Validity and Reliability of Temporomandibular Disorders Screening Questionnaire for Indonesian Children and Adolescents

by Carolina Damayanti Marpaung

Submission date: 25-Jul-2025 01:53PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2720280054

File name: VALIDITY_and_RELIABILITY_of_TMD_questionnaire.docx (58.84K)

Word count: 2593 Character count: 14807

Validity and Reliability of Temporomandibular Disorders Screening Questionnaire for Indonesian Children and Adolescents

Carolina Marpaung*, Ni Luh Widya P Dewi*, Maria Valiente Lopez¥

*Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

[§]Clinica Dental Rocabayera, Cunit, Tarragona, Spain.

*Corresponding author email: carolina@trisakti.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Objective: Collecting data through subjective examination is a method of choice for large-scale epidemiological studies. To this date, there is no standardized tool for Temporomandibular Disorders (TMD) screening for Indonesian children and adolescents. The study assesses the validity and reliability of question items for TMD screening to be used in the Indonesian young population.

Methods: The sensitivity and Specificity of the TMD screening tool were calculated, with clinical examination as the gold standard. Reliability was assessed with test-retest correlation analysis in children (aged 6-12 years) and adolescents (aged 13-18 years) group.

Results: The specificity of TMD pain question was 63.7% in children and 58.2% in adolescents. The sensitivity was 44.4% and 60%, respectively. The TMJ sounds question showed a specificity of 92.9% in children and 80.8% in adolescents. The sensitivity was 19.4% and 24.8%, correspondingly. All the TMD screening questions yielded fair to good reliability.

Conclusion: The study showed that question items used in TMD screening tool, especially for TMJ sounds, have a low chance of false positives. While it is beneficial for a screening purpose, caution must be taken when using it for prevalence data.

Keywords: Temporomandibular Disorders, Children, Adolescents, Validity, Reliability

INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) is a group of clinical problems that involve masticatory muscles, temporomandibular joints, and the associated structures. The symptoms may include masticatory muscle pain, joint sounds, and jaw movement limitations. Excessive oral activities, which are common in children, such as: bruxism, nail biting, nonnutritive sucking, are regarded as contributory factors for TMD manifestations. 3-5

It was first presumed that the TMDs only affect adults; however, epidemiology studies showed that the young population was negatively impacted by the disorders.⁶ The prevalence of TMDs in the young population is reported from 0.7% to 68%.^{7,8} One of the possible reasons of such a wide prevalence range was the different examination procedures in data collection.

Large scale study on TMD is important to know the weight of the disorders in the community. A validity study comparing functional study to magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has shown that clinical examination can be considered a benchmark in TMD recognition. However, comprehensive examinations and tests are time-consuming and expensive; thus they are rarely feasible in large-scale epidemiologic studies. Questionnaires are handy to be used in a large epidemiological study, though there is a chance of over- or underscoring the conditions due to their subjective nature. The aim of this study was then to assess the validity (i.e specificity and sensitivity) of items in the questionnaire versus clinical examination to detect TMD. Reliability of those questionnaire items were also investigated.

MATERIAL and METHOD

Pilot study was first conducted to obtain the examiners' reliability. The clinical examination data collection was done by 3 examiners, and their Inter-rater agreement (cohen's kappa) was assessed by having each examining 50 high school students. The questionnaire's test-retest reliability was estimated for each question by calculating intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) using absolute agreement. For adolescents (aged 13-18), the questionnaire was distributed over 75 high school students. The items in the questionnaire consist of questions about orofacial pain and joint sounds (Table 2). Subjects fill in either yes, no, or don't know as their answer for each question. The test-retest reliability of the questionnaire, completed by children (aged 6-12), was assessed by distributing the questionnaires to 50 parents or legal representatives. The adults were instructed to read the explanation page and fill in the questionnaire together with their children. This procedure was repeated after 10 days. The ICC scores were then interpreted according to Fleiss: ICCs < 0.4 were considered poor; 0.4-0.75 as fair to good; and >0.75 as excellent.

The sensitivity and specificity of subjective examination was carried out at schools of rural and urban area in greater Jakarta area. To make sure that data represents Indonesian children and adolescents, schools of high and low socio-economic level were included in the study. A representative sample size was proportionally estimated for each school, based on the number of 6 to 18 year-old-schoolchildren. Questionnaires, informed consent and a brief introduction of items in the questionnaires were given 1 day before the clinical data collection.

1378 students completed the questionnaire and continued to undergo clinical examination. The clinical data collection was done in the school clinic during daytime class hours by 3 dentists who passed the reliability study. During the examination, the examiners sat in front of the child. Operators calibrated their palpation strength after every 10 clinical examinations using a digital kitchen scale (Protocol of the clinical examination is described elsewhere). Based on the number of cases based on subjective examination compared to clinical examination, sensitivity and specificity were calculated.

RESULTS

Tes-retest reliability using intraclass correlation scores of items in the questionnaire were considered fair to good according to fleiss. The similar result was found in ICC's of clinical examination (Table 2).

Table 1. Inter-rater agreement of 3 observers.

Items	Description	Kappa
ADD / Hypermobile click	A distinct singular click, which occurs during opening or closing of the mouth.	0.87
Crepitus	A continuous grating sound which occurs over a period of jaw movement	_*
Temporalis palpation pain	Pain on 1 kg of palpation pressure on 3 zones of temporalis muscle	1
Masseter palpation pain	Pain on 1 kg of palpation pressure on 3 zones of masseter muscle	0.88
TMJ palpation pain	Pain on 0.5 kg of palpation pressure on lateral pole of TMJ	_*
Pain on maximum mouth opening	Pain which occurs during maximal mouth opening	0.98

^{*}Analysis cannot be done since too little subject with crepitus and pain on TMJ palpation

Table 2. Test-retest reliability analysis of items used in the questionnaires of children and adolescents

	Children		Adolescents	
Items	Question	ICC	Question	ICC

			3	
TMD pain	Does your child have pain at the	0.76	Have you had pain in the face, jaw,	0.64
	location of his/her temples, face, in		temple, in front of the ear, or in the	
	front of the ear, or in the ear?		ear?	
Joint sound	Does your child make a clicking or popping sound from the jaw when opening/closing the mouth, or when eating?	1.0	Does your jaw make a clicking or popping sound when you open/close your mouth or when you're eating?	0.68

With clinical examination as the gold standard, the TMD pain question showed specificities of 63.7% for children and 58.2% in adolescents. The specificity for TMJ sounds showed higher score of 92.9% for children and 80.8% for adolescents. The sensitivity for TMD pain question is 44.4% for children and 60% for adolescents. TMJ sounds questions showed lower score of 19.4% for children and 24.6% for adolescents.

Table 3. Number of subjects with TMJ pain recognized by questionnaire as subjective examination and clinical examination.

		Cli	Clinical examination			
		No TMJ Pain	TMJ Pain	Total		
Children	Subjective examination					
	No TMJ Pain	258	147	405		
	TMJ Pain	69	55	124		
	Total	327	202	529		
Adolescents	Subjective examination					
	No TMJ Pain	239	172	411		
	TMJ Pain	96	144	240		
	Total	335	316	651		

Table 4. Number of subjects with TMJ sounds recognized by questionnaire as subjective examination and clinical examination.

		C	Clinical examination			
		No TMJ	TMJ Sounds	Total		
		Sounds				
Children	Subjective examination					
	No TMJ Sounds	444	34	478		
	TMJ Sounds	54	13	67		
	Total	498	47	545		
Adolescents	Subjective examination					
	No TMJ Sounds	489	116	605		
	TMJ Sounds	156	51	207		
	Total	645	167	812		

DISCUSSION

The main objective of this study was to assess the validity and reliability of subjective report and clinical findings of TMD in large community of children and adolescents. For TMJ sounds question in children, a high specificity was found when clinical examination was used as the gold standard. This implies that the chance of having a false-positive of TMJ sounds in that age group is low.

It has been a protocol in the field of medicine to have patient reconfirm his or her complaint in the clinical examination. The lack of time to do subjective data confirmation in clinical examination makes researches need to opt for the most appropriate method of data collection with the least false findings. Questionnaire or interview is often the method of choice, especially in large scale studies, though its subjectivity nature risks over or underscoring the condition. However, symptom detection solely through clinical examination, especially in children, is difficult since they may exhibit a desire to please according to what they believe of an adult's expectations.¹¹

On the pilot study, the reliability of the questionnaire and examination protocol that were being used was found to be high. Test-retest reliability analysis of the questionnaire was done by having subjects fill in the same questionnaire 10 days apart. The amount of time was considered sufficient as a "washout period", where a subject would be unlikely to remember his/her initial answer. The high reliability of the questionnaire items was likely due to the simple question formulation, and clear explanation of the questions. Similar findings were found in other studies in young population. ^{12, 13} Fair to excellent agreement of observers were also found in the pilot study. The high agreement was mainly due to the strict examination protocol which dictated examiners to match the intra oral signs in the subjects with pictures in the protocol form, along with the other clear instructions in the DC/TMD axis 1 form.

In pain symptoms detection, subjective report is still preferred when no symptom confirmation is performed. Pain is a subjective entity; thus, it is what the individual who suffers from pain says it is. 14 The potential bias in children in this study was overcome by having parents fill in the questionnaire together with their children. In non-pain TMD symptoms, such as joint click, clinical examination was chosen by most studies 15-18 since awareness of a non-pain condition is assumed to be low.

Low to moderate sensitivity and specificity in TMD pain question found in this study was below the target validity as set by the international orofacial pain interest group. 19 Other

studies that observe similar sensitivity also showed higher validity.^{12, 20} However, the two mentioned studies were done in the patient population or subjects seeking for TMD treatment. Therefore, the result cannot be directly applied to the non-patient population where the disorder does not necessarily cause subjects' complaints. The general nature of TMD pain question used in this study might not be specific enough to direct subject to the masticatory muscle and temporomandibular joint area. The high incidence of ear infections and headache complaints in children might also distract subjects' understanding of orofacial pain.^{21, 22}

The high specificity of the TMJ sounds question gives an indication that the chance of having a false positive is low. In this study, the presence of TMJ sounds was clinically examined based on three probable diagnoses, namely anterior disc displacement, hypermobility joint, and degenerative disorders. The first two conditions generate distinct singular TMJ sounds, while the latter produces continuous grating sound. However, since the sounds rarely cause major discomfort, patients are often unaware, let alone complain about the condition. ²³⁻ This might contribute to the low sensitivity found in TMJ sounds question. However, previous study showed that specificity is more important than sensitivity for joint sounds cases to prevent overtreatment for such low morbid condition. ⁹

CONCLUSION

This study brings forth the need for a more detailed question on TMD pain for screening purposes. TMJ sounds question showed high specificity for both age groups, and can serve as screening tool for TMD research in young population.

- 1. AAOP. Orofacial pain: guidelines for assessment, diagnosis and management. 4th edition ed. R DL, editor. Chicago, IL: Quintessence Books; 2008.
- 2. de Leeuw R, Klasser GD, Pain AAoO. Orofacial Pain: Guidelines for Assessment, Diagnosis, and Management: Quintessence Publishing Company, Incorporated; 2018.
- 3. Vanderas AP. Relationship between oral parafunctions and craniomandibular dysfunction in children and adolescents: a review. ASDC J Dent Child. 1994;61(5-6):378-81.
- Sari S, Sonmez H, Oray GO, Camdeviren H. Temporomandibular joint dysfunction and occlusion in the mixed and permanent dentition. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 1999;24(1):59-62.
- 5. Marpaung C, Lobbezoo F, van Selms MKA. Temporomandibular Disorders among Dutch Adolescents: Prevalence and Biological, Psychological, and Social Risk Indicators. Pain Res Manag. 2018;2018:5053709.
- 6. Nilsson IM, List T, Drangsholt M. Headache and Co-morbid Pains Associated with TMD Pain in Adolescents. J Dent Res. 2013.

- 7. Marpaung C, van Selms MKA, Lobbezoo F. Prevalence and risk indicators of pain-related temporomandibular disorders among Indonesian children and adolescents. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. 2018;46(4):400-6.
- 8. Marpaung C, van Selms MKA, Lobbezoo F. Temporomandibular joint anterior disc displacement with reduction in a young population: Prevalence and risk indicators. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2019;29(1):66-73.
- 9. Marpaung CM, Kalaykova SI, Lobbezoo F, Naeije M. Validity of functional diagnostic examination for temporomandibular joint disc displacement with reduction. J Oral Rehabil. 2014:41(4):243-9.
- 10. Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg J, Glaros AG, Kato T, Koyano K, Lavigne GJ, et al. Bruxism defined and graded: an international consensus. J Oral Rehabil. 2013;40(1):2-4.
- 11. Ross DM, Ross SA. The importance of type of question, psychological climate and subject set in interviewing children about pain. Pain. 1984;19(1):71-9.
- 12. Nilsson IM. Reliability, validity, incidence and impact of temporormandibular pain disorders in adolescents. Swed Dent J Suppl. 2007(183):7-86.
- 13. Wahlund K. Temporomandibular disorders in adolescents. Epidemiological and methodological studies and a randomized controlled trial. Swed Dent J Suppl. 2003(164):inside front cover, 2-64.
- 14. McCaffery M BA. Pain: clinical manual for nursing practice Pain: clinical manual for nursing practice Margo McCaffery Alexander Beebe Mosby Yearbook UK £17.25 0 7234 1992 2. 1994/12/07 ed1994 Dec 7. 55 p.
- Keeling SD, McGorray S, Wheeler TT, King GJ. Risk factors associated with temporomandibular joint sounds in children 6 to 12 years of age. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1994;105(3):279-87.
- 16. Isberg A, Hägglund M, Paesani D. The effect of age and gender on the onset of symptomatic temporomandibular joint disk displacement. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1998;85(3):252-7.
- 17. Huddleston Slater JJ, Lobbezoo F, Onland-Moret NC, Naeije M. Anterior disc displacement with reduction and symptomatic hypermobility in the human temporomandibular joint: prevalence rates and risk factors in children and teenagers. J Orofac Pain. 2007;21(1):55-62.
- 18. Bonjardim LR, Gavião MB, Pereira LJ, Castelo PM, Garcia RC. Signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in adolescents. Braz Oral Res. 2005;19(2):93-8.
- 19. Schiffman E, Ohrbach R, Truelove E, Look J, Anderson G, Goulet JP, et al. Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders (DC/TMD) for Clinical and Research Applications: Recommendations of the International RDC/TMD Consortium Network* and Orofacial Pain Special Interest Groupdagger. J Oral Facial Pain Headache. 2014;28(1):6-27.
- 20. Lövgren A, Visscher CM, Häggman-Henrikson B, Lobbezoo F, Marklund S, Wänman A. Validity of three screening questions (3Q/TMD) in relation to the DC/TMD. J Oral Rehabil. 2016;43(10):729-36.
- 21. Karunanayake CP, Albritton W, Rennie DC, Lawson JA, McCallum L, Gardipy PJ, et al. Ear Infection and Its Associated Risk Factors in First Nations and Rural School-Aged Canadian Children. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2016;2016:1523897.
- 22. Nieswand V, Richter M, Gossrau G. Epidemiology of Headache in Children and Adolescents-Another Type of Pandemia. Curr Pain Headache Rep. 2020;24(10):62.
- 23. Kalaykova S, Lobbezoo F, Naeije M. Two-year natural course of anterior disc displacement with reduction. J Orofac Pain. 2010;24(4):373-8.
- 24. Yap AU, Tan SHX, Marpaung C. Temporomandibular disorder symptoms in young adults: Three-dimensional impact on oral health-related quality of life. Journal of oral rehabilitation. 2022;49(8):769-77.
- 25. Yap AU, Marpaung C. Severity and form of temporomandibular disorder symptoms: Functional, physical, and psychosocial impacts. Cranio. 2021:1-8.

Validity and Reliability of Temporomandibular Disorders Screening Questionnaire for Indonesian Children and Adolescents

ORIGINA	ALITY REPORT				
SIMILA	4% RITY INDEX	6% INTERNET SOURCES	14% PUBLICATIONS	2% STUDENT PAI	PERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES				
1	Frank Lo anterior young p	a Marpaung, Ma obbezoo. "Temp disc displaceme opulation: Preve ors", Internationary, 2019	oromandibula ent with reduc alence and ris	ar joint ction in a k	4%
2	Frank Lo indicato disorde adolesc	a Marpaung, Ma obbezoo. "Preva ors of pain-relate rs among Indon ents", Communi iology, 2018	llence and risked temporoma esian children	indibular and	3%
3	www.hi	ndawi.com			3%
4	and M. diagnos	ng, C. M., S. I. Ka Naeije. "Validity tic examination omandibular joi duction", Journal	of functional for nt disc displac	ement	2%
5		Paduano, Rosaria Roberta Silva Ar			1%

Rongo, Roberta Silva, Ambra Michelotti.

"Prevalence of temporomandibular disorders

and oral parafunctions in adolescents from public schools in Southern Italy", CRANIO®, 2018

Publication

6	www.qu		.com					1%
7	Barbosa, T.d.S "Temporomandibular disorders and bruxism in childhood and adolescence: Review of the literature", International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology, 200803 Publication					1%		
Exclude Exclude	quotes	On On		Ex	clude matches	S	< 15 words	