



JOURNAL OF DENTISTRY INDONESIA

UNIVERSITAS INDONESIA

★ P-ISSN : 16939697 < > E-ISSN : 23554800 ♦ Subject Area : Health

0
Impact Factor

2176
Google Citations

Sinta 2
Current Accreditation

Google Scholar Garuda Website Editor URL

History Accreditation

2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024

Garuda Google Scholar

Treatment of Oral Vascular Malformations with Ethamolin: A Report of Two Cases

UI Scholars Hub Journal of Dentistry Indonesia
2023 DOI: - Accred : Sinta 2

Ramsay Hunt Syndrome with Oral Findings: A Rare Case

UI Scholars Hub Journal of Dentistry Indonesia
2023 DOI: - Accred : Sinta 2

Treatment of Gingival Fenestration using Mucoperiosteal Flap with Connective Tissue Graft: A Report of Two Cases

UI Scholars Hub Journal of Dentistry Indonesia
2023 DOI: - Accred : Sinta 2

Do Nasal Anatomical Variations Affect the Maxillary Sinus? A CBCT Volumetric Analysis

UI Scholars Hub Journal of Dentistry Indonesia
2023 DOI: - Accred : Sinta 2


Assessment of DNA Damage of Oral Mucosa due to Ceramic Bracket Using Comet Assay and Mutagenicity of Orthodontic Bonding System Using Ames Test

UI Scholars Hub Journal of Dentistry Indonesia
2023 DOI: - Accred : Sinta 2

A Prospective-Randomized Study: The Impact of Four Different Caries Removal Method on Pain and Clinical Evaluations

UI Scholars Hub Journal of Dentistry Indonesia
2023 DOI: - Accred : Sinta 2

Citation Per Year By Google Scholar



Journal By Google Scholar

	All	Since 2019
Citation	2176	1397
h-index	20	16
i10-index	61	36

[Studentsâ€™ Experience, Self-Confidence, and Perception Toward Endodontic Learning: National Survey Among Malaysian Dental Schools](#)

[UI Scholars Hub](#)

[Journal of Dentistry Indonesia](#)

[2023](#)

[DOI: -](#)

[Accred : Sinta 2](#)

[Management of Tooth Hypersensitivity Under a Cantilever Fixed Partial Denture Using a Novel Low-Level Laser Therapy Protocol: A Case Report](#)

[UI Scholars Hub](#)

[Journal of Dentistry Indonesia](#)

[2023](#)

[DOI: -](#)

[Accred : Sinta 2](#)

[Class III Malocclusion Camouflage Treatment Using a Conventional Orthodontic Appliance in a Non-Growing Patient](#)

[UI Scholars Hub](#)

[Journal of Dentistry Indonesia](#)

[2023](#)

[DOI: -](#)

[Accred : Sinta 2](#)

[A Two-Year Retrospective Evaluation of Cone Beam Computed Tomography Indications in Pediatric, Adolescent and Adult Patients](#)

[UI Scholars Hub](#)

[Journal of Dentistry Indonesia](#)

[2023](#)

[DOI: -](#)



[Accred : Sinta 2](#)

[View more ...](#)

Get More with
SINTA Insight


[Go to Insight](#)

Citation Per Year By Google Scholar



Journal By Google Scholar

	All	Since 2019
Citation	2176	1397
h-index	20	16
i10-index	61	36



P-ISSN 1693-9697
E-ISSN 2355-4800
Volume 30
Number 3
December 2023

Journal of Dentistry Indonesia

The Official Publication of
The Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia
in Collaboration with
Indonesian Dental Association

Journal of Dentistry Indonesia

[Log In](#)

[Journal Home](#)

[About This Journal](#)

[Focus and Scope](#)

[Editorial Team](#)

[Editorial Policies](#)

[Publication Ethics](#)

[Author Guidelines](#)

[Reviewers](#)

[Indexing and Abstracting](#)

[View Readership](#)

[View Citations](#)

[Submit Article](#)

[Most Popular Papers](#)

[Receive Email Notices or RSS](#)

Select an issue:

[Home](#) > [Journals](#) > [Faculty of Dentistry](#) > [JDI](#)

Editorial Team

EDITOR IN CHIEF

[Yuniardini Septorini Wimardhani](#), (SCOPUS ID=56784363100) Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia, Indonesia

SECTION EDITORS

[Lisa Rinanda Amir](#), (SCOPUS ID = 14048047100) Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

[Bramma Kiswanjaya](#), (SCOPUS ID = 26664624100) Department of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia

[Erik Idrus](#), (SCOPUS ID = 51763635000) Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

[Sunarso](#), (SCOPUS ID = 55191249900) Department of Dental Materials Science, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia

EDITORIAL TEAM

[Anne-Marie Kuijpers-Jagtman](#), (SCOPUS ID = 35237602100) Department of Orthodontics, University Medical Center

Select an issue:

All Issues

Browse

Search

Enter search terms:

in this journal

Advanced Search

I S S N : 1 6 9 3 - 9 6 9 7

E - I S S N : 2 3 5 5 - 4 8 0 0

VISITORS

00833367

View Statistics



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 License.

EDITORIAL TEAM

Anne-Marie Kuijpers-Jagtman, (SCOPUS ID = 35237602100) Department of Orthodontics, University Medical Center Groningen, The Netherlands

Adrian Yap, (SCOPUS ID = 7103215953) National University of Singapore, Singapore

Anak Iamaroon, (SCOPUS ID = 6701786341) Faculty of Dentistry, Chiang Mai University, Thailand, Thailand

Benny Mulyono Soegiharto, (SCOPUS ID = 24478917200) Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Budi Kusnoto, (SCOPUS ID = 6603330742) Department of Orthodontics, College of Dentistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States

BSM Samadarani Siriwardena, (SCOPUS ID = 16246416800) Department of Oral Pathology, Faculty of Dental Sciences, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

Chun Hung Chu, (SCOPUS ID= 7404345729) The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Clive Wright, (SCOPUS ID = 55453620500) University of Sydney, Australia

Cortino Sukotjo, (SCOPUS ID = 6508194317) Department of Restorative Dentistry, College of Dentistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States

Diah Ayu Maharani, (SCOPUS ID = 32867911000) Department of Preventive and Public Health Dentistry Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Diana Cassi, (SCOPUS ID = 56062239400) Department of Orthodontics University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy

Hamid Nurrohman, Hamid Nurrohman, (SCOPUS ID = 52564067000) Department of Restorative and Prosthodontics, The University of Texas School of Dentistry, Houston, Texas, USA.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 License.

[Diah Ayu Maharani](#), (SCOPUS ID = 32867911000) Department of Preventive and Public Health Dentistry Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

[Diana Cassi](#), (SCOPUS ID = 56062239400) Department of Orthodontics University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy

[Hamid Nurrohman](#), Hamid Nurrohman, (SCOPUS ID = 52564067000) Department of Restorative and Prosthodontics, The University of Texas School of Dentistry, Houston, Texas, USA.

[Izzet Yavuz](#), (SCOPUS ID = 35967243400) Faculty of Dentistry, University of Dicle, Turkey, Turkey

[Kobkan Thongprasom](#), (SCOPUS ID = 6601959811) Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand, Thailand

[Koichi Kawabuchi](#), (SCOPUS ID = 7003523337) Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Japan

[Marco Meleti](#), (SCOPUS ID = 55926088200) Dental School at the University of Parma, Italy

[Masita Mandasari](#), (SCOPUS ID= 57008957900) Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia

[Nieka Adhara Wahono](#), (SCOPUS ID= 57204112724) Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia

[Nina Ariani](#), (SCOPUS ID = 55301181500) Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia

[Pham Anh Vu Thuy](#), (SCOPUS ID = 51562199400) University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Viet Nam



Log In

Journal Home

About This Journal

Focus and Scope

Editorial Team

Editorial Policies

Publication Ethics

Author Guidelines

Reviewers

Indexing and Abstracting

View Readership

View Citations

Submit Article

Most Popular Papers

Receive Email Notices or RSS

Select an issue:

All Issues

Browse

Home > Journals > Faculty of Dentistry > JDI

Current Issue: Volume 30, Number 3 (2023)

Articles



PDF

Surface Roughness of Restorative Materials After Simulated Toothbrushing with Toothpastes Containing Theobromine and Arginine: An In Vitro Study

Fikri Öcal, Burak Dayı, and Zeynep Küçükakçalı

<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1438>



PDF

Comparison of EDTA and Boric Acid Irrigation in Terms of Root Canal Dentin Microhardness and Sealer Penetration Depth

Selen İnce Yusufoglu, Esma Sarıcam, Neslihan Bulak, Mustafa Güngörmüş, Fatih Tulumbacı, and Suat Özcan

<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1472>



PDF

Comparison and Assessment of Design, Handling Characteristics, and Efficacy of Hand Instruments in Calculus Removal

Mohan Kumar Pasupuleti, Krishna Ganesh, Gautami S Penmetsa, Boddeda Anusha, Haripriya Narukurthi, and Kommina Mouna Sahith

Follow

P-ISSN 1993-9607
E-ISSN 2556-4803
Volume 30
Number 3
December 2023

Journal of Dentistry Indonesia

The Official Publication of
The Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia
in Collaboration with
Indonesian Dental Association

This issue (JDI Volume 30 Issue 3 Year 2023) has been finalized and launched

Select an issue:

All Issues

Browse

Search

Enter search terms:

Search

in this journal

Advanced Search

ISSN: 1693-9697

E-ISSN: 2355-4800

VISITORS

00833368

View Statistics



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 License.



Comparison and Assessment of Design, Handling Characteristics, and Efficacy of Hand Instruments in Calculus Removal
Mohan Kumar Pasupuleti, Krishna Ganesh, Gautami S Penmetsa, Boddeda Anusha, Haripriya Narukurthi, and Kommina Mouna Sahith
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1514>



Effect of Triple Antibiotic Paste, Double Antibiotic Paste, and Calcium Hydroxide on Antibiotic Resistance of Tet Repressor Proteins, Tetracycline Resistance Gene W, and Tetracycline Resistance Gene Q: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial
Ertuğrul Karataş, Özgen Kırmızıbekmez, Mustafa Özkan Baltacı, and Ahmet Adıgüzel
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1516>



Evaluation of Trabecular Bone Around the Impacted Maxillary Canine on CBCT Images by Fractal Analysis
Zeynep Betül Arslan and Berrin Çelik
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1524>



Evaluation of Morphologic and Morphometric Characteristics of the Mental Foramen and Anterior Loop in a Turkish Subpopulation Using Cone Beam Computed Tomography
Fatma Büşra Doğan and Faruk Akgünlü
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1531>



Integration of Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) in Conventional Teaching and Learning for Fixed Prosthodontics - A Case Study
Nor Faharina Abdul Hamid, Rohana Ahmad, Tong Wah Lim, Nur Hafizah Kamar Affendi, Tengku Fazrina Tengku Mohd Ariff, Melati Mahmud, and Siti Mariam Ab Ghani
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1538>




Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta
Joko Kusnoto, Lia H. Andayani, and Budi Kusnoto
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1590>


This issue (JDI Volume 30 Issue 3 Year 2023) has been finalized and launched on 26th December 2023. All articles in this issue (11 original research articles and 4 case reports articles) include 63 Authors from 8 countries of origin (Australia, Brazil, Hong Kong SAR, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Türkiye, and United States of America).

-  **PDF** Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta
Joko Kusnoto, Lia H. Andayani, and Budi Kusnoto
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1590>
-  **PDF** Prevalence and Clinical Characteristics of Temporomandibular Disorders in Adults: An Epidemiological Study in the Mediterranean Region of Turkiye
Esra Yavuz, Selmi Yardimci, and Humeyra Tercanli
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1579>
-  **PDF** The Effect of Several Electric Cigarette Puffs on Nanohybrid Composite Resin Surface Roughness
Alvi Rizqi Amaliyah, Haris Budi Widodo, and Irfan Dwiandhono
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1508>
-  **PDF** Elastic Recovery Properties of Alginate Impression Materials Based on Red Algae *Kappaphycus alvarezii*
Didin Erma Indahyani, Izzata Barid, Nafisah Nafisah, Depi Praharani, Agus Sumono, Niken Probosari, and Sulistiyani Sulistiyani
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1557>


Case Reports


-  **PDF** Clinically Effective Regenerative Therapy for Primary Endodontic Lesions with Secondary Periodontal Lesions: A Case Report
Martina Amalia, Budi Budi, Iceu Estu Kurmaena, Nevi Yanti, Hesty Nurcahyanti, and Aini Hariyani Nasution
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1485>
-  **PDF** Management of Ankyloglossia in a Non-Syndromic Patient Using a Super Pulsed Laser: A Life-Changing Treatment- A Case Report
Urfi Jawed, Afaf Zia, Ramniwas Kumawat, Afshan Bey, and Maahin Mahmood
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1492>


 PDF The Effect of Several Electric Cigarette Puffs on Nanohybrid Composite Resin Surface Roughness
Alvi Rizqi Amaliyah, Haris Budi Widodo, and Irfan Dwiandhono
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1508>


 PDF Elastic Recovery Properties of Alginate Impression Materials Based on Red Algae *Kappaphycus alvarezii*
Didin Erma Indahyani, Izzata Barid, Nafisah Nafisah, Depi Praharani, Agus Sumono, Niken Probosari, and Sulistiyani Sulistiyani
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1557>

Case Reports

 PDF Clinically Effective Regenerative Therapy for Primary Endodontic Lesions with Secondary Periodontal Lesions: A Case Report
Martina Amalia, Budi Budi, Iceu Estu Kurmaena, Nevi Yanti, Hesty Nurcahyanti, and Aini Hariyani Nasution
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1485>

 PDF Management of Ankyloglossia in a Non-Syndromic Patient Using a Super Pulsed Laser: A Life-Changing Treatment- A Case Report
Urfi Jawed, Afaf Zia, Ramniwas Kumawat, Afshan Bey, and Maahin Mahmood
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1492>

 PDF Case Report and X-ray Microtomography and Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis of Teeth with Hypocalcified Amelogenesis Imperfecta
Rodrigo W. Marinoni, Thiago G. Silva, Leonardo S. Benato, Anelize M B Rumbelsperger, and Antonio Adilson Soares de Lima
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1438>

 PDF Enigmatic Cervicofacial Actinomyces Post Radiotherapy - A Case Report
Amanda Phoon Nguyen
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1589>

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta

Joko Kusnoto^{1*}, Lia Hapsari Andayani², Budi Kusnoto³

¹*Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia*

²*Department of Dental Public Health and Preventive Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia*

³*Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Illinois, Chicago, USA*

**Correspondence e-mail to: joko.k@trisakti.ac.id*

ABSTRACT

Malocclusion's negative impact is not only limited to dentofacial appearance but also related to quality of life. Nowadays, orthodontic treatment can be done using conventional fixed appliances, or removable appliances called clear aligners. The preference for orthodontic appliances can be affected by several factors, including patient characteristics, self-perception, psychosocial, and oral-disorder factors. **Objective:** This study aims to evaluate the factors affecting the preference for orthodontic appliances among undergraduate students in West Jakarta. **Methods:** In this cross-sectional study, 244 undergraduate students were retrieved by purposive sampling from 7 universities located in West Jakarta. A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect data, and logistic regression analysis was carried out. **Results:** Fixed orthodontic appliances were commonly used (79.5%), and the majority of treatment providers were orthodontists (64.8%). Students' belief that poor dental alignment is related to decreased social attractiveness was significantly associated with the preference for orthodontic appliances ($p = 0.026$; 95% CI = 1.170-11.317). Orthodontic treatment provider was significantly associated with a preference for orthodontic appliances ($p = 0.000$; 95% CI = 0.678-3.156). **Conclusion:** Fixed orthodontic appliances still become the main preference for malocclusion treatment among undergraduate students in West Jakarta. Besides that, the qualification of orthodontic treatment providers affects the preference for orthodontic appliances among undergraduate students in West Jakarta.

Key words: clear aligners, fixed orthodontic appliances, malocclusion, orthodontic treatment, preference

How to cite this article: Kusnoto J, Andayani LH, Kusnoto B. Factors affecting the preference of orthodontic appliances among undergraduate students in West Jakarta. *J Dent Indones.* 2023;30(3): 212-218

INTRODUCTION

Malocclusion is considered as the misalignment of teeth and/or jaws that results from disorders in the dynamic process of craniofacial growth and development. This condition may cause psychosocial problems due to impaired dentofacial aesthetics such as difficulties of social interaction or disturbances in oral functions, such as mastication, swallowing and speech.¹ Untreated malocclusions are connected to an increased negative impact on the health profile and psychosocial aspects, while good dental appearance can lead to a better social function.² Malocclusion can be treated using orthodontic appliances, that is best carried out by an orthodontist in order to provide appropriate treatment.³

The upsurge in orthodontic treatment demand continuously rising based on awareness to improve

dentofacial appearance and quality of life. Currently, orthodontic appliances are known to be divided into conventional fixed appliances (braces) or types of removable appliances commonly known as clear aligners.³ Clear aligners was firstly introduced in 1997 (Invisalign, Align Technology, Santa Clara, CA) and have been evolving in material, design and software simulation.⁴ Clear aligners provide more esthetic and comfortable treatment experience, simplify oral hygiene treatment, causing less pain as well as emergency visits as compared to fixed appliances. Despite the benefits and increased number of cases treated, it is improbable for clear aligners to treat all types of malocclusions. Clear aligners may be appropriate for mild to moderate crowding cases, but vigilance still needed in more complex cases.⁵ Clear aligners is associated with shorter treatment, better periodontal health status, and lower risk of

root resorption, yet there were still indistinct results regarding pain.⁶

Orthodontic treatment uptake might be influenced by many predictors such as gender, socioeconomic class, type of financial responsibility, self-perception, and dissatisfaction with dental appearance.⁷ Family income, cause of the problem, and self-esteem were also important attributes influencing patient decision to have orthodontic treatment.⁸ Although many patients take personal appearance into consideration, preference of orthodontic appliance is also determined by financial issues.⁹ Previous studies found that there are statistically significant differences in regard to pain, psychological discomfort, psychological disability, social ability and oral health quality of life between different groups of orthodontic treatment.^{9,10} Less psychological discomfort, functional limitation, and physical disability were found in clear aligners users, though income level may impact pain perception and quality of life in populations with different socioeconomic status.⁹

Preference for orthodontic appliances can also be associated with certain outcomes, including sleeping, absences for work or school, difficulties in daily psychosocial improvement, social performance, and concentration during work or studies.¹¹ Preference factors such as attractiveness, acceptability, or monetary value may also vary across different populations.¹² Although previous studies have examined the efficacy and efficiency of treatment using fixed appliances compared to clear aligners, yet there are still lack of findings regarding the characteristic or self-perception of user. This study aimed to evaluate the characteristic, self-perception, psychosocial and oral disorder factors affecting preference of orthodontic appliance among undergraduate students in West Jakarta.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted in October until December 2022. The seven main universities in West Jakarta based on the number of students body were included in the study population. In accordance with the minimum sample size calculation, the study sample consists of 244 undergraduate students receiving clear aligners or fixed orthodontic appliance, taken by purposive sampling. Following their informed consent, participants were asked to complete a validated and modified version of a previously published questionnaire¹³ by completing the Google Forms (Google LLC, Mountain View, CA). Self-administered questionnaire that was sent through social media (WhatsApp or Instagram direct messenger) required participants to send photo of their

orthodontic appliances. If there are any questions that the participant is unable to understand, the investigator is on hand to assist them throughout the process.

The questionnaire was organized into 4 sections representing characteristic of students, self-perception, psychosocial, and oral disorder factors. Characteristic of students consist of age, gender, study major, parents' educational level, parents' monthly income, provider of orthodontic appliances, first person who noticed the teeth misalignment, and suggested orthodontic treatment. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Trisakti with the ethical clearance No.589/S1/KEPK/FKG/8/2022.

Data analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package of the Social Sciences 24.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics was obtained for all measured variables. Logistic regression was carried out to determine the preference of orthodontic appliance based on student' characteristic, self-perception, psychosocial, and oral disorder factors. A level of significance ($p < 0.05$; 95% CI) was used for the statistical test.

RESULTS

The distribution of students' characteristics was presented in Table 1. The majority of the students were female (71.7%), aged older than 20 years (65.2%), and undergoing study in non-medical faculty (63.5%). Based on parental educational level, 69.7% of the fathers and 68.4% of the mothers had completed at least a diploma degree or higher. Based on parental monthly income, 86.1% of the fathers and 47.5% of the mothers had monthly income higher than IDR 3,500,000. Most of the students used fixed orthodontic appliances (79.5%) and selected orthodontists as the providers of orthodontic treatment (64.8%). As much as 43% of students realized that they had teeth misalignment prior to treatment, while orthodontic treatment was mostly suggested by family, friends, or dentists (77.9%).

Logistic regression between self-perception factors and preference for orthodontic appliances was presented in Table 2. Student' awareness regarding their poor dental arrangement ($p = 0.222$; 95% CI = 0.582-10.315), their dissatisfaction of dental arrangement ($p = 0.227$; 95% CI = 0.743-3.495), the expectation that their appearance will improve after orthodontic treatment ($p = 0.646$; 95% CI = 0.182-15.581), as well as their self-confidence ($p = 0.805$; 95% CI = 0.436-2.917), were not significantly associated with their preference of orthodontic appliance.

Table 1. Characteristic of undergraduate students using orthodontic appliance in West Jakarta

Variables	n	%
Gender		
Female	175	71.7
Male	69	28.3
Age		
≤ 20 years	85	34.8
>20 years	159	65.2
Faculty		
Medical	89	36.5
Non-Medical	155	63.5
Father's education level		
Low - Intermediate	74	30.3
High	170	69.7
Mother's education level		
Low - Intermediate	77	31.6
High	167	68.4
Father's monthly income		
≤IDR 3,500,000	34	13.9
>IDR 3,500,000	210	86.1
Mother's monthly income		
≤IDR 3,500,000	128	52.5
>IDR 3,500,000	116	47.5
Provider of orthodontic appliances		
General Dentist	86	35.2
Orthodontist	158	64.8
First person who noticed teeth misalignment		
Myself	105	43.0
Others (family/friends/dentists)	139	57.0
First person who suggested orthodontic treatment		
Myself	54	22.1
Others (family/friends/dentists)	190	77.9
Type of orthodontic appliance used		
Fixed Orthodontic	194	79.5
Clear Aligners	50	20.5

Table 2. Association between self-perception factors and preference of orthodontic appliance among undergraduate students in West Jakarta

Questions	Fixed Orthodontic	Clear Aligners	p	Exp (B)	95% CI
Do you realize having poor dental arrangement?					
No	23 (11.9%)	3 (6%)	0.222	2.449	0.582-10.315
Yes	171 (88.1%)	47 (94%)			
Are you satisfied with your dental arrangement?					
No	146 (75.3%)	36 (72%)	0.227	1.1611	0.743-3.495
Yes	48 (24.7%)	14 (28%)			
Do you think your dental and facial appearance will improve after orthodontic treatment?					
No	9 (4.6%)	1 (2%)	0.646	1.684	0.182-15.581
Yes	185 (95.4%)	49 (98%)			
Do you think your self-confidence will improve after undergoing orthodontic treatment?					
No	33 (17%)	7 (14%)	0.805	1.127	0.436-2.917
Yes	161 (83%)	43 (86%)			
Total	194 (100%)	50 (100%)			

*p-value from logistic regression (<0.05)

Table 3. Association between psychosocial factors and preference of orthodontic appliance among undergraduate students in West Jakarta

Questions	Fixed Orthodontic	Clear Aligners	p	Exp (B)	95% CI
Are you sure that you will get good career opportunities after orthodontic treatment?					
No	96 (49.5%)	20 (40%)	0.318	1.414	0.717-2.791
Yes	98 (50.5%)	30 (60%)			
Do you expect good social interaction after orthodontic treatment?					
No	59 (30.4%)	15 (30%)	0.256	0.643	0.300-1.378
Yes	135 (69.6%)	35 (70%)			
Do you think poor dental alignment is related to decreased social attractiveness?					
No	43 (22.2%)	4 (8%)	0.026*	3.638	1.170-11.317
Yes	151 (77.8%)	46 (92%)			
Total	194 (100%)	50 (100%)			

Table 4. Association between oral disorder factors and preference of orthodontic appliance among undergraduate students in West Jakarta

Questions	Fixed Orthodontic	Clear Aligners	p	Exp (B)	95% CI
Do you have any problem while speaking?					
No	144 (74.2%)	35 (70%)	0.211	1.626	0.759-3.484
Yes	50 (25.8%)	15 (30%)			
Do you sense any pain/clicking sound around your ears (at the TMJ joint)?					
No	110 (56.7%)	34 (68%)	0.068	0.504	0.241-1.052
Yes	84 (43.3%)	16 (32%)			
Do you think your dental health will improve after orthodontic treatment?					
No	7 (3.6%)	3 (6%)	0.475	0.592	0.140-2.499
Yes	187 (96.4%)	47 (94%)			
Do you think your biting and chewing will improve after orthodontic treatment?					
No	39 (20.1%)	7 (14%)	0.230	1.727	0.708-4.216
Yes	155 (79.9%)	43 (86%)			
Total	194 (100%)	50 (100%)			

*p-value from logistic regression (<0.05)

Logistic regression between psychosocial factors and preference for orthodontic appliances was presented in Table 3. There was a significant association between awareness that poor dental alignment is related to decreased social attractiveness and preference for orthodontic appliances ($p = 0.026$). Students think that poor dental alignment is related to decreased social attractiveness and had higher number in the fixed orthodontic appliance group (3.638; 95% CI = 1.170-11.317).

Logistic regression between oral disorder factors and preference for orthodontic appliance was presented in Table 4. There was no significant association between any problem while speaking ($p = 0.211$; 95% CI = 0.759-3.484) or sense of pain/clicking sound around

the temporomandibular joint ($p = 0.068$; 95% CI = 0.241-1.052), with the preference of orthodontic appliance. Student' beliefs regarding their dental health improvement ($p = 0.475$; 95% CI = 0.140-2.499), as well as their biting and chewing improvement ($p = 0.230$; 95% CI = 0.708-4.216), were also not significantly associated with preference of orthodontic appliance.

Multivariate analysis between student' characteristics and preference of orthodontic appliance was presented in Table 5. Logistic regression analysis revealed that most of characteristic variables were not significant in predicting preference of orthodontic appliances. The only significant predictor for preference of orthodontic appliances was provider of orthodontic appliances ($p = 0.000$; 95% CI = 0.678-3.156).

Table 5. Association between personal characteristics and preference of orthodontic appliance among undergraduate students in West Jakarta

Variables	Fixed Orthodontic	Clear Aligners	Total (100%)	p	Exp (B)	95% CI
Gender						
Female	145 (82.9)	30 (17.1)	175		1	
Male	49 (71.0)	20 (29.0)	69	0.092	1.974	0.895-4.353
Age						
≤ 20 years	68 (80.0)	17 (20.0)	85		1	
>20 years	126 (79.2)	33 (20.8)	159	0.729	0.874	0.409-1.867
Faculty						
Medical	76 (85.4)	13 (14.6)	89		1	
Non-Medical	118 (76.1)	37 (23.9)	155	0.332	1.463	0.678-3.156
Father's education level						
Low - Intermediate	56 (75.7)	18 (24.3)	74		1	
High	138 (81.2)	32 (18.8)	170	0.101	0.357	0.104-1.224
Mother's education level						
Low - Intermediate	60 (77.9)	17 (22.1)	77		1	
High	134 (80.2)	33 (19.8)	167	0.827	0.871	0.254-2.988
Father's monthly income						
≤IDR 3,500,000	31 (91.2)	3 (8.8)	34		1	
>IDR 3,500,000	163 (77.6)	47 (22.4)	210	0.308	2.094	0.506-8.670
Mother's monthly income						
≤IDR 3,500,000	106 (82.8)	22 (17.2)	128		1	
>IDR 3,500,000	88 (75.9)	28 (24.1)	116	0.419	1.362	0.644-2.881
Provider of orthodontic appliances						
General Dentist	82 (95.3)	4 (4.7)	86		1	
Orthodontist	112 (70.9)	46 (29.1)	158	0.000*	11.574	0.678-3.156
First person who noticed teeth misalignment						
Myself	79 (75.2)	26 (24.8)	105		1	
Others (family/friends/dentists)	115 (82.7)	24 (17.3)	139	0.252	0.625	0.280-1.397
First person who suggested orthodontic treatment						
Myself	40 (74.1)	14 (25.9)	54		1	
Others (family/friends/dentists)	154 (81.1)	36 (18.9)	190	0.173	0.510	0.193-1.343

*p-value from logistic regression (<0.05)

DISCUSSION

According to this study, the majority of undergraduate students undergoing orthodontic treatment were female, aged older than 20 years, and undergoing study in non-medical faculty. Based on parental educational level, majority had completed at least diploma degree or higher. Based on parental monthly income, majority of the fathers had high monthly income but on the contrary majority of the mothers had low monthly income. Most of students using fixed orthodontic appliance and selected orthodontist as

the provider of orthodontic treatment. Several studies showed that women have a significantly higher rate of interest in orthodontic treatment,^{7,14} and were incline to choose clear aligners as orthodontic treatment.^{15,16} Decision for orthodontic treatment is generally based on esthetic improvement, other than physical and oral health well-being. The interest and consideration in women due to orthodontic treatment may be caused by higher aesthetic standards and self-perception.^{2,15} Since family income was suspected as the main influencing factor in preference of orthodontic appliance, special attention was given to that variable by classifying the family income according to Indonesian Central Agency

on Statistics classification on Indonesian population monthly income¹⁷ and also dividing parents' income to the single income or double income.

Student awareness regarding their self-perception factors, the psychosocial aspects, and oral disorder factors were not associated with preference of orthodontic appliance. That finding is consistent with earlier research that found there is currently not enough evidence to identify a decisive element in relation to patient's preference for one orthodontic appliance over another.¹¹

Multivariate analysis showed that there was no significant association between age, study major, parents' educational level, and parents' monthly income, with preference of orthodontic appliance. This finding is in contrast with previous study that stated family income, cause of the problem, age and self-esteem were found to be the most important attributes influencing patient decision in orthodontic treatment.⁸

Based on the orthodontic treatment, most of students were using fixed orthodontic appliance. It is known that clear aligner is not effective in controlling several cases such as anterior extrusion movement or rotations. Clear aligners also require the use of other auxiliaries such as attachments, inter-arch elastics, or altered aligner geometries to improve the predictability of orthodontic movement.¹⁸ All these considerations might affect students' decision in determining treatment options. Though a prediction of interest in European Union found that Invisalign was chosen to represent all orthodontic aligners as the most searched term in Google Trends, there might be different results in developing countries.¹⁹ Majority of orthodontists currently use clear aligners in their practice stated that it was not because they believe clear aligners are more effective or more comfortable than braces or because they are more profitable, but rather to have prestige in the community and not to lag behind in technology. Therefore, it seems that fixed appliance treatment will maintain its place in orthodontic practice as an option for the near future.²⁰

This study found a significant association between provider of orthodontic treatment with preference of orthodontic appliance. Orthodontist had become the most choices among undergraduate students in West Jakarta, and higher number of them underwent fixed orthodontic appliance. Patients with the highest level of interest in pursuing orthodontic care tend to prefer orthodontists, primarily because of treatment quality.²¹ Orthodontists were seen as being better at identifying and managing complications as well as delivering reliable results. Patients with higher educational level and incomes preferred treatment by orthodontists although they knew that they would be charged up. Preference for care by orthodontists was based on functional reasons and anticipating complications.²²

This study showed that the undergraduate students in West Jakarta self-awareness regarding malocclusion and the needs to seek orthodontic treatment is still rather low. That finding suggest that orthodontists in West Jakarta need to be more proactive in public campaign regarding awareness about malocclusion and orthodontic treatment. However, when they seek orthodontic treatment, they already have a good understanding to have the treatment done by orthodontic specialists.

The main limitation of this study was the small sample size and only taken from several universities in West Jakarta, which might be insufficient to represent the general population of undergraduate students. Further research with larger and more representative samples to evaluate the preference of orthodontic appliance is required. Other variables such as ethnicity, daily oral hygiene practice, treatment expenses, and dental health insurance, need to be investigated.

CONCLUSION

The findings in this study indicate that fixed orthodontic appliance still become the main preference for malocclusion treatment among undergraduate students in West Jakarta. Besides that, qualification of orthodontic treatment provider affecting the preference of orthodontic appliances among undergraduate students in West Jakarta.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Peres MA, Antunes JLF, Watt RG. Oral epidemiology: A textbook on oral health conditions, research topics and methods. Switzerland: Springer Nature Switzerland AG; 2021.
2. Saccomanno S, Saran S, Laganà D, Mastrapasqua RF, Grippaudo C. Motivation, perception, and behavior of the adult orthodontic patient: A survey analysis. *Biomed Res Int.* 2022; 2022:2754051.
3. Yovanka V, Kusnoto J, Andayani LH. Characteristic of orthodontic appliance users based on demographics, self-self-perception factors, psychosocial, and oral disorders (Study among undergraduate students in West Jakarta). *J Indones Dent Association.* 2023; 6(1):9-14.
4. Borda AF, Garfinkle JS, Covell DA, Wang M, Doyle L, Sedgley CM. Outcome assessment of orthodontic clear aligner vs fixed appliance treatment in a teenage population with mild

- malocclusions. *Angle Orthod.* 2020; 90(4):485-90.
5. Tamer İ, Öztaş E, Marşan G. Orthodontic treatment with clear aligners and the scientific reality behind their marketing: A literature review. *Turk J Orthod.* 2019; 32(4):241-6.
6. Yassir YA, Nabbat SA, McIntyre GT, Bearn DR. Clinical effectiveness of clear aligner treatment compared to fixed appliance treatment: An overview of systematic reviews. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2022; 26(3):2353-70.
7. Badran SA, Al-Khateeb S. Factors influencing the uptake of orthodontic treatment. *J Public Health Dent.* 2013; 73(4):339-44.
8. Fleming PS, Colonio-Salazar F, Waylen A, Sherriff M, Burden D, O'Neill C, Ness A, Sandy J, Ireland T. Factors affecting willingness to pay for NHS-based orthodontic treatment. *Br Dent J.* 2022. Online ahead of print.
9. Gao M, Yan X, Zhao R, Shan Y, Chen Y, Jian F, Long H, Lai W. Comparison of pain perception, anxiety, and impacts on oral health-related quality of life between patients receiving clear aligners and fixed appliances during the initial stage of orthodontic treatment. *Eur J Orthod.* 2021; 43(3):353-9.
10. Antonio-Zancajo L, Montero J, Albaladejo A, Oteo-Calatayud MD, Alvarado-Lorenzo A. Pain and oral-health-related quality of life in orthodontic patients during initial therapy with conventional, low-friction, and lingual brackets and aligners (Invisalign): A prospective clinical study. *J Clin Med.* 2020; 9(7):2088.
11. Ben Gassem AA. Does clear aligner treatment result in different patient perceptions of treatment process and outcomes compared to conventional/traditional fixed appliance treatment: A literature review. *Eur J Dent.* 2022; 16(2):274-85.
12. Alansari RA, Faydhi DA, Ashour BS, Alsaggaf DH, Shuman MT, Ghoneim SH, Linjawi AI, Marghalani HY, Dause RR. Adult perceptions of different orthodontic appliances. *Patient Prefer Adherence.* 2019; 13:2119-28.
13. Laakshmi GM. Reasons for seeking orthodontic treatment among south Indian population - A questionnaire study. *J Pharm Sci Res.* 2016; 8(7):692-5.
14. Shetty SK, Kumara V, Panicker VG. Self-perception of malocclusion and perception towards orthodontic treatment according to age in Indian population: a questionnaire study. *Int J Innov Sci Res Technol.* 2022; 7(11):2046-50.
15. Basanagouda CP, Chaitra C. Self-perception of dental appearance and apprehension towards orthodontic treatment and knowledge about clear aligners among undergraduates and interns-a questionnaire study. *Sch J Dent Sci.* 2023; 10(6):113-20.
16. d'Apuzzo F, Perillo L, Carrico CK, Castroflorio T, Grassia V, Lindauer SJ, Shroff B. Clear aligner treatment: Different perspectives between orthodontists and general dentists. *Prog Orthod.* 2019; 20(1):10.
17. Rakasiwi LS, Kautsar A. Pengaruh faktor demografi dan sosial ekonomi terhadap status kesehatan individu di Indonesia. *Kajian Ekonomi dan Keuangan.* 2021; 5(2):146-57.
18. Rossini G, Parrini S, Castroflorio T, Deregibus A, Debernardi CL. Efficacy of clear aligners in controlling orthodontic tooth movement: A systematic review. *Angle Orthod.* 2015; 85(5):881-9.
19. Sycińska-Dziarnowska M, Szyszka-Sommerfeld L, Woźniak K, Lindauer SJ, Spagnuolo G. Predicting interest in orthodontic aligners: A google trends data analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022; 19(5):3105.
20. Ozkan TH, Dursun D. An investigation of the opinions and preferences of orthodontists in Turkey regarding the use of clear aligners in orthodontic treatment. *Anatol Clin J Med Sci.* 2023; 28(1):72-80.
21. Olson JC, Shroff B, Carrico C, Boyle J, Lindauer SJ. Comparison of patient factors influencing the selection of an orthodontist, general dentist, or direct-to-consumer aligners. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2020; 157(4):526-32.e2.
22. Chambers DW, Zitterkopf JG. How people make decisions about whether or not to seek orthodontic care: Upstream in the treatment chain. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2019; 155(6):826-31.

(Received September 14, 2023; Accepted November 5, 2023)

Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta

by Joko Kusnoto


Submission date: 03-Jan-2024 10:27AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2266300612

File name: Paper_Joko_Kusnoto_-_13_SINTA_2_-_TAMBAHAN_31_DESEMBER_2023.pdf (1.34M)

Word count: 3993

Character count: 22448



P-ISSN 1693-9697
E-ISSN 2355-4800
Volume 30
Number 3
December 2023

Journal of Dentistry Indonesia

The Official Publication of
The Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia
in Collaboration with
Indonesian Dental Association

Journal of Dentistry Indonesia

[Log In](#)

[Journal Home](#)

[About This Journal](#)

[Focus and Scope](#)

[Editorial Team](#)

[Editorial Policies](#)

[Publication Ethics](#)

[Author Guidelines](#)

[Reviewers](#)

[Indexing and Abstracting](#)

[View Readership](#)

[View Citations](#)

[Submit Article](#)

[Most Popular Papers](#)

[Receive Email Notices or RSS](#)

[Select an Issue:](#)

[Home](#) > [Journals](#) > [Faculty of Dentistry](#) > [JDI](#)

Editorial Team

EDITOR IN CHIEF

[Yuniardini Setlorini Wismardhani](#), (SCOPUS ID=56784363100) Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia, Indonesia

SECTION EDITORS

[Lisa Rinanda Amir](#), (SCOPUS ID = 14045047100) Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

[Bramma Kiswaniyana](#), (SCOPUS ID = 26664624100) Department of Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia

[Erik Idrus](#), (SCOPUS ID = 51763635000) Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

[Sutarso](#), (SCOPUS ID = 55191249900) Department of Dental Materials Science, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia

EDITORIAL TEAM

[Anne-Marie Kulipers-Jagtman](#), (SCOPUS ID = 35237602100) Department of Orthodontics, University Medical Center

Select an issue:

All Issues



Browse

Search

Enter search terms:

Search

In this journal



Search

Advanced Search

ISSN: 1693-9697

E-ISSN: 2355-4800

VISITORS

88833367

View Statistics



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0

License

EDITORIAL TEAM

Anne-Marie Kuijpers-Jagtman, (SCOPUS ID = 35237602100) Department of Orthodontics, University Medical Center Groningen, The Netherlands

Adrian Yap, (SCOPUS ID = 7103215953) National University of Singapore, Singapore

Anak Jamaroon, (SCOPUS ID = 6701785341) Faculty of Dentistry, Chiang Mai University, Thailand, Thailand

Benny Muhyono Soegiharto, (SCOPUS ID = 24478917200) Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Budi Kusnoto, (SCOPUS ID = 6603330742) Department of Orthodontics, College of Dentistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States

BSM Samadani Sthwardena, (SCOPUS ID = 16246416800) Department of Oral Pathology, Faculty of Dental Sciences, University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

Chan Hung Chu, (SCOPUS ID= 7404345729) The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Clive Wright, (SCOPUS ID = 55453620500) University of Sydney, Australia

Cortino Sukotjo, (SCOPUS ID = 6508194317) Department of Restorative Dentistry, College of Dentistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States

Dian Ayu Maharani, (SCOPUS ID = 32867911000) Department of Preventive and Public Health Dentistry Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

Diana Cassi, (SCOPUS ID = 56062239400) Department of Orthodontics University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy

Hamid Nurrohmah, Hamid Nurrohmah, (SCOPUS ID = 52564067000) Department of Restorative and Prosthodontics, The University of Texas School of Dentistry, Houston, Texas, USA,



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0

License



Digital Commons

[Diah Ayu Maharani](#), (SCOPUS ID = 32867911000) Department of Preventive and Public Health Dentistry Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Indonesia, Indonesia

[Diana Cassi](#), (SCOPUS ID = 56062239400) Department of Orthodontics University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy

[Hamid Nurrohmah](#), [Hamid Nurrohmah](#), (SCOPUS ID = 52564057000) Department of Restorative and Prosthodontics, The University of Texas School of Dentistry, Houston, Texas, USA.

[Izzet Yavuz](#), (SCOPUS ID = 35967243400) Faculty of Dentistry, University of Dicle, Turkey, Turkey

[Kobkan Thongprasom](#), (SCOPUS ID = 6601958811) Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand, Thailand

[Koichi Kawabuchi](#), (SCOPUS ID = 7003523337) Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Japan

[Marco Meletti](#), (SCOPUS ID = 55926088200) Dental School at the University of Parma, Italy

[Masila Mandasari](#), (SCOPUS ID= 57008957900) Department of Oral Medicine, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia

[Nieska Adhara Wahono](#), (SCOPUS ID= 57204112724) Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia

[Nina Ariani](#), (SCOPUS ID = 55301181500) Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia

[Pham Anh Vu Thuy](#), (SCOPUS ID = 51562199400) University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Viet Nam

[Home](#) | [About](#) | [FAQ](#) | [My Account](#) | [Accessibility Statement](#)

[Privacy](#) | [Copyright](#)

Journal of Dentistry Indonesia

Log In

Journal Home

About This Journal

Focus and Scope

Editorial Team

Editorial Policies

Publication Ethics

Author Guidelines

Reviewers

Indexing and Abstracting

View Readership

View Citations

Submit Article

Most Popular Papers

Receive Email Notices or RSS

Select an issue:

All Issues



Browse

Home > Journals > Faculty of Dentistry > JDI

Current Issue: Volume 30, Number 3 (2023)

Articles



Surface Roughness of Restorative Materials After Simulated Toothbrushing with Toothpastes Containing Theobromine and Arginine: An In Vitro Study
Fikri Ocal, Burak Dayi, and Zeynep Küçükakçali
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1438>



Comparison of EDTA and Boric Acid Irrigation in Terms of Root Canal Dentin Microhardness and Sealer Penetration Depth
Selen Ince Yusufoglu, Esma Sarıcam, Neslihan Bulak, Mustafa Güngörmüş, Fatih Tulumbaç, and Suat Özcan
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1472>



Comparison and Assessment of Design, Handling Characteristics, and Efficacy of Hand Instruments in Calculus Removal
Mohan Kumar Pasupuleti, Krishna Ganesh, Gaurami S Perinetti, Boddada Anusha, Haripriya Narukurti, and Kommina Mouna Sahithi

Follow

C 0004-1003 (2023)
E 0004-1003 (2023)
I 0004-1003 (2023)
S 0004-1003 (2023)



Journal of
Dentistry
Indonesia

The Official Publication of
The Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia
Indonesian Dental Association

This issue (JDI Volume 30 Issue 3 Year 2023) has been finalized and launched

Select an issue:

All Issues ▼ Browse

Search

Enter search terms:

Search

in this journal ▼

Advanced Search

I S S N : 1 6 9 3 - 9 6 9 7

E - I S S N : 2 3 5 5 - 4 8 0 0

VISITORS

00033568

View Statistics



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0

License.



Comparison and Assessment of Design, Handling Characteristics, and Efficacy of Hand Instruments in Calculus Removal
Mohan Kumar Pasupuleti, Krishna Ganesh, Gautami S Permatia, Boddada Anusua, Hanjirya Narukunthi, and Komminia Mouria Santhi
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1514>



Effect of Triple Antibiotic Paste, Double Antibiotic Paste, and Calcium Hydroxide on Antibiotic Resistance of Tet Repressor Proteins, Tetracycline Resistance Gene *W*, and Tetracycline Resistance Gene *O*: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial
Ertugrul Karatas, Ozgen Kirmizibekmez, Mustafa Ozkan Baltaci, and Ahmet Adiguzel
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1516>



Evaluation of Trabecular Bone Around the Impacted Maxillary Canine on CBCT Images by Fractal Analysis
Zeynep Betül Arslan and Berrin Çelik
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1524>



Evaluation of Morphologic and Morphometric Characteristics of the Mental Foramen and Anterior Loop in a Turkish Subpopulation Using Cone Beam Computed Tomography
Fatma Büşra Doğan and Faruk Akçınar
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1531>



Integration of Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) in Conventional Teaching and Learning for Fixed Prosthodontics - A Case Study
Nor Feharina Abdul Hamid, Rohana Ahmed, Tong Wah Lim, Nur Hafizah Kamar Affendi, Tengku Fazrina Tengku Mokd Aniff, Meleli Mahmud, and Siti Mariam Ab Ghani
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1538>



Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta
Joko Kusnoto, Lia H. Andayani, and Budi Kusnoto
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1590>


This issue (JD) Volume 30 Issue 3 Year 2023) has been finalized and launched on 26th December 2023. All articles in

this issue (11 original research articles and 4 case reports articles) include 63 Authors from 8 countries of origin (Australia, Brazil, Hong Kong SAR, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Türkiye, and United States of America).

-  [PDF](#) Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta
Joko Kusnoto, Lia H. Andayani, and Budi Kusnoto
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1590>
-  [PDF](#) Prevalence and Clinical Characteristics of Temporomandibular Disorders in Adults: An Epidemiological Study in the Mediterranean Region of Türkiye
Esra Yavuz, Selmi Yardimci, and Hümeyra Tercanli
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1579>
-  [PDF](#) The Effect of Several Electric Cigarette Puffs on Nanohybrid Composite Resin Surface Roughness
Ali Rizer Amaliyah, Hanis Budi Widodo, and Irfan Dwiandhono
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1508>
-  [PDF](#) Elastic Recovery Properties of Alginate Impression Materials Based on Red Algae *Kappaphycus alvarezii*
Didin Erma Indahyani, Izzata Band, Nafisah Nafisah, Dept Praharaeni, Agus Sumono, Niken Probosari, and Sulistiyani Sulistiyani
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1557>

Case Reports

-  [PDF](#) Clinically Effective Regenerative Therapy for Primary Endodontic Lesions with Secondary Periodontal Lesions: A Case Report
Martina Amalia, Budi Budi, Icau Estu Kumaena, New Yanti, Hesty Nurcahyanti, and Aini Haniyati Nasution
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1485>
-  [PDF](#) Management of Ankyloglossia in a Non-Syndromic Patient Using a Super Pulsed Laser: A Life-Changing Treatment: A Case Report
Urri Javed, Afaf Zia, Ramnivas Kumawat, Afshan Bey, and Maahim Mahmood
<https://doi.org/10.14693/jdi.v30i3.1492>

 [PDF](#) The Effect of Several Electric Cigarette Puffs on Nanohybrid Composite Resin Surface Roughness
Alvin Rizqi Amalyah, Hans Budi Widodo, and Irfan Dwiandmono
<https://doi.org/10.14893/jdi.v3i3.1508>

 [PDF](#) Elastic Recovery Properties of Alginate Impression Materials Based on Red Algae *Kappaphycus alvarezii*
Didin Erma Indaryani, Izzata Band, Nafisah Nafisah, Depi Praharani, Agus Sumono, Niken Probosari, and Sulistyani Sulistyani
<https://doi.org/10.14893/jdi.v3i3.1557>

Case Reports

 [PDF](#) Clinically Effective Regenerative Therapy for Primary Endodontic Lesions with Secondary Periodontal Lesions: A Case Report
Martina Amalia, Budi Budi, Idris Edo Kurmaena, Yeni Yendi, Hesty Nurcahyanti, and Aini Haryani Nasution
<https://doi.org/10.14893/jdi.v3i3.1495>

 [PDF](#) Management of Ankyloglossia in a Non-Syndromic Patient Using a Super Pulsed Laser: A Life-Changing Treatment- A Case Report
Urri Javed, Afar Zia, Ramniwas Kumawat, Afshan Bey, and Maahir Mahmood
<https://doi.org/10.14893/jdi.v3i3.1492>

 [PDF](#) Case Report and X-ray Microtomography and Scanning Electron Microscopy Analysis of Teeth with Hypocalcified Amelogenesis Imperfecta
Rodrigo W. Marioni, Thiago G. Silva, Leonardo S. Benato, Anelize M. B. Rumbelsperger, and Antonio Adilson Soares de Lima
<https://doi.org/10.14893/jdi.v3i3.1438>

 [PDF](#) Enigmatic Cervicofacial Actinomycosis Post Radiotherapy - A Case Report
Aminda Phoon Nguyen
<https://doi.org/10.14893/jdi.v3i3.1589>

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta

Joko Kusnoto^{1*}, Lia Hapsari Andayani², Budi Kusnoto³

¹Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

²Department of Dental Public Health and Preventive Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Trisakti, Jakarta, Indonesia

³Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Illinois, Chicago, USA

*Correspondence e-mail to: joko.k@trisakti.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Malocclusion's negative impact is not only limited to dentofacial appearance but also related to quality of life. Nowadays, orthodontic treatment can be done using conventional fixed appliances, or removable appliances called clear aligners. The preference for orthodontic appliances can be affected by several factors, including patient characteristics, self-perception, psychosocial, and oral-disorder factors. **Objective:** This study aims to evaluate the factors affecting the preference for orthodontic appliances among undergraduate students in West Jakarta. **Methods:** In this cross-sectional study, 244 undergraduate students were retrieved by purposive sampling from 7 universities located in West Jakarta. A self-administered questionnaire was used to collect data, and logistic regression analysis was carried out. **Results:** Fixed orthodontic appliances were commonly used (79.7%), and the majority of treatment providers were orthodontists (64.8%). Students' belief that poor dental alignment is related to decreased social attractiveness was significantly associated with the preference for orthodontic appliances ($p = 0.026$; 95% CI = 1.170-11.317). Orthodontic treatment provider was significantly associated with a preference for orthodontic appliances ($p = 0.000$; 95% CI = 0.678-3.156). **Conclusion:** Fixed orthodontic appliances still become the main preference for malocclusion treatment among undergraduate students in West Jakarta. Besides that, the qualification of orthodontic treatment providers affects the preference for orthodontic appliances among undergraduate students in West Jakarta.

Key words: clear aligners, fixed orthodontic appliances, malocclusion, orthodontic treatment, preference

How to cite this article: Kusnoto J, Andayani LH, Kusnoto B. Factors affecting the preference of orthodontic appliances among undergraduate students in West Jakarta. J Dent Indones. 2023;30(3): 212-218

INTRODUCTION

Malocclusion is considered as the misalignment of teeth and/or jaws that results from disorders in the dynamic process of craniofacial growth and development. This condition may cause psychosocial problems due to impaired dentofacial aesthetics such as difficulties of social interaction or disturbances in oral functions, such as mastication, swallowing and speech.¹ Untreated malocclusions are connected to an increased negative impact on the health profile and psychosocial aspects, while good dental appearance can lead to a better social function.² Malocclusion can be treated using orthodontic appliances, that is best carried out by an orthodontist in order to provide appropriate treatment.³

The upsurge in orthodontic treatment demand continuously rising based on awareness to improve

dentofacial appearance and quality of life. Currently, orthodontic appliances are known to be divided into conventional fixed appliances (braces) or types of removable appliances commonly known as clear aligners.³ Clear aligners was firstly introduced in 1997 (Invisalign, Align Technology, Santa Clara, CA) and have been evolving in material, design and software simulation.⁴ Clear aligners provide more esthetic and comfortable treatment experience, simplify oral hygiene treatment, causing less pain as well as emergency visits as compared to fixed appliances. Despite the benefits and increased number of cases treated, it is improbable for clear aligners to treat all types of malocclusions. Clear aligners may be appropriate for mild to moderate crowding cases, but vigilance still needed in more complex cases.⁵ Clear aligners is associated with shorter treatment, better periodontal health status, and lower risk of

root resorption, yet there were still indistinct results regarding pain.³

Orthodontic treatment uptake might be influenced by many predictors such as gender, socioeconomic class, type of financial responsibility, self-perception, and dissatisfaction with dental appearance.⁷ Family income, cause of the problem, and self-esteem were also important attributes influencing patient decision to have orthodontic treatment.⁸ Although many patients take personal appearance into consideration, preference of orthodontic appliance is also determined by financial issues.⁹ Previous studies found that there are statistically significant differences in regard to pain, psychological discomfort, psychological disability, social ability and oral health quality of life between different groups of orthodontic treatment.^{6,10} Less psychological discomfort, functional limitation, and physical disability were found in clear aligners users, though income level may impact pain perception and quality of life in populations with different socio-economic status.⁹

Preference for orthodontic appliances can also be associated with certain outcomes, including sleeping, absence for work or school, difficulties in daily psychosocial improvement, social performance, and concentration during work or studies.¹¹ Preference factors such as attractiveness, acceptability, or monetary value may also vary across different populations.² Although previous studies have examined the efficacy and efficiency of treatment using fixed appliances compared to clear aligners, yet there are still lack of findings regarding the characteristic or self-perception of user. This study aimed to evaluate the characteristic, self-perception, psychosocial and oral disorder factors affecting preference of orthodontic appliance among undergraduate students in West Jakarta.

METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted in October until December 2022. The seven main universities in West Jakarta based on the number of students body were included in the study population. In accordance with the minimum sample size calculation, the study sample consists of 244 undergraduate students receiving clear aligners or fixed orthodontic appliance, taken by purposive sampling. Following their informed consent, participants were asked to complete a validated and modified version of a previously published questionnaire¹² by completing the Google Forms (Google LLC, Mountain View, CA). Self-administered questionnaire that was sent through social media (WhatsApp or Instagram direct messenger) required participants to send photo of their

orthodontic appliances. If there are any questions that the participant is unable to understand, the investigator is on hand to assist them throughout the process.

The questionnaire was organized into 4 sections representing characteristic of students, self-perception, psychosocial, and oral disorder factors. Characteristic of students consist of age, gender, study major, parents' educational level, parents' monthly income, provider of orthodontic appliances, first person who noticed the teeth misalignment, and suggested orthodontic treatment. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Dentistry Universitas Trisakti with the ethical clearance No.589/SI/KEPK/ FKGG/8/2022.

Data analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package of the Social Sciences 24.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Descriptive statistics was obtained for all measured variables. Logistic regression was carried out to determine the preference of orthodontic appliance based on student' characteristic, self-perception, psychosocial, and oral disorder factors. A level of significance ($p < 0.05$; 95% CI) was used for the statistical test.

RESULTS

The distribution of students' characteristics was presented in Table 1. The majority of the students were female (71.7%), aged older than 20 years (65.2%), and undergoing study in non-medical faculty (63.5%). Based on parental educational level, 69.7% of the fathers and 68.4% of the mothers had completed at least a diploma degree or higher. Based on parental monthly income, 86.1% of the fathers and 47.5% of the mothers had monthly income higher than IDR 3,500,000. Most of the students used fixed orthodontic appliances (79.5%) and selected orthodontists as the providers of orthodontic treatment (64.8%). As much as 43% of students realized that they had teeth misalignment prior to treatment, while orthodontic treatment was mostly suggested by family, friends, or dentists (77.9%).

Logistic regression between self-perception factors and preference for orthodontic appliances was presented in Table 2. Student' awareness regarding their poor dental arrangement ($p = 0.222$; 95% CI = 0.582-10.315), their dissatisfaction of dental arrangement ($p = 0.222$; 95% CI = 0.743-3.495), the expectation that their appearance will improve after orthodontic treatment ($p = 0.646$; 95% CI = 0.182-15.581), as well as their self-confidence ($p = 0.805$; 95% CI = 0.436-2.917), were not significantly associated with their preference of orthodontic appliance.

Table 1. Characteristics of undergraduate students using orthodontic appliance in West Jakarta

Variables	n	%
Gender		
Female	175	71.7
Male	69	28.3
Age		
≤ 20 years	85	34.8
>20 years	159	65.2
Faculty		
Medical	89	36.5
Non-Medical	155	63.5
Father's education level		
Low - Intermediate	74	30.3
High	170	69.7
Mother's education level		
Low - Intermediate	71	31.6
High	167	68.4
Father's monthly income		
≤ IDR 3,500,000	34	13.9
> IDR 3,500,000	210	86.1
Mother's monthly income		
≤ IDR 3,500,000	128	52.5
> IDR 3,500,000	116	47.5
Provider of orthodontic appliances		
General Dentist	86	35.2
Orthodontist	158	64.8
First person who noticed teeth misalignment		
Myself	105	43.0
Others (family/friends/dentists)	139	57.0
First person who suggested orthodontic treatment		
Myself	54	22.1
Others (family/friends/dentists)	190	77.9
Type of orthodontic appliance used		
Fixed Orthodontic	194	79.5
Clear Aligners	56	20.5

Table 2. Association between self-perception factors and preference of orthodontic appliance among undergraduate students in West Jakarta

Questions	Fixed Orthodontic	Clear Aligners	p	Exp (B)	95% CI
Do you realize having poor dental arrangement?					
No	23 (11.0%)	3 (6%)	0.222	2.449	0.582-10.315
Yes	171 (88.1%)	47 (94%)			
Are you satisfied with your dental arrangement?					
No	146 (75.3%)	36 (72%)	0.227	1.101	0.743-3.495
Yes	48 (24.7%)	14 (28%)			
Do you think your dental and facial appearance will improve after orthodontic treatment?					
No	9 (4.6%)	1 (2%)	0.646	1.684	0.182-15.588
Yes	185 (95.4%)	49 (98%)			
Do you think your self-confidence will improve after undergoing orthodontic treatment?					
No	33 (17%)	7 (14%)	0.805	1.127	0.436-2.917
Yes	161 (83%)	43 (86%)			
Total	194 (100%)	56 (100%)			

*p-value from logistic regression ($\alpha=0.05$)

Table 3. Association between psychosocial factors and preference of orthodontic appliance among undergraduate students in West Jakarta

Questions	Fixed Orthodontic	Clear Aligners	p	Exp (B)	95% CI
Are you sure that you will get good career opportunities after orthodontic treatment?					
No	96 (49.5%)	20 (40%)	0.318	1.414	0.717-2.791
Yes	98 (50.5%)	30 (60%)			
Do you expect good social interaction after orthodontic treatment?					
No	59 (30.4%)	15 (30%)	0.256	0.643	0.300-1.378
Yes	135 (69.6%)	35 (70%)			
Do you think poor dental alignment is related to decreased social attractiveness?					
No	43 (22.2%)	4 (8%)	0.026*	3.038*	1.170-11.317
Yes	131 (77.8%)	46 (92%)			
Total	194 (100%)	50 (100%)			

Table 4. Association between oral disorder factors and preference of orthodontic appliance among undergraduate students in West Jakarta

Questions	Fixed Orthodontic	Clear Aligners	P	Exp (B)	95% CI
Do you have any problem while speaking?					
No	144 (74.2%)	35 (70%)	0.211	1.626	0.789-3.484
Yes	50 (25.8%)	15 (30%)			
Do you sense any pain/clicking sound around your ears (at the TMJ joint)?					
No	110 (56.7%)	34 (68%)	0.068	0.504	0.241-1.052
Yes	84 (43.3%)	16 (32%)			
Do you think your dental health will improve after orthodontic treatment?					
No	7 (3.6%)	3 (6%)	0.475	0.592	0.140-2.499
Yes	187 (96.4%)	47 (94%)			
Do you think your biting and chewing will improve after orthodontic treatment?					
No	39 (20.1%)	7 (14%)	0.230	1.727	0.708-4.216
Yes	155 (79.9%)	43 (86%)			
Total	194 (100%)	50 (100%)			

*p-value from logistic regression (<0.05)

Logistic regression between psychosocial factors and preference for orthodontic appliances was presented in Table 3. There was a significant association between awareness that poor dental alignment is related to decreased social attractiveness and preference for orthodontic appliances ($p = 0.026$). Students think that poor dental alignment is related to decreased social attractiveness and had higher number in the fixed orthodontic appliance group (3.638; 95% CI = 1.170-11.317).

Logistic regression between oral disorder factors and preference for orthodontic appliance was presented in Table 4. There was no significant association between any problem while speaking ($p = 0.211$; 95% CI = 0.759-3.484) or sense of pain/clicking sound around

the temporomandibular joint ($p = 0.068$; 95% CI = 0.241-1.052), with the preference of orthodontic appliance. Student's beliefs regarding their dental health improvement ($p = 0.475$; 95% CI = 0.140-2.499), as well as their biting and chewing improvement ($p = 0.230$; 95% CI = 0.708-4.216), were also not significantly associated with preference of orthodontic appliance.

Multivariate analysis between student's characteristics and preference of orthodontic appliance was presented in Table 5. Logistic regression analysis revealed that most of characteristic variables were not significant in predicting preference of orthodontic appliances. The only significant predictor for preference of orthodontic appliances was provider of orthodontic appliances ($p = 0.000$; 95% CI = 0.678-3.156).

Table 5. Association between personal characteristics and preference of orthodontic appliance among undergraduate students in West Jakarta

Variables	Fixed Orthodontic	Clear Aligners	Total (100%)	p	Exp (B)	95% CI
Gender						
Female	345 (82.9)	39 (17.1)	175	0.062	1	0.895-4.353
Male	49 (71.0)	20 (29.0)	69		1.974	
Age						
≤ 20 years	68 (80.0)	17 (20.0)	85	0.729	1	0.409-1.867
> 20 years	126 (79.2)	31 (20.8)	159		0.874	
Faculty						
Medical	76 (85.4)	13 (14.6)	89	0.352	1	0.678-3.156
Non-Medical	118 (76.1)	37 (23.9)	155		1.463	
Father's education level						
Low - Intermediate	56 (75.7)	18 (24.3)	74	0.108	1	0.104-1.224
High	138 (81.2)	31 (18.8)	170		0.367	
Mother's education level						
Low - Intermediate	60 (77.9)	17 (22.1)	77	0.827	1	0.254-2.988
High	134 (80.2)	32 (19.8)	167		0.871	
Father's monthly income						
≤ IDR 3,500,000	31 (91.2)	3 (8.8)	34	0.308	1	0.506-8.670
> IDR 3,500,000	163 (77.6)	47 (22.4)	210		2.094	
Mother's monthly income						
≤ IDR 3,500,000	106 (82.8)	22 (17.2)	128	0.419	1	0.644-2.881
> IDR 3,500,000	88 (75.9)	28 (24.1)	116		1.362	
Provider of orthodontic appliances						
General Dentist	82 (95.3)	4 (4.7)	86	0.000*	1	0.678-3.156
Orthodontist	112 (70.9)	46 (29.1)	158		11.574	
First person who noticed teeth misalignment						
Myself	79 (75.2)	26 (24.8)	105	0.252	1	0.380-1.397
Others (family/friends/dentists)	115 (82.7)	24 (17.3)	139		0.625	
First person who suggested orthodontic treatment						
Myself	40 (74.1)	14 (25.9)	54	0.173	1	0.193-1.343
Others (family/friends/dentists)	154 (81.1)	36 (18.9)	190		0.510	

*p-value from logistic regression (<0.05)

DISCUSSION

According to this study, the majority of undergraduate students undergoing orthodontic treatment were female, aged older than 20 years, and undergoing study in non-medical faculty. Based on parental educational level, majority had completed at least diploma degree or higher. Based on parental monthly income, majority of the fathers had high monthly income but on the contrary majority of the mothers had low monthly income. Most of students using fixed orthodontic appliance and selected orthodontist as

the provider of orthodontic treatment. Several studies showed that women have a significantly higher rate of interest in orthodontic treatment,²⁴ and were incline to choose clear aligners as orthodontic treatment.^{15,6} Decision for orthodontic treatment is generally based on esthetic improvement, other than physical and oral health well-being. The interest and consideration in women due to orthodontic treatment may be caused by higher aesthetic standards and self-perception.^{1,15} Since family income was suspected as the main influencing factor in preference of orthodontic appliance, special attention was given to that variable by classifying the family income according to Indonesian Central Agency

on Statistics classification on Indonesian population monthly income¹⁷ and also dividing parents' income to the single income or double income.

Student awareness regarding their self-perception factors, the psychosocial aspects, and oral disorder factors were not associated with preference of orthodontic appliance. That finding is consistent with earlier research that found there is currently not enough evidence to identify a decisive element in relation to patient's preference for one orthodontic appliance over another.¹¹

Multivariate analysis showed that there was no significant association between age, study major, parents' educational level, and parents' monthly income, with preference of orthodontic appliance. This finding is in contrast with previous study that stated family income, cause of the problem, age and self-esteem were found to be the most important attributes influencing patient decision in orthodontic treatment.⁸

Based on the orthodontic treatment, most of students were using fixed orthodontic appliance. It is known that clear aligner is not effective in controlling several cases such as anterior extrusion movement or rotations. Clear aligners also require the use of other auxiliaries such as attachments, inter-arch elastics, or altered aligner geometries to improve the predictability of orthodontic movement.¹⁸ All these considerations might affect students' decision in determining treatment options. Though a prediction of interest in European Union found that Invisalign was chosen to represent all orthodontic aligners as the most searched term in Google Trends, there might be different results in developing countries.¹⁹ Majority of orthodontists currently use clear aligners in their practice stated that it was not because they believe clear aligners are more effective or more comfortable than braces or because they are more profitable, but rather to have prestige in the community and not to lag behind in technology. Therefore, it seems that fixed appliance treatment will maintain its place in orthodontic practice as an option for the near future.²⁰

This study found a significant association between provider of orthodontic treatment with preference of orthodontic appliance. Orthodontist had become the most choices among undergraduate students in West Jakarta, and higher number of them underwent fixed orthodontic appliance. Patients with the highest level of interest in pursuing orthodontic care tend to prefer orthodontists, primarily because of treatment quality.²¹ Orthodontists were seen as being better at identifying and managing complications as well as delivering reliable results. Patients with higher educational level and incomes preferred treatment by orthodontists although they knew that they would be charged up. Preference for care by orthodontists was based on functional reasons and anticipating complications.²²

This study showed that the undergraduate students in West Jakarta self-awareness regarding malocclusion and the needs to seek orthodontic treatment is still rather low. That finding suggest that orthodontists in West Jakarta need to be more proactive in public campaign regarding awareness about malocclusion and orthodontic treatment. However, when they seek orthodontic treatment, they already have a good understanding to have the treatment done by orthodontic specialists.

The main limitation of this study was the small sample size and only taken from several universities in West Jakarta, which might be insufficient to represent the general population of undergraduate students. Further research with larger and more representative samples to evaluate the preference of orthodontic appliance is required. Other variables such as ethnicity, daily oral hygiene practice, treatment expenses, and dental health insurance, need to be investigated.

CONCLUSION

The findings in this study indicate that fixed orthodontic appliance still become the main preference for malocclusion treatment among undergraduate students in West Jakarta. Besides that, qualification of orthodontic treatment provider affecting the preference of orthodontic appliances among undergraduate students in West Jakarta.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Peres MA, Antunes JLF, Watt RG. Oral epidemiology: A textbook on oral health conditions, research topics and methods. Switzerland: Springer Nature Switzerland AG; 2021.
2. Saccomanno S, Saran S, Lagani D, Mastropasqua RF, Grippauda C. Motivation, perception, and behavior of the adult orthodontic patient: A survey analysis. Biomed Res Int. 2022; 2022:2754051.
3. Yovanka V, Kasnoto J, Andayani L.H. Characteristic of orthodontic appliance users based on demographics, self-perception factors, psychosocial, and oral disorders (Study among undergraduate students in West Jakarta). J Indones Dent Association. 2023; 6(1):9-14.
4. Borda AF, Garfinkle JS, Covell DA, Wang M, Doyle L, Sedgley CM. Outcome assessment of orthodontic clear aligner vs fixed appliance treatment in a teenage population with mild

- malocclusions. *Angle Orthod.* 2020; 90(4):485-90.
5. Tamer I, Öztas E, Marjan G. Orthodontic treatment with clear aligners and the scientific reality behind their marketing: A literature review. *Turk J Orthod.* 2019; 32(4):241-6.
6. Yassir YA, Nibbel SA, McIntyre GT, Brain DF. Clinical effectiveness of clear aligner treatment compared to fixed appliance treatment: An overview of systematic reviews. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2022; 26(3):2353-70.
7. Badran SA, Al-Klateeb S. Factors influencing the uptake of orthodontic treatment. *J Public Health Dent.* 2013; 73(4):339-44.
8. Fleming PS, Colonio-Salazar F, Wayen A, Sherriff M, Burden D, O'Neill C, Ness A, Sandy J, Ireland T. Factors affecting willingness to pay for NHS-based orthodontic treatment. *Br Dent J.* 2022. Online ahead of print.
9. Gao M, Yan X, Zhao R, Shan Y, Chen Y, Jian F, Long H, Lai W. Comparison of pain perception, anxiety, and impacts on oral health-related quality of life between patients receiving clear aligners and fixed appliances during the initial stage of orthodontic treatment. *Eur J Orthod.* 2021; 43(3):353-9.
10. Antonio-Zamengo L, Montero J, Albaladejo A, Oteo-Calatayud MD, Alvarado-Lorenzo A. Pain and oral-health-related quality of life in orthodontic patients during initial therapy with conventional, low-friction, and lingual brackets and aligners (Invisalign): A prospective clinical study. *J Clin Med.* 2020; 9(7):2088.
11. Ben Gassen A. Does clear aligner treatment result in different patient perceptions of treatment process and outcomes compared to conventional/traditional fixed appliance treatment: A literature review. *Eur J Dent.* 2022; 16(2):274-85.
12. Alamsari RA, Faydi DA, Ashour BS, Alsaggar DH, Shuman MT, Ghoneim SH, Linjawi AI, Marghalani HY, Daise RR. Adult perceptions of different orthodontic appliances. *Patient Prefer Adherence.* 2019; 13:2119-28.
13. Lakshmi GM. Reasons for seeking orthodontic treatment among south Indian population - A questionnaire study. *J Pharm Sci Res.* 2016; 8(7):692-5.
14. Shetty SR, Kamara V, Panicker VG. Self-perception of malocclusion and perception towards orthodontic treatment according to age in Indian population: a questionnaire study. *Int J Innov Sci Res Technol.* 2022; 7(11):2046-50.
15. Basanagouda CP, Chaitra C. Self-perception of dental appearance and apprehension towards orthodontic treatment and knowledge about clear aligners among undergraduates and interns-a questionnaire study. *Seh J Dent Sci.* 2023; 10(6):112-20.
16. d'Apuzzo F, Perillo L, Carriço CK, Castrolino T, Grassia V, Lindauer SJ, Shroff B. Clear aligner treatment: Different perspectives between orthodontists and general dentists. *Prog Orthod.* 2019; 20(1):10.
17. Rakuswi LS, Kautsar A. Pengaruh faktor demografi dan sosial ekonomi terhadap status kesehatan individu di Indonesia. *Kajian Ekonomi dan Keuangan.* 2021; 5(2):46-57.
18. Rosini G, Parrini S, Castrolino T, Derogibus A, Debernardi CL. Efficacy of clear aligners in controlling orthodontic tooth movement: A systematic review. *Angle Orthod.* 2015; 85(5):881-9.
19. Syemka-Doziarnowska M, Szyszka-Sommerfeld L, Woźniak K, Lindauer SJ, Spagnuolo G. Predicting interest in orthodontic aligners: A google trends data analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022; 19(5):3105.
20. Ozkan TH, Duran D. An investigation of the opinions and preferences of orthodontists in Turkey regarding the use of clear aligners in orthodontic treatment. *Anatol Clin J Med Sci.* 2021; 28(1):72-80.
21. Olson JC, Shroff B, Carriço C, Hoyle J, Lindauer SJ. Comparison of patient factors influencing the selection of an orthodontist, general dentist, or direct-to-consumer aligners. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2020; 157(4):526-32.e2.
22. Chambers DW, Zitzkapf IG. How people make decisions about whether or not to seek orthodontic care: Upstream in the treatment chain. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2019; 155(6):826-31.

(Received September 14, 2023; Accepted November 5, 2023)

Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta

ORIGINALITY REPORT

15%

SIMILARITY INDEX

13%

INTERNET SOURCES

10%

PUBLICATIONS

4%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	discovery.researcher.life Internet Source	2%
2	innovpub.org Internet Source	2%
3	Submitted to University of Ulster Student Paper	1%
4	link.springer.com Internet Source	1%
5	pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source	1%
6	Wahyu P. Nugraheni, Asri Hikmatuz Zahroh, Risky Kusuma Hartono, Ryan Rachmad Nugraha, Chang Bae Chun. "National Health Insurance Deficit in Indonesia: Identification of Causes and Solutions for Resolution", Global Journal of Health Science, 2020 Publication	1%
7	www.hindawi.com Internet Source	1%

8	Submitted to Universitas Indonesia Student Paper	1 %
9	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source	1 %
10	David W. Chambers, Jacob G. Zitterkopf. "How people make decisions about whether or not to seek orthodontic care: Upstream in the treatment chain", American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, 2019 Publication	1 %
11	openaccess.leidenuniv.nl Internet Source	1 %
12	article.sciencepublishinggroup.com Internet Source	1 %
13	bmcoralhealth.biomedcentral.com Internet Source	1 %
14	www.opendentistryjournal.com Internet Source	1 %
15	www.readkong.com Internet Source	1 %
16	fatcat.wiki Internet Source	<1 %
17	trace.tennessee.edu Internet Source	<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches

< 15 words

Exclude bibliography On

Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

GENERAL COMMENTS

/0

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9

PAGE 10



PAGE 11

PAGE 12

PAGE 13

PAGE 14

PAGE 15



Mail - Joko Kusnoto - Outlook

outlook.live.com/mail/D/inbox/id/AQMkADAwATEyODM3LTRjMmMzZWwMxZS0wMAUIMDAKAEYAAAPfUBPwFKJ5SbL1kYpGz4HBwAAsNs6M%2FYND6PQV9J...

Outlook

Home View Help

New mail Delete Archive Report Sweep Move to Reply Read / Unread

Folders

- Inbox
- Junk Email
- Drafts
- Sent Items
- Deleted Items
- Archive
- Notes
- Conversation...
- POP
- Unwanted

Upgrade to Microsoft 365 with premium Outlook features

Minor Revisions

You replied on Sat 11/4/2023 12:07 AM

Yuniardini Wimardhani <editor-jdi-1590-3179240@dcuiscolarhub.bepress.com>
To: You
Cc: The Authors: The Editors
Fri 10/27/2023 9:32 AM

Dear Joko Kusnoto, Lia H. Andayani, and Budi Kusnoto

"Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta" has been accepted into Journal of Dentistry Indonesia.

Please kindly respond to each of the reviewers' comments and notify the changes in the text. In the revised text, the author should give highlight where the changes have been made. We would like to receive the revision in 10 days.

When you are satisfied that your submission is ready, please upload your revision. If you are satisfied with the submission as it is, let me know that as well.

The current version of your submission is available here:

Sponsored Stories

- EBikes In Mexico 2023 (See Prices) EBikes In Mexico | Search ...
- Jakarta: Incredible Deals on Smart Beds in ... Smart Beds In Mexico
- Reduce Your Electricity Bill: Discover Solar... Solar Deals

Mail - Joko Kusnoto - Outlook

outlook.live.com/mail/D/inbox/id/AQMkADAwATEyODM3LTRjMmMzZWwMxZS0wMAUIMDAKAEYAAAPfUBPwFKJ5SbL1kYpGz4HBwAAsNs6M%2FYND6PQV9J...

Outlook

Home View Help

New mail Delete Archive Report Sweep Move to Reply Read / Unread

Folders

- Inbox
- Junk Email
- Drafts
- Sent Items
- Deleted Items
- Archive
- Notes
- Conversation...
- POP
- Unwanted

Upgrade to Microsoft 365 with premium Outlook features

Minor Revisions

The current version of your submission is available here:
<https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/cgi/preview.cgi?article=15908&context=jdi>

You may also view the reviews and preview your submission on that page. To submit revisions, use the Revise Submission link on that page.


Please make sure that your paper adheres to the formatting requirements at <https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/jdi/styleguide.html>

Thank you,

Yuniardini Wimardhani
Editor
Journal of Dentistry Indonesia

Sponsored Stories

- EBikes In Mexico 2023 (See Prices) EBikes In Mexico | Search ...
- Jakarta: Incredible Deals on Smart Beds in ... Smart Beds In Mexico
- Reduce Your Electricity Bill: Discover Solar... Solar Deals



Review by: Reviewer #1

Review date: Oct 1 2023 09:53 AM PDT

The review:

Thank you very much for inviting me to review this manuscript. I have greatly enjoyed reading this well written manuscript. The area which the authors were searching were still lacking in evidence, hence I welcome more research into this field especially considering the growing number of promoted clear aligners in the orthodontic market. At first, I was slightly concerned with regards to the sample size as well as the disproportion number between the genders. However, I was glad that the authors have acknowledged this in the Discussion section. I would gladly take this study as a pilot study for the specific population and therefore follow up study with larger sample size, especially with better gender distribution would be greatly welcomed. However, there was a very minor typo issue in the 3rd paragraphs of the Intro section (last sentence) - it was written "...12saimpact..". Despite this, all are fine for me. Thank you.

RESPONSE:

Typo corrected

Review by: Reviewer #2

Review date: Oct 14 2023 11:07 PM PDT

The review:

Please explain in the Discussion:

1. The research population includes 7 PTs in West Jakarta. Were they selected based on private PTs or State PTs? The number of students being treated with orthodontics is only 244, or are there also those who refuse?

RESPONSE:

Research population includes 5 universities in West Jakarta with largest student body. The number of 244 samples included in this study based on the minimum sample size calculation. **EXPLANATION ADDED IN METHOD**

2. Are the questions in the questionnaires regarding self-perception, psychological factors, oral disorder factors, and personal characteristics adopted from other researchers' questionnaires that have been published? or was the questionnaires created by the researcher?

RESPONSE:

The questionnaire used in this study is a modified version of questionnaire utilized in previously published study by Laakshmi **EXPLANATION ADDED IN METHOD**
Muthu Laakshmi G. Reasons for Seeking Orthodontic Treatment among South Indian Population-A Questionnaire Study. J Pharm Sci & Res. 2016;8(7) → **ADD TO REFERENCES**

3. Why is standard income used Rp. 3.500.000 based on what? Why separate the income of father and mother?

RESPONSE:

Central Agency on Statistics classification on Indonesian population monthly income **EXPLANATION ADDED IN DISCUSSION**
Rakasiwi S, Kautsar LA. Pengaruh Faktor Demografi dan Sosial Ekonomi terhadap Status Kesehatan Individu di Indonesia. J KEK. 2021;5(2):146-157) → **ADD TO REFERENCES**

4. Can all the questionnaire questions be understood by the respondent, for example the question: Do you feel any pain/clicking sound around your ears (at the TMJ point)? So there is either "some kind of explanation to this question"?
When the respondent fills the questionnaire, the investigator guides the respondent if there is a question that cannot be understood by the respondent



RESPONSE:



EXPLANATION ADDED IN METHOD

5. Please explain in the discussion explaining the contents of the table, written (Table 1, 2 or 3).

RESPONSE:

EXPLANATION ADDED IN DISCUSSION





Mail - Joko Kusnoto - Outlook

outlook.live.com/mail/D/sentitems/id/AQMkADAwATEyODM3LTRjMmMlZWVhZS0wMAIRMDAKAEYAAPIUBPwPKJ55bL1tkYp6z4HBwAAAsNs6M%2FIYNEIF...

Outlook

Home View Help

New mail Delete Archive Report Sweep Move to Reply Read / Unread

Folders

- Inbox
- Junk Email
- Drafts
- Sent Items
- Deleted Items
- Archive
- Notes
- Conversation...
- POP
- Unwanted

Upgrade to Microsoft 365 with premium Outlook features

Re: MS #1590 - Journal of Dentistry Indonesia

Joko Kusnoto
To: Dr. Yuniardini Septorini Septorini Wimardhani
Mon 11/6/2023 3:09 PM

Dear Prof. Wimardhani,

Firstly, we would like to thank you for accepting our manuscript titled Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta for publication in the Journal of Dentistry Indonesia. We also want to take this opportunity to inform you that we already submit the final version of our manuscript containing minor typing layouting correction through the Journal of Dentistry Indonesia website, please refer to the newest version of the manuscript for the publication purposes. Once again, thank you so much for the opportunity to publish our manuscript in Journal of Dentistry Indonesia and looking forward for the next issue of Journal of Dentistry Indonesia.

Sincerely,
J. Kusnoto, L.H. Andayani, and B. Kusnoto



From: Dr. Yuniardini Septorini Septorini Wimardhani <editor-jdi-1590-3145072@dcuischolarhub.bepress.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 5, 2023 9:01 PM
To: =?UTF-8?Q?=?22joko_kusnoto=22?=<j_kusno@hotmail.com>
Cc: The Authors <authors-jdi-1590@dcuischolarhub.bepress.com>; The Editors <editors-jdi-1590@dcuischolarhub.bepress.com>



Sponsored Stories



- EBikes In Mexico 2023 (See Prices) EBikes In Mexico | Search ...
- Affordable Solar Panels (See Prices By Clicking... Solar Deals)
- Unbelievable Discounts On Lab-Grown... Lab Grown Diamonds | Se...

Type here to search

29°C Kabut 9:32 PM 11/9/2023







Mail - Joko Kusnoto - Outlook

outlook.live.com/mail/D/inbox/id/AQMkADAwATEyODM3LTRjMmMxZWwMxZS0wMAIUMDAKAAYAAAPfUBPwPKJ05SL1tkYp6z4HBwAAsNs6MP%2FYNDIPQV9J...

Outlook

Home View Help

New mail Delete Archive Report Sweep Move to Reply Read / Unread

Folders

- Inbox
- Junk Email
- Drafts
- Sent Items
- Deleted Items
- Archive
- Notes
- Conversation...
- POP
- Unwanted

Upgrade to Microsoft 365 with premium Outlook features

Close Previous Next

MS #1590: New submission published to Journal of Dentistry Indonesia

Editors of Journal of Dentistry Indonesia <editors-jdi-1590@dcuischolarhub.bepress.com>
To: You, Lia Hapsari Andayani, Budi Kusnoto
Cc: The Editors
Mon 12/25/2023 6:22 PM

Dear Joko Kusnoto, Lia H. Andayani, and Budi Kusnoto,

Your submission "Factors Affecting the Preference of Orthodontic Appliances Among Undergraduate Students in West Jakarta" (MS #1590) has been published to Journal of Dentistry Indonesia.

<https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/jdi/vol30/iss3/8>

Want to maximize readership? Improve the Google rank of your submission by putting its title, formatted as a link, on your personal or departmental webpage at your institution.

Thank you,
The Editors
Journal of Dentistry Indonesia

Sponsored Stories

Here are the best smart beds on the market ...
LifestyleTrendsHQ

Jakarta: Unsold Furniture Liquidation 2023...
Furniture Deals

Best Customer Relation Management For Small...
CRM Software | Search Ads

Type here to search

28°C Sebagian cerah 9:06 AM 12/31/2023