Comorbidities between temporomandibular disorders and somatization in young adults: exploring links with personality, emotional, and sleep disturbances

by Carolina Damayanti Marpaung

Submission date: 25-Jul-2025 02:18PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2720287678

File name: OOO_Comorbidities_Genap_2023_drg_Maya.pdf (239.55K)

Word count: 6465 Character count: 33661

Comorbidities between temporomandibular disorders and somatization in young adults: exploring links with personality, emotional, and sleep disturbances



Adrian Ujin Yap, BDS, MSc, PhD, a,b,c Ni Luh Dewi, BDS, a and Carolina Marpaung, BDS, MDS, PhDa

Objective. The comorbidities between temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) and somatization and their associations with personality traits, emotional disorders, and sleep disturbances were investigated.

Study Design. Adults aged 18 to 24 years completed an electronic survey encompassing TMD symptoms (5Ts), Patient Health Questionnaire-15, Big Five Personality Inventory-10, Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21, and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index. Data were assessed using non-parametric tests/correlation analysis and logistic regression analysis ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Results. The sample comprised 365 participants, of whom 22.2% and 19.5% were 5Ts-negative without and with somatization, respectively, and 18.1% and 40.3% were 5Ts-positive without and with somatization, respectively. Significant differences in neuroticism, distress, depression, anxiety, stress, and sleep quality were observed between 5Ts-negative participants with somatization and 5Ts-positive participants without somatization compared with 5Ts-negative participants without somatization and 5Ts-positive participants without somatization. Distress, anxiety, stress, and sleep were moderately correlated with somatic but not TMD symptoms $(r_s = 0.45 - 0.52)$.

Conclusions. Irrespective of whether they had TMDs, participants with somatization exhibited heightened levels of neuroticism and emotional and sleep disturbances. (Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2024;137:493–500)

Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs), are characterized by pain and/or dysfunction of the masticatory muscles and temporomandibular joints (TMJs). They are the second most prevalent musculoskeletal condition, surpassed only by chronic lower back pain. TMDs represent a substantial public health concern, with 33% to 75% of the general population experiencing TMD signs/symptoms, including facial/preauricular pain, TMJ noises (clicking, popping, and/or grating sounds), and limited movement/locking of the jaws. Women, particularly those in their child-bearing years, are more disposed to TMDs than men. The multifaceted etiology of TMDs follows the biopsychosocial model of illness and has been associated with somatization and emotional and sleep disturbances.

Somatization is a generic term referring to the experience and communication of psychological distress as somatic symptoms, often medically unexplained. ¹² Permeating all health care settings, somatization poses difficulties in clinical diagnosis as well as management and is linked to greater health care use and costs. ¹² Populations of East and Southeast Asian descent

^aDepartment of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia.

^bDepartment of Dentistry, Ng Teng Fong General Hospital and Faculty of Dentistry, National University Health System, Singapore. National Dental Research Institute Singapore, National Dental Center Singapore and Duke-NUS Medical School, SingHealth, Singa-

Corresponding author: Carolina Marpaung, BDS, MDS, PhD E-mail address: Carolina@trisakti.ac.id

Received for publication Sep 18, 2023; returned for revision Dec 7,

2023; accepted for publication Jan 28, 2024. © 2024 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

2212-4403/\$-see front matter

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2024.01.017

appear to be more disposed to somatic manifestations and somatization. 13,14 This disposition often manifests in their use of somatic idioms in their speech and may be related to the unacceptability/stigma attached to the psychosocial expression of distress in these cultures. Somatization and the interconnected phenomenon of central sensitization, an amplified response of the central nervous system to sensory stimuli and peripheral nociception, are strongpredictors of altered pain modulation in chronic musculoskeletal disorders, including They may also play pivotal roles in the pathophysiology of other forms of long-standing pain, clarifying the high occurrence of comorbid chronic pain conditions in patients with TMD. 16,17 This relation tionship has prompted some experts to consider TMD a type of functional somatic or central sensitization syndrome. 16,18

As somatization and psychological distress are intertwined and related to difficulty falling/staying asleep and disrupted sleep, much of the emotional and sleep disturbance related to TMDs could be underpinned by somatization, given the high prevalence of somatization among individuals with TMDs.^{7,8,1,2,1,9,20} Moreover, specific personality traits, namely neuroticism, are known to be closely related to distress and several

Statement of Clinical Relevance

Somatization has emerged as the primary risk factor for the presence of temporomandibular disorder symptoms in young adults and may underpin emotional and sleep disturbances related to temporomandibular disorders. ORAL MEDICINE OOOO

494 Yap et al.

May 2024

pain-related cognitive and behavioral traits, such as pain catastrophizing.²¹ Although somatization and TMDs have been affiliated with neuroticism (i.e., a tendency to experience negative emotions), research concerning dimensional personality assessment remains limited.^{21,22} Therefore, the objectives of this endo Therefore, the objectives of this study were to examine the comorbidities between TMDs and somatization and to explore their links with personality characteristics and emotional and sleep disturbances in young adults. The correlations between physical and psychological variables were also examined, along with the risk factors for TMD symptoms. The research hypotheses were (1) TMDs and somatization frequently co-exist; (2) young adults with somatization have significantly higher levels of neuroticism and emotional and sleep disturbances; and (3) somatization, neuroticism, depression, anxiety, stress, and sleep quality are moderately to strongly correlated; and (4) the likelihood of experiencing TMD symptoms is significantly increased by somatization, emotional distress, and poor sleep.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Study design and participants

Approval for this analytical observational study was granted by the ethics committee of Trisakti University Dental School (ID: 013/S3/KEPK/FKG/9/2021). Enrollment of young adults was conducted at a private university utilizing non-probability voluntary response sampling. The study included university students between the ages of 18 and 24 with proficiency in English, Individuals who had undergone prior orofacial trauma/orthognathic surgery, were undergoing treatment for debilitating physical/mental conditions, or had submitted incomplete surveys were excluded. To achieve a 95% confidence level and 5% precision, a minimum of 318 participants was required, considering the university's admission of 20,638 students and a 70% possible occurrence of TMD/somatic symptoms in young people.3,23 Prospective participants were recruited in person or through intranet postings and provided with the study details. Informed consent was attained before administering a comprehensive electronic survey encompassing the quintessential 5 TMD symptoms (5Ts) of the Diagnostic Criteria for TMDs (DC/TMD), the Patient Health Questionnaire-15 (PHQ-15), Big Five Personality Inventory-10 (BFI-10), Depression Anxiety Stress-Scales-21 (DASS-21), and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI).2

Study measures

TMD and somatic symptoms. The presence of TMDs was ascertained via the 5Ts, which assesses the 5 major TMD symptoms identified by the DC/TMD, namely TMD pain, headache, TMJ noises, closed locking, and

open locking.24 The self-reported 5Ts exhibit exceptional performance with high sensitivity (96%-99%), specificity (100%), and accuracy (area under the receiver operating characteristics curves of 0.98-1.00) when referenced to DC/TMD pain-related and/or intraarticular diagnoses.24 Participants were classified as 5Ts-negative if they responded "no" to all 5 symptoms and 5Ts-positive if they answered "yes" to any of the 5 items. For the 5Ts-positive participants, the number of symptoms was also documented for statistical analyses. Somatization was assessed with the PHQ-15, which comprised the 15 most common symptoms associated with severe forms of somatization,25 including trouble sleeping; fatigue; and musculoskeletal, gastrointestinal, cardiopulmonary, and neurologic symptoms. The PHQ-15 has well-established psychometric properties and is commonly used in research and clinical settings.^{25,29} Items were evaluated using a 3-point scale according to which "not bothered at all" was recorded as 0 points, "bothered a little" as 1 point, and "bothered a lot" as 2 points. The total PHQ-15 score was calculated, with higher scores signifying a greater burden of somatic symptoms. A total PHO-15 score of 5 or higher indicated the presence of somatization.2

Personality traits and emotional and sleep disturbances. Personality traits were appraised with the BFI-10, which contained 2 items for each of the following personality dimensions together referred to as OCEAN: openness, the tendency to be curious and receptive to new experiences/ideas; conscientiousness, the tendency to be responsible and self-disciplined; extraversion, the tendency to be outgoing and sociable; agreeableness, the tendency to be trusting and cooperative; and neuroticism.²⁶ The high reliability and validity of the BFI-10 have been demonstrated in Asian populations.30 The items were evaluated using a 5point scale varying from "disagree strongly," scored as 1 point, to "agree strongly," scored as 5 points, with 1 item in each dimension being reverse scored. Scores for each personality dimension were calculated, with higher scores signifying a stronger inclination toward specific traits. Emotional disturbances were assessed with the DASS-21, which comprised 7 items for each of the subscales of depression, anxiety, and stress.2 The measurement properties of the DASS021 and its bifactor structure consisting of the subscales and a general factor for "psychological distress" have been systematically documented.³¹ Items were evaluated using a 4-point scale ranging from "did not apply to me at all" scored as 0 points to "applied to me very much, or most of the time" as 3 points. The total and subscale scores were calculated, with higher scores signifying greater distress, depression, anxiety, and stress. The scoring range for classifying subscale severity is provided in the DASS manual.

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Volume 137, Number 5

Yap et al. 495

Sleep quality/disturbances were examined with the PSQI, which contains 19 items assessing the seven sleep indicators of subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medication, and daytime dysfunction.28 The high reliability and validity of the PSQI have been demonstrated in clinical and non-clinical populations.³² The items were evaluated using a 4point scale ranging from "not during the past month/ very good," which scored 0 points, to "three or more times a week/very bad," which scored 3 points. The total and component scores were calculated according to defined rules, with higher scores indicating worse sleep quality and more sleep dysfunction, respectively. A total PSQI score of overall sleep quality of 5 or higher indicates the presence of poor sleep.2

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS Statistics software version 28.0 (IBM SPSS, Inc.) with a significance level of 0.05, considered statistically significant.

TMD/somatic symptom groupings served as independent variables, and the BFI-10, DASS-21, and PSQI scores as dependent variables. Quantitative data were presented as frequencies and percentages and assessed using the chi-square test. Qualitative data were reported as means with SDs or medians with IQRs and tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. As the qualitative data exhibited non-normal distribution, they were assessed using Kruskal-Wallis/ post-hoc Mann-Whitney U tests and Spearman rank order correlations. Correlation coefficients (rs) were classified as weak, moderate, and strong based on cutoff points of 0.1, 0.4, and 0.7, respectively.33 Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were also performed to identify the risk factors associated with TMD symptoms. A stepwise variable selection method was employed for multivariate analysis using a significance threshold of P < .10 to remove insignificant factors. Outcomes were reported in terms of odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95% CIs.

RESULTS

From the initial pool of 501 potential participants, 45 and 91 were excluded due to ongoing medical treatments and incomplete surveys, respectively. The final sample consisted of 365 participants with a mean age of 22.5 ± 1.3 years, of whom 85.8% were women. Among all 365 participants, 22.2% and 19.5% were 5Ts-negative without (NN) and with somatization (NS), respectively, whereas 18.1% and 40.3% were 5Ts-positive without somatization (PN) and with somatization (PS), respectively. Although no significant variations were found in age, a significant difference in gender distribution was discerned, with the NS/PS groups (90.1/89.8%) containing a greater proportion of women than the NN/PN groups (77.8/81.8%; Table I).

Tables II and III show the mean/median personality dimensions and emotional and sleep disturbance scores for the various groups. Significant differences in conscientiousness (NN > PS) and neuroticism (PS, NS > PN, NN), as well as distress, depression, anxiety, and stress (PS, NS > PN, NN), were observed (Table II). Apart from sleep efficiency and use of sleep medication, considerable variations in total PSQI and sleep component scores were detected (Table III). Irrespective of TMD presence, participants with somatization exhibited significantly greater total PSQI, lower subjective sleep quality, higher sleep latency, higher sleep disturbance, and daytime dysfunction compared with those without somatization (PS, NS > PN, NN), Furthermore, the median total PSQI of both the PS and NS groups surpassed 5 points, indicating poor sleep qual-

The results of correlation and logistic regression analyses are shown in Tables IV and V. Distress, anxiety, stress, and overall sleep quality were moderately correlated with somatic but not TMD symptoms ($r_s = 0.45$ -0.52). Additionally, moderate associations

Table I. Demographic characteristics of the study sample

		Age			Gender		
Variable	No. (%)	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	P value* post hoc	Male n (%)	Female n (%)	P value
Total	365 (100)	22.46 (1.31)	22.0(3)		52 (14.2)	313 (85.8)	
NN	81 (22.2)	22.70 (1.22)	23.0(2)	0.177	18 (22.2)	63 (77.8)	.043‡
NS	71 (19.5)	22.23 (1.49)	22.0(3)		7 (9.9)	64 (90.1)	
PN	66 (18.1)	22.45 (1.31)	22.0(2)		12 (18.2)	54 (81.8)	
PS	147 (40.3)	22.45 (1.26)	22.0(2)		15 (10.2)	132 (89.8)	

^{*}Results of Kruskal-Wallis test.

‡P < .05.NN, 5Ts-negative without somatization; NS, 5Ts-negative with somatization; PN, 5Ts-positive without somatization; PS, 5Ts-positive with somatization; 5T, quintessential 5 temporomandibular disorder symptoms.

[†]Results of chi-square test.

ORAL MEDICINE 0000 May 2024

496 Yap et al.

Table II. Mean and median psychological variable scores for study groups

Psychological variable	NN	NS	PN	PS	P value* post hoc
Personality					
Openness (P1)					
Mean (SD)	6.19 (1.37)	6.28 (1.29)	5.92 (1.44)	6.50 (1.54)	.077
Median (IQR)	6.0(2)	6.0(2)	6.0(2)	6.0(2)	
Conscientiousness (P2)					
Mean (SD)	6.94 (1.60)	6.54 (1.17)	6.70 (1.36)	6.34 (1.23)	.011 [†]
Median (IQR)	7.0(2)	7.0(1)	7.0(2)	6.0(2)	NN > PS
Extraversion (P3)					
Mean (SD)	7.0 (1.55)	7.04 (1.60)	7.02 (1.57)	6.70 (1.71)	.415
Median (IQR)	7.0(2)	7.0(2)	7.0(2)	7.0(3)	
Agreeableness (P4)					
Mean (SD)	7.12 (1.27)	7.20 (1.51)	7.42 (1.27)	6.97 (1.35)	.138
Median (IQR)	7.0(2)	7.0(2)	7.0(1)	7.0(2)	
Neuroticism (P5)					
Mean (SD)	6.12 (1.84)	7.01 (1.63)	6.36 (1.62)	7.06 (1.55)	< .001 [†]
Median (IQR)	6.0(2)	7.0(2)	6.0(3)	7.0(2)	PS, NS > PN, NN
Emotional disturbance					
Total DASS (E1)					
Mean (SD)	9.95 (9.12)	16.83 (10.23)	10.08 (8.15)	17.20 (9.09)	< .001 [†]
Median (IQR)	8.0 (13.5)	14.0(14)	8.0 (12.5)	16.0 (12)	PS, NS > PN, NN
Depression (E2)					
Mean (SD)	2.17 (3.03)	4.15 (3.72)	2.15 (2.86)	3.75 (3.40)	< .001 [†]
Median (IQR)	1.0(4)	3.0(5)	1.0(3)	3.0(4)	NS, PS > PN, NN
Anxiety (E3)					
Mean (SD)	3.06 (2.79)	5.27(3.43)	3.26 (2.93)	5.82 (3.34)	< .001 [†]
Median (IQR)	3.0(4)	5.0(5)	3.0 (4)	5.0 (4)	PS, NS, > PN, NN
Stress (E4)					
Mean (SD)	4.72 (4.26)	7.41 (4.25)	4.67 (3.74)	7.63 (3.70)	< .001 [†]
Median (IQR)	4.0 (6)	7.0(5)	4.0 (6.25)	8.0 (5)	PS, NS > PN, NN

^{*}Results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests.

 $\dagger P < .05 \text{ and } > \text{denotes statistically significant differences between groups.} NN, 5 \text{Ts-negative without somatization; } NS, 5 \text{Ts-negative with som$ zation; PN, 5Ts-positive without somatization; PS, 5Ts-positive with somatization; 5T, quintessential 5 temporomandibular disorder symptoms.

were observed between neuroticism and distress, depression, anxiety, and stress ($r_s = 0.44-0.61$). Moderate-to-strong relationships were found among the different negative emotions ($r_s = 0.60-0.80$), and overall sleep quality was moderately associated with somatization, distress, anxiety, and stress ($r_s = 0.46-0.52$). Although univariate analysis revealed significant associations between the presence of TMD symptoms and somatization (OR, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.08-1.18), conscientiousness (OR, 0.85; CI, 0.72-0.99), anxiety (OR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.02-1.16), and overall sleep quality (OR, 1.08; 95% CI, 1.01-1.16), multivariate analysis identified somatization (OR, 1.14; 95% CI, 1.08-1.20) as the primary risk factor.

DISCUSSION

The interplay of comorbidities between TMDs and somatization and their associations with personality traits and emotional and sleep disturbances were investigated in conjunction with an examination of the correlations between biopsychosocial variables and the determination of the risk factors for TMD symptoms. The first and second research hypotheses were supported, as 69.0% (147/213) of the 5Ts-positive participants had concurrent somatization, and these young adults with somatization exhibited significantly higher levels of neuroticism, depression, anxiety, stress, and poor sleep. Both the third and fourth hypotheses were partly supported, as somatization was moderately correlated with emotional and sleep disturbances but not neuroticism, and the presence of TMD symptoms was increased largely by somatization. The study focused on university students as a young adult population due to their significant life changes and susceptibility to psychological distress.³⁴ Furthermore, TMD signs/ symptoms typically increase during adolescence and early adulthood and reach their peak between the ages of 20 and 40.4,35

Personality traits and emotional disturbances

Personality refers to the unique set of psychological traits and patterns of thoughts, feelings, and behaviors that distinguish a person from others. Although neuroticism had been linked to both TMDs and somatization, only the PS and NS groups, individuals with somatization, exhibited significantly higher levels of 0000 ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Volume 137, Number 5

Yap et al. 497

Table III. Mean and median sleep variable scores for study groups

Variable	NN	NS	PN	PS	P value*post hoc
Sleep quality/disturbance					
Total PSQI (S1)	4.70 (2.23)	7.46 (3.28)	5.08 (2.84)	7.45 (3.0)	< .001 [†]
Mean (SD)	4.0(3)	7.0(4)	4.0(3)	7.0(4)	PS, NS > PN, NN
Median (IQR)					
Subjective sleep quality (S2)	0.95 (0.50)	1.24 (0.55)	0.88 (0.57)	1.39 (0.68)	< .001 [†]
Mean (SD)	1.0(0)	1.0(1)	1.0(0)	1.0(1)	PS, NS > NN, PN
Median (IQR)					
Sleep latency (S3)	1.12 (1.04)	1.69 (1.05)	1.26(1.11)	1.69 (0.97)	< .001 [†]
Mean (SD)	1.0(2)	2.0(1)	1.0(2)	2.0(1)	NS, PS > PN, NN
Median (IQR)					
Sleep duration (S4)	0.90 (0.80)	1.20 (0.82)	0.67 (0.66)	1.10(0.84)	< .001 [†]
Mean (SD)	1.0(1)	1.0(1)	1.0(1)	1.0(0)	NS, PS > PN
Median (IQR)					NS > NN
Sleep efficiency (S5)	0.33 (0.65)	0.24 (0.64)	0.33 (0.69)	0.27 (0.59)	.564
Mean (SD)	0(0.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
Median (IQR)					
Sleep disturbance (S6)	0.75 (0.43)	1.72 (1.97)	1.17 (1.02)	1.41 (1.32)	< .001 [†]
Mean (SD)	1.0(0.5)	1.0(0)	1.0(0)	1.0(1)	NS, PS > PN > NN
Median (IQR)					
Use of sleep medication (S7)	0.01 (0.11)	0.06 (0.23)	0.03 (0.25)	0.07 (0.30)	.257
Mean (SD)	0(0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0(0)	
Median (IQR)					
Daytime dysfunction (S8)	0.63 (0.83)	1.32 (1.03)	0.74(0.77)	1.52 (1.08)	< .001 [†]
Mean (SD)	0(1)	1.0(1)	1.0(1)	1.0(1)	PS, NS > PN, NN
Median (IQR)					

^{*}Results of Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests.

 $\dagger P < .05$ and > denotes statistically significant differences between groups. NN, 5Ts-negative without somatization; NS, 5Ts-negative with somatization; PN, 5Ts-positive without somatization; PS, 5Ts-positive with somatization; 5T, quintessential 5 temporomandibular disorder symptoms; $PSQI, {\it Pittsburgh~Sleep~Quality~Index}.$

neuroticism than the NN group, controls without TMDs or somatization. ^{21,22} As neuroticism entails a disposition toward experiencing negative emotions; these findings corroborated the notably greater distress,

depression, anxiety, and stress observed in the PS and NS groups compared with the PN and NN groups.

Given the pervasiveness of somatization among patients with TMD (up to 77%) and the high

Table IV. Correlations among physical, psychological, and sleep variables

Variable	TT	TS	PI	P2	P3	P4	P5	EI	E2	E3	E4
TT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TS	0.36*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P1	0.04	0.16*	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P2	-0.10*	-0.18*	-0.24*		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P3	0.01	0.03	-0.15*	0.17*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
P4	-0.03	-0.03	0.01	-0.01	0.08	-	-	-	-	-	-
P5	0.08	0.23*	0.26*	-0.25*	-0.30*	-0.02	-	-	-	-	-
E1	0.16*	0.48**	0.31*	-0.29*	0.28*	-0.03	$0.59^{*,\dagger}$	-	-	-	-
E2	0.07	0.39*	0.28*	-0.28*	-0.33*	-0.06	$0.44*^{,\dagger}$	0.82**	-	-	-
E3	0.21*	$0.47*^{,\dagger}$	0.28*	-0.24*	-0.19*	0.01	$0.51^{*,\dagger}$	$0.89*^{+}$	$0.60*^{1}$	-	-
E4	0.14*	0.45**	0.28*	-0.25*	-0.23*	-0.03	$0.61*^{+}$	0.95**	$0.69^{**^{\dagger}}$	0.80^{*-1}	-
S1	0.15*	0.52*.	0.21*.	-0.20*	-0.11*	-0.02	0.25*	$0.48*^{+}$	0.38*	$0.46*^{+}$	0.46*

TT, total number of temporomandibular disorder symptoms; TS, total Patient Health Questionnaire-15 score; Personality: P1, openness; P2, conscientiousness; P3, = extraversion; P4, agreeableness; P5, neuroticism; Emotional disturbance: E1, total Depression Anxiety Stress-Scales-21 score (psychological distress); E2, depression; E3, anxiety; E4, stress and sleep quality/disturbance; S1, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (overall sleep quality). *P < .01

[†]Correlation coefficient >0.4.

ORAL MEDICINE 0000

498 Yap et al. May 2024

Table V. Risk factors for temporomandibular disorder symptoms in Southeast Asian young adults

19 Variable	Univariate Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value*	Multivariate Odds ratio (95% CI)	P value
Gender				
Male	Reference			
Female	1.36 (0.75-2.44)	0.311		
Somatization	1.13 (1.08-1.18)‡	< .001	1.14 (1.08-1.20)‡	< .001
Personality				
Openness	1.04 (0.90-1.21)	0.563		
Conscientiousness	$0.85(0.72-0.99)^{\ddagger}$	0.037^{\ddagger}		
Extraversion	0.92 (0.81-1.05)	.919		
Agreeableness	0.98 (0.84-1.14)	.753		
Neuroticism	1.11 (0.98-1.26)	.089		
Emotional disturbance				
General distress (total DASS)	1.02 (0.99-1.04)	.079		
Depression	1.01 (0.95-1.08)	.667		
Anxiety	$1.09(1.02-1.16)^{\ddagger}$.01 [‡]		
Stress	1.04 (0.99-1.10)	.098		
Sleep				
Overall sleep quality (total PSQI)	1.08 (1.01-1.16) [‡]	.032 [‡]		

^{*}Results of univariate analysis.

percentage of young adults with TMDs in this study (69.0%), it is plausible that emotional disturbances associated with TMDs might stem from somatization tendencies rather than the TMDs themselves.^{7,8} This possibility is supported by the moderate correlations between distress, anxiety, and stress observed with somatic but not TMD symptoms. Collectively, the aforementioned findings suggest that TMDs are a form of functional somatic/central sensitization syndromes and explain the high frequencies of concomitant chronic pain conditions in patients with TMD. 19,18 As a personality trait, conscientiousness is characterized by self-discipline and goal-directed behaviors. Individuals with comorbid TMDs and somatization (PS group) presented significantly lower levels of conscientiousness than controls (NN group). This may be partly explained by pain-related disabilities/interferences, which are also related to the emotional disturbances that often accompany TMDs/somatization.3

Sleep quality/disturbances

The relationship between TMDs and poor sleep has been widely documented. 10,11 Nevertheless, whether this phenomenon can be attributed mainly to TMDs or the consequences of somatization and emotional disturbances remains to be ascertained. As with emotional disturbances, significant differences in overall sleep quality and most sleep components were found between individuals with somatization (PS and NS groups) and without somatization (PN and NN groups), irrespective of TMD presence. Overall, sleep quality was moderately correlated with somatization, distress,

anxiety, and stress. The association between sleep quality and TMD symptoms, albeit significant, was weak, inferring that sleep impairments associated with TMDs are influenced largely by somatization and emotional disturbances. Sleep-pain, and sleep-emotion interactions are highly complex, and bidirectional relationships involving both linear and circular models with mutually deleterious effects have been proposed.37 The somewhat weaker relationship between sleep quality and depression may be underpinned by the generally normal levels of depression (0-4 points) in the study cohort.

Risk factors for TMD symptoms

Univariate analysis indicated that somatization, anxiety, and sleep disturbances increased the risk of experiencing TMD symptoms by 13%, 9%, and 8%, respectively, whereas conscientiousness reduced the risk by 15%. The triad of bodily pains, psychological distress, and sleep disturbances was also found to predict the onset of painful TMDs in the multicenter Orofacial Pain: Prospective Evaluation and Risk Assessment study, which recommended a multisystem approach for evaluating TMDs.39 Individuals high in conscientiousness are likely to show greater commitment to self-management interventions and the adoption of functional coping strategies, leading to a potential reduction in TMD symptoms. 40.41 adjustment for confounding variables, multivariate analysis identified somatization as the primary risk factor, increasing the odds of TMD symptoms by 14% in non-clinical young adults.

[†]Results of multivariate logistic regression analysis

[‡]P < .05.PSQI, Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index; DASS; Depression Anxiety Stress-Scales-21.

Volume 137, Number 5

Yap et al. 499

Although somatization appears to be linked with attentional and perceptual aspects of symptoms, emotional disturbances are more closely related to behavioral characteristics. ⁴² Persons with TMDs should thus be routinely screened for somatization and co-existing psychological distress, which can complicate the diagnostic process and affect treatment approaches as well as outcomes. ⁴³ Additionally, pharmacologic and psychological treatments for somatization and somatoform disorders, including anti-depressants, cognitive behavioral therapy, and mindfulness therapy, might be beneficial for TMD management. ⁴⁴

Study limitations

This analytical observational study was subject to several limitations. First, the use of a cross-sectional design did not permit temporal and causal inferences to be made. Although personality traits remain relatively stable, TMD symptoms, somatization tendencies, and emotional and sleep disturbances can fluctuate over time. Consequently, a prospective cohort investigation should ideally be performed to explore the dynamic changes. Second. the study population comprised only young adults, with a notable preponderance of women, who are more susceptible to TMDs and somatization.^{5,45} The gender imbalance in respondents can be ascribed to the higher proclivity of women to engage in online surveys than men.46 Future research endeavors could incorporate more men in addition to other age and racial groups, and these findings must be validated in patients with TMD. Third, participants with TMD pain-related and intra-articular symptoms were not distinguished from all participants. The interplay between somatization and emotional and sleep disturbances may vary among young adults experiencing painful and non-painful TMD symptoms. Finally, the study measures relied on selfreporting, which could have introduced potential sources of information bias. In addition to recall and social desirability bias, confirmation bias and other forms of partiality may also have occurred.47

CONCLUSIONS

In this study of the young adults with TMDs, approximately seven-tenths had comorbid somatization. Regardless of the presence of TMDs, the participants with somatization experienced substantially higher levels of neuroticism, distress, depression, anxiety, and stress and experienced poorer sleep than those without somatization. Distress, anxiety, stress, and overall sleep quality were moderately correlated with somatic but not with TMD symptoms. Whereas the univariate model suggested that the triad of somatization, psychological distress (specifically anxiety), and sleep disturbance increased the risk of experiencing TMD symptoms, stepwise multivariate analysis identified

somatization as the primary and most influential risk factor. Therefore, somatization may underlie emotional disturbances and poor sleep associated with TMDs. Routine screening for somatization and concurrent psychological distress in TMD patients is strongly recommended. This proactive approach can help mitigate diagnostic complications, enhance treatment planning, and ultimately lead to improved treatment outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank I. Hanin, Y. Pragustine, and A. Fitryanur for assisting with the data collection.

FUNDING

This research was funded by Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia (grant number: 0142/PUF/FKG/2021-202)

DECLARATION OF INTEREST

None

REFERENCES

- Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, et al. Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) for clinical and research applications: recommendations of the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network and Orofacial Pain Special Interest Group. J Oral Facial Pain Headache. 2014;28:6-27.
- Scrivani SJ, Keith DA, Kaban LB. Temporomandibular disor ders. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:2693-2705.
- Ryan J, Akhter R, Hassan N, Hilton G, Wickham J, Ibaragi S. Epidemiology of temporomandibular disorder in the general population: a systematic review. Adv Dent Oral Health. 2019;10:555787.
- List T, Jensen RH. Temporomandibular disorders: old ideas and new concepts. Cephalalgia. 2017;37:692-704.
- Bueno CH, Pereira DD, Pattussi MP, Grossi PK, Grossi ML. Gender differences in temporomandibular disorders in adult populational studies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oral Rehabil. 2018:45:720-729.
- Slade GD, Fillingim RB, Sanders AE, et al. Summary of findings from the OPPERA prospective cohort study of incidence of firstonset temporomadibular disorder: implications and future directions. IPain 2013;14:T116-T124.
- Felin GC, Tagliari CVDC, Agostini BA, Collares K. Prevalence of psychological disorders in patients with temporomandibular disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Prosthet Dent. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2022.08.002. Published online September 13.
- De La Torre Canales G, Câmara-Souza MB, Muñoz Lora VRM, et al. Prevalence of psychosocial impairment in temporomandibular disorder patients: a systematic review. J Oral Rehabil. 2018;45:881-889
- Reis PHF, Laxe LAC, Lacerda-Santos R, Münchow EA. Distribution of anxiety and depression among different subtypes of temporomandibular disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oral Rehabil. 2022;49:754-767.
- Dreweck FDS, Soares S, Duarte J, Conti PCR, De Luca Canto G, Luís Porporatti A. Association between painful temporomandibular disorders and sleep quality: a systematic review. J Oral Rehabil. 2020;47:1041-1051.

0000 500 Yap et al. May 2024

- 11. Roithmann CC, Silva CAGD, Pattussi MP, Grossi ML. Subjective sleep quality and temporomandibular disorders: systema literature review and meta-analysis. J Oral Rehabil. 2021:48:1380-1394.
- 12. Lipowski ZJ. Somatization: the experience and communication of psychological distress as somatic symptoms. Psychother Psysom, 1987;47:160-167.
- 13. Dreher A. Hahn E. Diefenbacher A. et al. Cultural differences in symptom representation for depression and somatization measured by the PHO between Vietnamese and German psychiatric outpatients. J Psychosom Res. 2017;102:71-77.
- 14. Grover S, Ghosh A. Somatic symptom and related disorders in Asians and Asian Americans. Asian J Psychiatr. 2014;7:77-79.
- 15. Clark J, Nijs J, Yeowell G, Goodwin PC. What are the predictors of altered central pain modulation in chronic musculoskeletal pain populations? A systematic review. Pain Physician. 2017:20:487-500.
- 16. Ferrillo M, Giudice A, Marotta N, et al. Pain management and rehabilitation for central sensitization in temporomandibular disorders: a comprehensive review. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23:12164.
- 17. Kleykamp BA, Ferguson MC, McNicol E, et al. The prevalence of comorbid chronic pain conditions among patients with tempondibular disorders: a systematic review. J Am Dent Assoc. 2022:153 241-250 e10
- 18. Fantoni F, Salvetti G, Manfredini D, Bosco M. Current concepts on the functional somatic syndromes and temporomandibular disorders. Stomatologija, 2007:9:3-9.
- 19. Yap AU, Sultana R, Natu VP. Somatic and temporomandibular disorder symptoms — Idioms of psychological distress in Southeast Asian youths. Cranio. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 08869634.2021.1982496. Published online September 26.
- 20. Ionescu CG, Popa-Velea O, Mihăilescu AI, Talasman AA, Bădărău IA. Somatic symptoms and sleep disorders: a literature review of their relationship, comorbidities and treatment, Healthcare (Basel), 2021;9:1128
- 21. Macina C, Bendel R, Walter M, Wrege JS. Somatization and somatic symptom disorder and its overlap with dimensionally measured personality pathology: a systematic review. J Psychology om Res. 2021:151:110646.
- 22. Mitrowska-Guźmińska M, Gę bska M, Jonko K, et al. Effect of person ality type on the occurrence of temporomandibular disorders - A -sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;20:352.
- 23. Hilderink PH, Collard R, Rosmalen JG, Oude Voshaar RC. Prevalence of somatoform disorders and medically unexplained symptoms in old age populations in comparison with oups; a systematic review. Ageing Res Rev. 2013;12:151-156.
- 24. Yap AU, Zhang MJ, Zhang XH, Cao Y, Fu KY. Viability of the stessential 5 temporomandibular disorder sympton TMD screener. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2022-133-643-649
- 25. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-15: validity of a measure for evaluating the severity of somatic symptoms. Psychosom Med. 2002:64:258-266.
- 26. Rammstedt B, John OP. Measuring personality in one minute or less: a 10 item short version of the Big Five Inventory in English and German. J Res Pers. 2007;41:203-212.
- 27. Lovibond SH, Lovibond PF. Manual for the Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scales. 2nd ed. Sydney, NSW: Psychology Foun-
- 28. Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ, The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: A new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res. 1989;28:193-213.
- 29. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Löwe B. The Patient Health Questionnaire Somatic, Anxiety, and Depressive

- Symptom Scales: a systematic review. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2010;32:345-359
- 30. Ahmad S, Hussain S. Big five inventory-version 10: a two-minute personality measurement tool in Urdu. J Pak Med Assoc 2022:72:1726-1730
- 31. Lee J, Lee EH, Moon SH. Systematic review of the measurement properties of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21 by applying updated COSMIN methodology. Qual Life Res 2019;28:2325-2339.
- 32. Mollaveva T. Thurairaiah P. Burton K. Mollaveva S. Shapiro CM, Colantonio A. The Pittsburgh sleep quality index as a screening tool for sleep dysfunction in clinical and non-clinical samples: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sleep Med Rev. 2016:25:52-73.
- 33. Schober P. Boer C. Schwarte LA. Correlation coefficients: and interpretation. Anesth Analg. appropriate 2018:126:1763-1768.
- 34. Osbom TG, Li S, Saunders R, Fonagy P. University students' use of mental health services: a system analysis. Int J Ment Health Syst. 2022;16:57.
- 35. Carlsson GE. Epidemiology and treatment need for temporomandibular disorders. J Orofac Pain. 1999;13:232-237.
- Manfredini D, Ahlberg J, Winocur E, Guarda-Nardini L, Lobbe zoo F. Correlation of RDC/TMD axis I diagnoses and axis II pain-related disability. A multicenter study. Clin Oral Investig. . 2011:15:749-756.
- 37. Lavigne GJ, Sessle BJ. The neurobiology of orofacial pain and sleep and their interactions. J Dent Res. 2016;95:1109-1116
- 38. Vandekerckhove M, Wang YL. Emotion, emotion regulation and sleep: an intimate relationship. AIMS Neurosci 2017:5:1-17.
- 39, Chen H, Comnick C, Norman GJ, Caplan DJ, Xie XJ, Fillingim RB. Triad multisystem phenotype with high risk for developing temporomandibular disorders-characteristics and potential pathophysiology results from the Orofacial Pain: Prospective Evaluation and Risk Assessment dataset, Pain, 2023;164:1027-1038.
- 40. Aggarwal VR, Fu Y, Main CJ, Wu J. The effectiveness of selfmanagement interventions in adults with chronic orofacial pain a systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression, Eur J in. 2019;23:849-865.
- 41. Yap AU, Dewi NL, Marpaung C. Psychological characteristics of young adults with temporomandibular disorders, somatization and combined conditions: a multidimensional evaluation. J Oral Rehabil. 2023;50:1382-1392.
- 42. Sherman JJ, LeResche L, Huggins KH, Mancl LA, Sage JC, Dworkin SF. The relationship of somatization and depression to experimental pain response in women with temporomandibular disorders Psychosom Med 2004:66:852-860
- 43. Huttunen J, Qvintus V, Suominen AL, Sipilä K. Role of psychoocial factors on treatment outcome of temporomandibular disorders. Acta Odontol Scand. 2019;77:119-125.
- 44. Kroenke K. Efficacy of treatment for somatoform disorders: review of randomized controlled trials. Psychosom Med.
- 45. Wool CA, Barsky AJ. Do women somatize more than men? Gender differences in somatization. Psychoso-1004-35-445-452
- 46. Smith WG. Does gender influence online survey participation? A record-linkage analysis of University Faculty online survey response behavior. Accessed June 15, 2023. https://eric.ed.gov/
- 47. Althubaiti A. Information bias in health research: definition, pitfalls, and adjustment methods. J Multidiscip Healthc 2016;9:211-217.

Comorbidities between temporomandibular disorders and somatization in young adults: exploring links with personality, emotional, and sleep disturbances

emotio	nal, and	l sleep disturba	nces		
ORIGINALITY F	REPORT				
17 SIMILARITY	% INDEX	10% INTERNET SOURCES	14% PUBLICATIONS	3% STUDENT PAPER	RS
PRIMARY SOU	RCES				
By Sy Pa D D	yeong-r ymptom atients ' isorder	jin Yap, Sungha nin Lee, Ji Woo ns as Idioms of With Differing Diagnostic Sub urnal, 2025	n Park. "Soma Distress in Ea Femporoman	itic st Asian dibular	1%
By ar te pa	yeong-r nalysis o mporo ain, beh	jin Yap, Jung Hy nin Lee, Ji Woo of acute and ch mandibular dis navioral, and ps E, 2025	n Park. "Comp ronic painful orders: Insigh	parative ets into	1%
3	nedworr ernet Source				1%
Ra Te W D Li	ahmadi emporo ith Psyc istress, fe", The	ap, Carolina Ma ni. "Self-Report mandibular Di chologic Well-B and Oral Healt International	ed Symptoms sorders: Relat eing, Psycholo h-Related Qu	s of ionship ogic	1%
)	-	jin Yap, Min-Jua e Cao, Kai-Yuar	_		1 %

Adrian Ujin Yap, Min-Juan Zhang, Xiao-Han Zhang, Ye Cao, Kai-Yuan Fu. "Viability of the quintessential 5 temporomandibular disorder

symptoms as a TMD screener", Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, 2021

6	Adrian Ujin Yap, Rehena Sultana, Vaishali Prakash Natu. "Stress and emotional distress: their associations with somatic and temporomandibular disorder-related symptoms", Psychology, Health & Medicine, 2021 Publication	1%
7	Paul Hellyer. "Temporomandibular disease", British Dental Journal, 2024 Publication	1%
8	"Table of Contents", Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, 2024 Publication	1 %
9	N. Ghosh, M. K. Adak, P. D. Ghosh, S. Gupta, D. N. Sen Gupta, C. Mandal. "Differential responses of two rice varieties to salt stress", Plant Biotechnology Reports, 2010	1%
10	Adrian Ujin Yap, Min-Juan Zhang, Ye Cao, Jie Lei, Kai-Yuan Fu. "Comparison of psychological states and oral health–related quality of life of patients with differing severity of temporomandibular disorders", Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, 2021	1%
11	pure.uva.nl Internet Source	1%
12	Submitted to University of Sydney Student Paper	1%

13	Adrian Ujin Yap, Henry Chee Wai Ho, Ye Choung Lai. "Analysing the psychosocial construct of Temporomandibular disorders: Implications for orthodontics", Seminars in Orthodontics, 2023	1%
14	www.unboundmedicine.com Internet Source	1%
15	Ana L. Vega-Jasso, Luis M. Amezcua-Guerra, Héctor González-Pacheco, Julio Sandoval- Zárate et al. "Adipokines and Inflammatory Markers in Acute Myocardial Infarction Patients with and without Obstructive Sleep Apnea: A Comparative Analysis", International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2023 Publication	1 %
16	ouci.dntb.gov.ua Internet Source	1%
17	Adrian Ujin Yap, Sunghae Kim, Byeong-min Lee, Jung Hwan Jo, Ji Woon Park. "Correlates of jaw functional limitation, somatization and psychological distress among different temporomandibular disorder diagnostic subtypes", Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, 2023 Publication	<1%
18	fis.uke.de Internet Source	<1%
19	Adrian Ujin Yap, Vaishali Prakash Natu. "Inter- relationships between pain-related temporomandibular disorders, somatic and psychological symptoms in Asian youths", Journal of Oral Rehabilitation, 2020 Publication	<1%

20	Eman M. Khedr, Jaidaa Mekky, Mohammad A. Korayem, Gellan K. Ahmed, Nourelhoda A. Haridy. "Sleep disorders in a sample of Egyptian patients with Parkinson's disease: a case–control polysomnography study", The Egyptian Journal of Neurology, Psychiatry and Neurosurgery, 2025 Publication	<1%
21	dergipark.org.tr Internet Source	<1%
22	exaly.com Internet Source	<1%
23	sci-hub.se Internet Source	<1%
24	unglueit-files.s3.amazonaws.com Internet Source	<1%
25	Submitted to Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Indonesia Student Paper	<1%
26	Adrian Ujin Yap, Ye Cao, Min-Juan Zhang, Jie Lei, Kai-Yuan Fu. "Comparison of emotional disturbance, sleep, and life quality in adult patients with painful temporomandibular disorders of different origins", Clinical Oral Investigations, 2021	<1%
27	Adrian Ujin Yap, Min-Juan Zhang, Xiao-Han Zhang, Ye Cao, Kai-Yuan Fu. "Viability of the quintessential five Temporomandibular disorder symptoms (5Ts) as a TMD screener", Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology, 2021	<1%

<1%

28

Exclude quotes On
Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches

< 15 words