari BF, Moslemkhani C, Mansoori K, Dadashi M. The relationship between depression and anxiety with Temporomandibular disorder symptoms in dental students. Maedica (Bucur). 2021;16(4):590–594. https://doi.org/10.26574/maedica.2021.16.4.590

- Marpaung C, Yap AU, Hanin I, Fitryanur A. Psychological distress and well-being: their association with temporomandibular disorder symptoms. Cranio. 2024;42(3):285-291. https:// doi.org/10.1080/08869634.2021.1971449
- 41] Cao Y, Yap AU, Lei J, Zhang MJ, Fu KY. Subtypes of acute and chronic temporomandibular disorders: their relation to psychological and sleep impairments. Oral Dis. 2021;27(6):1498–1506. https://doi. org/10.1111/odi.13692
- [42] Yap AU, Zhang MJ, Cao Y, Lei J, Fu KY. Comparison of psychological states and oral health-related quality of life of patients with differing severity of temporomandibular disorders. J Oral Rehabil. 2022;49(2):177–185. https://doi.org/10.1111/joor.13216
- [43] Rodrigues-Bigaton D, de Castro EM, Pires PF. Factor and Rasch analysis of the Fonseca anamnestic index for the diagnosis of myogenous temporomandibular disorder. Braz J Phys Ther. 2017;21(2):120–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2017.03.007
- [44] Stovner Lj, Hagen K, Jensen R, et al. The global burden of headache: a documentation of headache prevalence and disability worldwide. Cephalalgia. 2007;27(3):193–210. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1468-298.2.2007.01288.x
- Kleykamp BA, Ferguson MC, McNicol E, et al. The prevalence of comorbid chronic pain conditions among patients with temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review. J Am Dent Assoc. 2022;153(3):241–250.e10.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adaj.2021.08.008
- [46] Henry JD, Crawford JR. The short-form version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS-21): construct validity and normative data in a large non-clinical sample. Br J Clin Psychol. 2005;44(Pt 2):227–239. https://doi.org/10.1348/014466505X29657
- [47] Daviu N, Bruchas MR, Moghaddam B, Sandi C, Beyeler A. Neurobiological links between stress and anxiety. Neurobiol Stress. 2019;11:100191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ynstr.2019.100191
- [48] Yang H, Liu B, Fang J. Stress and Problematic Smartphone Use severity: smartphone use frequency and fear of missing out as mediators. Front Psychiatry. 2021;12:659288. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fear-3031.567939.
- [49] Barsky AJ, Peekna HM, Borus JF. Somatic symptom reporting in women and men. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16(4):266–275. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016004266.x
- [50] Bueno CH, Pereira DD, Pattussi MP, Grossi PK, Grossi ML. Gender differences in temporomandibular disorders in adult populational studies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oral Rehabil. 2018;45(9):720–729. https://doi.org/10.1111/joor.12661
- [51] Kawasaki Y, Zhang L, Cheng JK, Ji RR Cytokine mechanisms of central sensitization: distinct and overlapping role of interleukin-1beta, interleukin-6, and tumor necrosis factor-alpha in regulating synaptic and neuronal activity in the superficial spinal cord. J Neurosci. 2008;28(20):5189–5194. https://doi.org/10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.3338-07.2065.
- [52] Yunus MB. Editorial review: an update on central sensitivity syndromes and the issues of nosology and psychobiology. Curr Rheumatol Rev. 2015;11(2):70–85. https://doi.org/10.2174/1573397 11102150702112236
- 3] Karvanen J. Study design in causal models. Scand J Statist. 2015;42(2):361–377. https://doi.org/10.1111/sjos.12110
 4] Smith WG. Does gender influence online survey participation? A
- [54] Smith WG. Does gender influence online survey participation? A record-linkage analysis of University Faculty online survey response behaviour [Internet]. [cited 18-03-2023]. Available from: https://eric. ed.gov/?id=EDS01717
- [55] Althubaiti A. Information bias in health research: definition, pitfalls, and adjustment methods. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2016;9:211–217. https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S104807

Temporomandibular disorder and somatic symptoms: Relations to 'fear of missing out' and other negative emotional states

ORIGINA	ALITY REPORT				
SIMILA	9% ARITY INDEX	11% INTERNET SOURCES	20% PUBLICATIONS	3% STUDENT PAPE	ERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES				
1	and form	in Yap, Carolin of temporoma s: Functional, pocial impacts", (andibular diso ohysical, and	rder	2%
2	oulurepo Internet Source				2%
3	Yin Lim, E temporor otologic a	in Yap, Brando Darren Zong Ru mandibular dis and concomital uths", CRANIO	u Lee. "Associa orders severit nt pain sympt	ation of ty with	1 %
4	Liu, Seon Yuan Fu. patients a	in Yap, Jie Lei, J g Hae Kim, Bye "Age distributio and age-relateo axis I findings",	eong-Min Lee, on of East Asia d differences i	Kai- an TMD n	1 %
5	the Depre	in Yap, Darren ession Anxiety ople with temp :: Reliability and), 2023	Stress Scale-2 poromandibul	1 in ar	1%
6	www.unb	ooundmedicine	e.com		1 %
7	_	in Yap, Sungha Hwan Jo, Ji Wo	,		1 %

of jaw functional limitation, somatization and
psychological distress among different
temporomandibular disorder diagnostic
subtypes", Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, 2023

Publication

Adrian Ujin Yap, Ye Cao, Minjuan Zhang, Jie Lei, Kai-Yuan Fu. "Number and type of Temporomandibular disorder symptoms: Their associations with psychological distress and oral health-related quality of life", Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, 2021

1%

Publication

9 www.pubfacts.com

1 %

Adrian Ujin Yap, Jung Hwan Jo, Sunghae Kim, Byeong-min Lee, Ji Woon Park. "Comparative analysis of acute and chronic painful temporomandibular disorders: Insights into pain, behavioral, and psychosocial features", PLOS ONE, 2025

1 %

Publication

Adrian Yap, Carolina Marpaung, Enrita Rahmadini. "Self-reported symptoms of temporomandibular disorders: Relationship to psychological wellbeing, psychological distress, and oral health-related quality of life", The International Journal of Prosthodontics, 2021

1%

Adrian Ujin Yap, Henry Chee Wai Ho, Ye Choung Lai. "Analysing the psychosocial construct of Temporomandibular disorders: Implications for orthodontics", Seminars in Orthodontics, 2023

1 %

Publication

Publication

Adrian Yap, Carolina Marpaung, Enrita Rahmadini. "Self-Reported Symptoms of

1%

Temporomandibular Disorders: Relationship with Psychologic Well-Being, Psychologic Distress, and Oral Health–Related Quality of Life", The International Journal of Prosthodontics, 2022

Publication

Adrian Ujin Yap, Rehena Sultana, Vaishali Prakash Natu. "Stress and emotional distress: their associations with somatic and temporomandibular disorder-related symptoms", Psychology, Health & Medicine, 2021

1 %

Publication

prc.springeropen.com

1 %

Adrian Ujin Yap, Vaishali Prakash Natu. "Interrelationships between pain-related Temporomandibular Disorders, somatic, and psychological symptoms in Asian youths", lournal of Oral Rehabilitation, 2020

1 %

Publication

Adrian Ujin Yap, Muzaffar Apipi, Siti Mazlipah Ismail, Zamros Yuzadi Mohd Yusof, Kathreena Kadir. "Psychometric properties of the Malay Fonseca Anamnestic Index for temporomandibular disorders", CRANIO®, 2022

<1%

Publication

journals.plos.org

<10

Kate Splevins, Alistair Smith, Jane Simpson.
"Do improvements in emotional distress correlate with becoming more mindful? A study of older adults", Aging & Mental Health, 2009

< | %

Publication

Adrian Ujin Yap, Vaishali Prakash Natu. "Interrelationships between pain-related

Publication

temporomandibular disorders, somatic and psychological symptoms in Asian youths", Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, 2020

Publication

Internet Source



clinical-practice-and-epidemiology-in-mentalhealth.com

<1%

Exclude quotes

On

Exclude matches

< 15 words

Exclude bibliography